

# ISRG Journal of Education, Humanities and Literature (ISRGJEHL)



**ISRG PUBLISHERS**

Abbreviated Key Title: ISRG J Edu Humanit Lit

**ISSN: 2584-2544 (Online)**

Journal homepage: <https://isrgpublishers.com/isrgjehl/>

Volume – I Issue-I (January- February) 2024

Frequency: Bimonthly



## The effect of fiscal policy on economic growth

Mas Andini

Faculty of Economy and Business, Universitas Nasional, Indonesia.

| **Received:** 07.01.2024 | **Accepted:** 13.01.2024 | **Published:** 18.01.2024

**\*Corresponding author:** Mas Andini

Faculty of Economy and Business, Universitas Nasional, Indonesia.

### Abstract

*The correlation between fiscal policy and economic growth has garnered significant interest recently. The economic expansion witnessed by various countries in recent decades has led to the emergence of a substantial body of theoretical and empirical literature aimed at elucidating these phenomena. There is a valid argument that can be made regarding the reciprocal relationship between economic growth and fiscal policy. This study examines the research undertaken on fiscal policies and their impact on economic growth. It is important to note that fiscal policy encompasses three main tools: government expenditure, taxation, and debt. Moreover, based on prior research, the correlation between fiscal policy and economic growth is ambiguous and inconsistent, with instances of both positive and negative associations. This study will examine the concept of fiscal policies, economic growth, and the correlation between these two factors based on prior research.*

**Keywords:** Fiscal policy, Economic growth, Government Expenditure, Taxation.

### Introduction

The literature extensively documents the impact of fiscal policy on the economy, as evidenced by studies conducted by Hlongwane et al. (2018), Al-kasasbeh (2022), Ahmad et al. (2020), and Pamba (2021). The existing research in this field emphasizes that fiscal policy has the capacity to impact economic growth through both macroeconomic and microeconomic mechanisms (International Monetary Fund 2011). Kim et al. (2021) contended that fiscal sustainability is the fundamental basis for maintaining macroeconomic stability.

The factors that influence economic growth continue to be a significant and compelling subject of study in the discipline of economics, while many questions regarding them remain unresolved. The essential inquiry at hand is whether fiscal policy exerts an influence on economic growth or not? The growth of the fiscal policy sector is disputed theoretically based on three dimensions: feedback, demand, and supply hypotheses (Ziaei, 2015). When policy makers face challenges in identifying potential economic development and ensuring a stable economy for their countries, the relationship between fiscal policy and economic growth becomes increasingly crucial. The importance of new

research in this field lies in its implications for the economic progress of each country (Kasasbeh, 2021; Jayeola, 2022).

In addition, numerous studies have examined the correlation between government spending, taxation, public debt, and economic growth. Various studies have extensively examined the aforementioned themes, focusing mostly on fiscal policy variables. These references include the works of Herndon and Pollin (2014), Lof and Malinen (2014), Kia and Mousavi (2015), Gale, Krupkin and Rueben (2015), Alawneh (2017), Abueid et al. (2018), Al-kasasbeh (2022), and Kasasbeh (2021).

The examination of economic growth and fiscal policy is a subject of great interest to scholars such as Tcherneva (2011), Audu (2012), Karagöz and Keskin (2016), Nursini (2017), Ugwuanyi & Ugwunta (2017), and Al-kasasbeh et al. (2022). All of these investigations sought to uncover the connection between them. Economic growth performance is commonly attributed to various elements, including energy consumption, international trade, financial development, CO2 emission, population, monetary policy, and others.

In addition, recent studies have focused on examining the effects of fiscal policy factors in advanced economies, as evidenced by the works of Stoilova and Patonov (2013), Atilgan, Kilic, and Ertugrul (2017), and d'Agostino, Dunne, and Pieroni (2018). The aforementioned considerations prompted the researcher to ascertain fiscal policy as a key instrument for economic progress.

## Fiscal policy

There is no doubt that economic policies include several measures such as fiscal and monetary policy and policy on credit and exchange rates. Fiscal policy plays an important position in these policies, because the various objectives they are looking for can be reached. The national economy depends on its various tools, which can be adapted to affect all the economic and social aspects of society, the government's approach to the economy was *laissez-faire*. After the Great Depression, ideas emerged calling for their intervention in economic life to regulate unemployment, inflation and business cycles.

Fiscal policy has become a major instrument of economic policy in guiding the economic course and addressing what is exposed to shocks and crises. Their different definitions of the concept of fiscal policy (Al-kasasbeh, 2023). For example, it is defined as a set of public revenue policies for public expenditure in order to achieve specific objectives. On the other hand, some define it as a set of goals, directions, actions, and activities adopted by the state to influence the national economy and society to maintain its overall stability and development (Tcherneva, 2011).

The fiscal policy situation remained neutral until appeared on the horizon of the economic crisis that swept the world in 1929. It can be said that the significant development of fiscal policy has stemmed from the major contribution to the great economist John Maynard Keynes in his book "The General Theory of Jobs and Interest and Income", when emphasizing the weakness of market mechanisms alone in coping with economic problems, especially the Great Depression crisis, and the consequent need to follow Keynes views of state intervention in economic activity. Since then, fiscal policy has assumed a more critical role and has become a major instrument of economic policy tools to guide the economic entity's course and address what it is exposed to shocks and crises, as well as their impact on the development of the economy, in

particular, in the growing countries (Hoover, 2015; Al-kasasbeh et al., 2022).

According to Ugwuanyi and Ugwunta (2017), fiscal policy includes many patterns affecting economic activities such as government spending, public debt, and taxation, as well as influencing employment and aggregate demand growth level. Fiscal policy strengthens policymakers ability to manage the economy by managing their income and spending power to achieve specific goals that lead to economic growth. Fiscal policy also represents government policies related to the state budget, which is represented in tax revenue, government borrowing, government spending, or even deficit financing (Sanni, 2012). Fiscal policy's main objective is to provide countries with economic stability by improving economic conditions and factors in a way that strengthens the state's business and ensures that government decisions are appropriate for economic stability (Ndubuisi, 2017).

Historically, there are numerous points of view on the success of fiscal policies that have been pursued by different economic schools from time to time. The classic approach, which prevailed until The Great Depression (1929), highlights the importance of a balanced budget for the efficiency of fiscal policies. It also pointed out that budget deficits are likely to create economic instability. Classical economists also claimed that budget should generally be identical, apart for some variations. They also argued that the effectiveness of government spending or tax regulations was related to strategies through which such policies were shaped and implemented (Erkam, 2010).

However, the classic approach with its assertion of financing the budget deficit through borrowing and its consequential obscurity has failed to resolve the crisis in the absence of its inability to predict The Great Depression. Confirming that the government should intervene in the economy through revenue, public spending and the budget, Keynesian views opened the debate to the principle of a balanced budget and pointed to the relevance and macro-economic impact of the imbalanced budget (Karagöz and Keskin, 2016). Keynesian view argues that the effectiveness of monetary policy tools is limited due to liquidity trap and other factors and it argues that aggregate demand could be complemented by public spending and public revenue. Thus, in order to reduce inflation, fiscal policy should be applied by following budget surplus policy, while in the time of recession monetary expansion would be provided by budget deficit (Bozkurt & Göğül, 2010).

According to Keynesian argument, the fiscal contraction leads to a temporary contraction through aggregate demand channel. A frequently used and popular Keynesian-Monetarist contention in theory of economics further clarifies the effectiveness of fiscal policy. Monetarist view holds economy to be generally stable and believes interventionist monetary policy to be objectionable and thus, consequentially, fiscal policy to be harmful (Erkam, 2010). Meanwhile Friedman says, if intervention is inevitable, monetary policy should be preferred to fiscal policy because of the delayed impacts of fiscal policy (Dudley-Evans, 2017).

## Empirical Literature Review

In this section of the paper, a series of previous research and studies on the subject of fiscal policy and its relation to economic growth in a number of countries will be presented in order to gain a deep understanding of the nature of the relationship between fiscal policy and economic growth, as follows:

Table 0.1: Summary of Previous Empirical Literature Review

	AUTHORS	COUNTRY AND DATA	METHOD	MAJOR FINDINGS
<b>TAXES AND ECONOMIC GROWTH</b>				
1	Ivanyna, Moumouras, and Rangazas, (2016)	developing countries	dynamic general equilibrium model	(-)
2	Aghion, Akcigit, Cage, and Kerr, (2016)	U.S states	Granger causality	→
3	Riba, (2017)	South Africa 2003 - 2016	ARDL cointegration and Granger causality	(+) ↔
4	Biswas, Chakraborty, and Hai, (2017)	U.S. state	GMM, and OLS model	(-)
5	Di Sanzo, Bella, and Graziano, (2017)	panel of twenty European countries 1970 to 2012	VAR model	(-)
6	Babatunde, Ibukun, and Oyeyemi, (2017)	Africa from 2004 to 2013	Hausman test	(+)
7	Grdinić, Drezgić, and Blažić, (2017)	Central and Eastern Europe from 1990 to 2010	(GMM) regressions	(-)
8	Juliana, (2018)	Nigeria 1994 to 2016	cointegration test	(+)
<b>PUBLIC DEBT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH</b>				
9	Stylianou (2014)	Greece during the period 1980 to 2010	Granger causality test	~
10	Al-Refai (2015)	OECD nations 1981 to 1995	OLS	(-) (+)
11	Chiu and Lee (2017)	61 countries 1985–2009	panel data framework	(+) (-)
12	Cherif, and Hasanov (2018)	USA	VAR	(-)
13	Ferando and Serafim (2018)	Angola 2004-2015	panel data approach	(-)
14	Gómez-Puig, and Sosvilla (2018)	euro area (EA) the period 1961-2013	Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) bounds	(-)
15	Esteve, and Tamarit (2018)	Spanish economy 1851–2013	dynamic ordinary least squares (DOLS) method	(-)
16	Ncanywa, and Masoga (2018) Kasasbeh (2021)	South Africa  Jordan	autoregressive distributive lag Granger causality	(-)  ↔
<b>Government Expenditure and Economic growth</b>				
17	Alptekin, and Levine, (2012)	review 32 empirical studies	panel Granger causality test	~
18	Keho (2015)	Ten African countries. over the interval 1965 to 2013	Granger causality test	↔ →
19	Osei, Aglobitse, and Bentum–Ennin, (2017)	Thirty-three countries from 1990 to 2014.	Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL)	(+)
20	Atilgan, Kilic, and Ertugrul, (2017)	Turkey 1975–2013	autoregressive-distributed lag approach (ARDL)	(+)
21	Paul, and Furahisha, (2017)	Tanzania over the period of 1978 to 2014.	Johansen test of cointegration and Granger causality	(+) →
22	d'Agostino, and Dunne, and	109 countries 1998–2014	panel data methods	(-)

	Pieroni, (2018)			
23	Abad, Haron, and. Abueid, (2018)	Jordan for the period 1970-2017	(ARDL)	→
24	Le Van, Nguyen-Van, Barbier-Gauchard, and Le (2019) Alkasasbeha, Haron, Abueid, (2018)	UAE 1975Q1-2012Q4  Jordan 1970-2017	annual data analyses  (ARDL)	(+)  (+)

→ Means that the causality runs from economic growth to its determinants

← Means that the causality runs from the determinant of the economic growth to economic growth

↔ Means that bi-directional causality exists between economic growth and its determinants

~ means that no causality exists between economic growth and its determinants

(+) Means positive relationships between economic growth and its determinants

(-) Means negative relationships between economic growth and its determinants

The various empirical studies analyzed here reported inconsistent and inconclusive outcomes. For example, there are substantial positive and bidirectional relationships between economic growth and government expenditure, taxes, and public debt, and negative relationships have been documented in some studies. Other studies record various results. This mixture of outcomes and conclusions comes from differences in methodology, specific times of study and variables used.

## Conclusion

This paper seeks to determine whether a consensus exists about the impact of fiscal policy on economic growth. In reference to selected articles, were found to report a negative relationship between fiscal policy and economic growth. However, the results also suggested that the relationship may be positive. Similarly, there were studies that supported the neutrality of fiscal policy and economic growth. Therefore, there is no unanimity regarding the connection between fiscal policy and economic growth. The nature of the relationship may be positive, negative, or neutral. Overall, this review demonstrates that the effect of fiscal policy on economic growth is not constant and varies depending on a number of heterogeneous factors, such as the research methodology used, the level of development of the sampled countries, the relative size of the public sector, institutional quality, the composition, and the selected control variables, among others. The study finds, therefore, that the influence of fiscal policy on economic growth is ambiguous.

## References

1. Abueid, A. I. S., Haron, N. F., & Abad, O. M. (2018). The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment, Aids and Economic Growth: Evidence from Structural Breaks for Jordan. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(11).
2. Aghion, P., Akcigit, U., Cage, J., & Kerr, W. R. (2016). Taxation, corruption, and growth. *European Economic Review*, 86, 24-51.
3. Alawneh, A. (2017). The impact of public expenditure and public debt on taxes: A case study of Jordan. *Accounting and Finance Research*, 6(3), 10-23.
4. AL-KASASBEH, O. M. (2022). THE NEXUS BETWEEN FISCAL POLICY AND ECONOMIC

GROWTH FOR JORDAN: FRESH INSIGHTS FROM MAKI COINTEGRATION. *Innovare Journal of Business Management*, 10(1), 1-4.

5. Al-kasasbeh, O., Alzghoul, A., & Alghraibeh, K. (2022). Global FDI inflows and outflows in emerging economies Post-COVID-19 era. *Future Business Journal*, 8(1), 1-9.
6. Al-Kasasbeh, O., Alzghoul, A., & Alhanatleh, H. (2022). Empirical Analysis of Air Pollution Impacts on Jordan Economy. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 12(4), 512-516.
7. Al-kasasbeh, O., Alzghoul, A., & Alhanatleh, H. (2022). The Impact of Fiscal Policy and Trade Liberalization on Economic Growth: Evidence from Structural Breaks for Jordan. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 7(6), e0850-e0850.
8. Alkasasbeha, O. M. A., Haron, N. F., & Abueid, A. I. S. (2018). The Impact of Government Expenditures, Taxes on Economic Growth in Jordan. *American based research journal*, 7(12).
9. Alptekin, A., & Levine, P. (2012). Military expenditure and economic growth: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 28(4), 636-650.
10. Al-kasasbeh, O. (2022). COVID-19 Pandemic: Macroeconomic Impacts and Understanding its Implications for Jordan. *Journal of Environmental Science and Economics*, 1(2), 51-57.
11. Al-kasasbeh, O. (2022). The relationship between unemployment and economic growth: is Okun's Law valid for the Jordan case?. *International Journal of Economics Development Research (IJEDR)*, 3(3), 217-226.
12. Atilgan, E., Kilic, D., & Ertugrul, H. M. (2017). The dynamic relationship between health expenditure and economic growth: is the health-led growth hypothesis valid for Turkey?. *The European Journal of Health Economics*, 18(5), 567-574.
13. Audu, N. P. (2012). The impact of fiscal policy on the Nigerian economy. *International Review of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(1), 142-150.
14. Babatunde, O. A., Ibukun, A. O., & Oyeyemi, O. G. (2017). Taxation revenue and economic growth in Africa. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 9(2), 11-22.
15. Biswas, S., Chakraborty, I., & Hai, R. (2017). Income inequality, tax policy, and economic growth. *The Economic Journal*, 127(601), 688-727.
16. Bozkurt, C. & Göğül, P. K. (2010), "Coordination of monetary and fiscal policies", *Akademik Araştırmalar ve Çalışmalar Dergisi*, 2 (2), 27 – 44.
17. Cherif, R., & Hasanov, F. (2018). Public debt dynamics: the effects of austerity, inflation, and growth shocks. *Empirical Economics*, 54(3), 1087-1105.
18. Chiu, Y. B., & Lee, C. C. (2017). On the Impact of Public Debt on Economic Growth: Does Country Risk Matter? *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 35(4), 751-766.
19. d'Agostino, G., Dunne, J. P., & Pieroni, L. (2016).

- Government spending, corruption and economic growth. *World Development*, 84, 190-205.
20. d'Agostino, G., Dunne, J. P., & Pieroni, L. (2018). Military expenditure, endogeneity and economic growth. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 1-16.
  21. Di Sanzo, S., Bella, M., & Graziano, G. (2017). Tax Structure and Economic Growth: A Panel Cointegrated VAR Analysis. *Italian Economic Journal*, 3(2), 239-253.
  22. Dudley-Evans, T. (2017). The debate over Milton Friedman's theoretical framework: an applied linguist's view. In *Economics and language* (pp. 132-152). Routledge.
  23. Erkam, S. (2010). Effectiveness of Fiscal Policies: Theory and an Application, Maliye Bakanlığı SGB Publishing No: 2010/407, Ankara.
  24. Ferando Reis, C., & Serafim, J. (2018). Growth and Debt in Angola at Provincial Level (No. 172). CE-SA-Center for African, Asian and Latin American Studies.
  25. Gale, W. G., Krupkin, A., & Rueben, K. (2015). The relationship between taxes and growth at the state level: new evidence. *National Tax Journal*, 68(4), 919.
  26. Gómez-Puig, M., & Sosvilla-Rivero, S. (2018). Nonfinancial debt and economic growth in euro-area countries. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 56, 17-37.
  27. Grdinić, M., Drezgić, S., & Blažić, H. (2017). An Empirical Analysis of the Relationship between Tax Structures and Economic Growth in CEE Countries 1. *Ekonomicky Casopis*, 65(5), 426.
  28. Herndon, T., Ash, M., & Pollin, R. (2014). Does high public debt consistently stifle economic growth? A critique of Reinhart and Rogoff. *Cambridge journal of economics*, 38(2), 257-279.
  29. Hoover, H. (2015). *The Memoirs of Herbert Hoover-The Great Depression, 1929-1941*. Read Books Ltd.
  30. Alzghoul, A., Alsheikh, G. A. A., & Yamin, I. (2023). The Relationship Between Savings and Investment: Evidence From Jordan. *International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev.*, 8(3), 3.
  31. Al-Kasasbeh, O. (2023). The Impact Of Fiscal Policy On Economic Growth. *HISTORICAL: Journal of History and Social Sciences*, 2(1), 16-24.
  32. Iamsiraroj, S. (2016). The foreign direct investment–economic growth nexus. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 42, 116-133.
  33. Ivanyina, M., Moumouras, A., & Rangazas, P. (2016). The culture of corruption, tax evasion, and economic growth. *Economic inquiry*, 54(1), 520-542.
  34. Jayeola, O., Sidek, S., Abdul-Samad, Z., Hasbullah, N. N., Anwar, S., An, N. B., ... & Ray, S. (2022). The Mediating and Moderating Effects of Top Management Support on the Cloud ERP Implementation–Financial Performance Relationship. *Sustainability*, 14(9), 5688.
  35. Juliana, M. I. (2018). Effect of Tax Structure on Economic Growth in Nigeria *Journal of Innovative Finance and Economics Research* 6(1):1-11, Jan-Mar.
  36. Karagöz, and Keskin, (2016). Impact of Fiscal Policy on the Macroeconomic Aggregates in Turkey: Evidence from BVAR Model. *Procedia economics and finance*, 38, 408-420.
  37. Kasasbeh, O. (2021). Fiscal Policy and its Relationship with Economic Growth a Review Study. Available at SSRN 3789109.
  38. Kasasbeh, O. (2021). Public Debt and Economic Growth: Is There Any Causal Effect? An Empirical Analysis with Structural Breaks and Granger Causality for Jordan. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TRENDS IN ACCOUNTING RESEARCH*, 2(1), 106-110.
  39. Keho, Y. (2015). Revisiting Wagner's law for selected African countries: A frequency domain causality analysis. *Journal of Statistical and Econometrics Method*, 4(4), 55-69.
  40. Kia, A. S., & Mousavi, Z. (2015). The evaluation of the impact of income tax on economic growth and development in the Islamic republic of Iran during 1971-2006.
  41. Le Van, C., Nguyen-Van, P., Barbier-Gauchard, A., & Le, D. A. (2019). Government expenditure, external and domestic public debt, and economic growth. *Journal of Public Economic Theory*.
  42. Lof, M., & Malinen, T. (2014). Does sovereign debt weaken economic growth? A panel VAR analysis. *Economics Letters*, 122(3), 403-407.
  43. Murinde, V.: Financial development and economic growth: global and African evidence. *J. Afr. Econ.* 21 (suppl1), i10–i56 (2012).
  44. Ndubuisi, P. (2017). Dynamic Relationship between Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth in Nigeria (Long and Short Run Analysis). *Journal of Business and Economic Development*, 2(4).
  45. Nursini, N. (2017). Effect of fiscal policy and trade openness on economic growth in Indonesia: 1990-2015. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 7(1), 358-364.
  46. Osei, D. B., Aglobitse, P. B., & Bentum-Ennin, I. (2017). Relationship between construction expenditure and economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa. *Ghanaian Journal of Economics*, 5(1), 28-55.
  47. Paul, F., & Furahisha, G. (2017). Government expenditure and economic growth nexus: Wagner's law or Keynesian hypothesis for Tanzania? *African Journal of Economic Review*, 5(1), 32-47.
  48. Sanni, A. (2012). Public expenditure and economic growth. *African journal of economic policy*, 1 (1). Nigeria, university of Ibadan press.
  49. Stoilova, D., & Patonov, N. (2013). An empirical evidence for the impact of taxation on economy growth in the European Union. *Tourism & Management Studies*, 1031-1039.
  50. Stylianou, T. (2014). Debt and economic growth: Is there any causal effect? An empirical analysis with structural breaks and Granger causality for Greece. *Theoretical and Applied Economics*, 21(1), 51-62.
  51. Tcherneva, P. (2011). Fiscal policy effectiveness: Lessons from the Great Recession. Working paper, Levy Economics Institute of Bard College.
  52. Ugwuanyi, U. B., & Ugwunta, O. D. (2017). Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth: An Examination of Selected Countries in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 7(1), 117-130.
  53. Xue, L., Weng, L., & Yu, H. (2018). Addressing policy challenges in implementing Sustainable Development

Goals through an adaptive governance approach: A view from transitional China. *Sustainable Development*, 26(2), 150-158.

54. Ziaei, S. M. (2015). Effects of financial development indicators on energy consumption and CO2 emission of European, East Asian and Oceania countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 752-759.
55. Yamin, I., Al\_Kasasbeh, O., Alzghoul, A., & Alsheikh, G. (2023). The Influence of Public Debt on Economic Growth: a Review of Literature. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 8(4), e01772-e01772.