ISRG JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MEDICINE AND MEDICAL RESEARCH [ISRGJCMMR]

ISRG PUBLISHERS
Abbreviated Key Title: ISRG J Clinic.Medici.Medica.Res.
ISSN: 3048-8850 (Online)
Journal homepage: https://isrgpublishers.com/cmmr/
Volume – III, Issue - II (March-April) 2026
Frequency: Bimonthly

Antibiotic Use and Antimicrobial Resistance: Insights from Science Literature

Msc. Raselda Rusta

Pharmacist, Regional Hospital of Elbasan "Xhaferr Kongoli", Albania

| **Received:** 22.04.2026 | **Accepted:** 26.04.2026 | **Published:** 29.04.2026

***Corresponding author:** Msc. Raselda Rusta

Abstract

Background: *Antimicrobial resistance (AMR), defined as the ability of microorganisms to withstand antimicrobial treatments, is widely recognized as one of the most serious global public health challenges. It undermines the effectiveness of antibiotics, increases healthcare costs, and contributes to higher morbidity and mortality rates worldwide.*

Aims: *This paper aims to provide a structured and critical review of international scientific literature on antibiotic use and its role in the emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance, with particular attention to behavioral, institutional, and policy-related determinants.*

Methodology: *The study adopts a qualitative literature review approach based on peer-reviewed articles, international reports, and policy documents produced by major health organizations and academic institutions. Sources were selected according to relevance, credibility, and contribution to understanding antibiotic use patterns and antimicrobial resistance. The analysis focuses on identifying key trends, determinants, and policy responses across different healthcare settings and countries.*

Results/Findings: *The literature consistently shows that inappropriate antibiotic use—especially overprescription, self-medication, and non-prescription access—is a primary driver of antimicrobial resistance. These practices are shaped by multiple factors, including prescribing behavior, patient expectations, weak regulatory frameworks, and limited public awareness. Significant disparities exist between healthcare systems, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where regulatory enforcement is often insufficient. Evidence indicates that interventions such as antimicrobial stewardship programs, public awareness campaigns, and improved surveillance systems have been effective when implemented in a coordinated and integrated manner.*

Conclusion: *Antimicrobial resistance represents a complex and multi-dimensional challenge that requires comprehensive and coordinated responses. No single intervention is sufficient; rather, effective strategies must combine regulatory, educational, and institutional measures. Strengthening governance, enhancing awareness, and promoting responsible antibiotic use are essential for mitigating the global impact of antimicrobial resistance and ensuring sustainable healthcare outcomes.*

Keywords: *antibiotic use, antimicrobial resistance, global health, health policy, stewardship.*

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19880159

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become a critical concern for global health systems, threatening the effectiveness of antibiotics and complicating the treatment of infectious diseases (1). Over the past decades, the widespread use and misuse of antibiotics in both clinical and community settings have accelerated the emergence of resistant microorganisms (2). This phenomenon not only undermines medical progress but also increases healthcare costs and mortality rates (3).

Previous research has extensively explored the clinical and microbiological aspects of AMR. However, growing attention has been directed toward the broader determinants of antibiotic use, including behavioral, institutional, and policy-related factors (4). Studies have shown that prescribing practices, patient expectations, access to medications, and regulatory environments significantly influence antibiotic consumption patterns (5). The purpose of this paper is to review and synthesize existing scientific literature on antibiotic use and antimicrobial resistance. By focusing on patterns of use, key determinants, and policy responses, the study aims to contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of AMR as a socio-institutional challenge and to identify strategies for more effective management.

2. Method and Materials

This study is based on a qualitative literature review of existing methodology, with the aim of synthesizing current knowledge on antibiotic use and antimicrobial resistance (10). Rather than generating primary data, the analysis draws on previously published research and institutional reports.

2.1. Data Sources

The materials used in this study were identified through searches in widely used academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar. In addition, relevant reports and policy documents were consulted from international organizations such as the World Health Organization and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (6), as well as other institutional sources working in the field of public health.

2.2. Search Strategy

The literature search was carried out using combinations of keywords such as “antibiotic use,” “antimicrobial resistance,” “antibiotic misuse,” “antimicrobial stewardship,” and “health policy.” These terms were combined to refine the results. The focus was on publications, primarily from the last decade, in order to capture recent developments and current perspectives (10).

2.3. Selection Criteria

The selection of sources was guided by their relevance to the research topic and their contribution to understanding the relationship between antibiotic use and antimicrobial resistance. Priority was given to peer-reviewed articles and official reports that addressed healthcare practices, regulatory frameworks, or behavioral aspects of antibiotic consumption (4). Sources lacking clear methodological grounding or direct relevance to the topic were not included.

2.4. Analytical Approach

The analysis followed a thematic approach, allowing key issues to emerge from the literature rather than being imposed in advance (11). Particular attention was paid to recurring patterns in antibiotic use across different healthcare settings, as well as to differences between countries and health systems. The review also considered how various policy interventions - such as stewardship programs, regulatory measures, and public awareness campaigns - have been evaluated in the literature (1).

3. Results

The analysis of the selected literature provides a comprehensive overview of how antibiotic use contributes to the development and spread of antimicrobial resistance across different contexts (2).

The findings reveal that antibiotic consumption is not uniform but varies significantly depending on healthcare settings, regulatory environments, and socio-economic conditions (4). At the same time, the literature consistently identifies a set of underlying factors that shape these patterns, including prescribing behaviors, patient expectations, and the effectiveness of health system governance (5).

In addition to highlighting key challenges - such as overuse, self-medication, and weak monitoring mechanisms - the reviewed studies also point to a range of policy responses that have shown potential in addressing these issues (1). Overall, the results emphasize the complexity of antibiotic use as a multidimensional phenomenon that requires a coordinated and context-sensitive approach.

3.1. Patterns of Antibiotic Use

A consistent finding across the reviewed literature is that antibiotic use varies considerably depending on the healthcare setting, the structure of the health system, and the broader socio-economic context in which care is delivered (4). These variations are not merely quantitative but also qualitative, reflecting differences in prescribing culture, access to diagnostic tools, and institutional practices.

In hospital settings, the use of antibiotics, particularly, broad-spectrum agents tends to be relatively high (3). This pattern is often explained by the severity of infections encountered in inpatient care, where clinicians are required to make rapid decisions, sometimes in the absence of definitive diagnostic results. In such situations, empirical treatment becomes a common approach, leading to the frequent use of broad-spectrum antibiotics as a precautionary measure (1). While this practice may be clinically justified in certain cases, the literature suggests that it also contributes to unnecessary exposure to antibiotics, especially when de-escalation strategies are not consistently applied.

In contrast, primary care presents a different set of dynamics. Here, antibiotics are frequently prescribed for common conditions such as upper respiratory tract infections, many of which are viral in origin and therefore do not require antibiotic treatment (9). Several studies point to the influence of diagnostic uncertainty and time constraints, as well as perceived patient expectations, as factors that contribute to this pattern (5). General practitioners may, in some cases, prescribe antibiotics as a precaution or to maintain patient satisfaction, even when clinical guidelines would suggest otherwise.

Community settings introduce yet another dimension to the issue. In a number of countries, particularly those with less stringent regulatory frameworks, antibiotics can be obtained without a prescription (2).

3.2. Determinants of Antibiotic Use

Beyond observable patterns, the literature provides substantial insight into the underlying factors that influence how antibiotics

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19880159

are used. These determinants operate at multiple levels, ranging from individual decision-making to broader systemic conditions (4).

At the level of healthcare professionals, prescribing behavior is influenced by a combination of clinical judgment, experience, and external pressures (5). Diagnostic uncertainty remains a significant challenge, particularly in settings where access to rapid and reliable diagnostic tools is limited. In such circumstances, clinicians may opt for antibiotic treatment as a precautionary measure. Time constraints and workload pressures also play a role, as shorter consultation times may limit the opportunity for detailed patient assessment and discussion.

Patients themselves are an important part of this equation. Expectations for quick relief and a general perception of antibiotics as "strong" or effective medicines often shape demand. In some contexts, patients may explicitly request antibiotics, while in others the expectation is more implicit but still influential. At the same time, levels of awareness regarding antimicrobial resistance and appropriate antibiotic use remain uneven, with many individuals lacking clear information about when antibiotics are necessary.

Regulatory frameworks represent another key determinant. The extent to which prescription requirements are enforced varies widely across countries and regions. In systems where oversight is weak, antibiotics may be dispensed without proper authorization, undermining efforts to control their use. Even where regulations exist, their implementation may be inconsistent due to limited institutional capacity or competing priorities.

Access to medication also plays a significant role. In some settings, antibiotics are readily available through pharmacies or informal channels, while in others access may be more restricted. Both extremes can create challenges: over-availability can encourage misuse, while limited access may lead individuals to seek alternative, and sometimes inappropriate, sources.

Finally, public awareness and education are critical factors. The literature consistently points to gaps in understanding regarding antimicrobial resistance and the proper use of antibiotics. Without adequate knowledge, both patients and providers may underestimate the long-term consequences of misuse.

3.3. Key Challenges

The convergence of these determinants gives rise to a number of persistent challenges that are widely documented in the literature. Among the most prominent is the issue of overprescription. Despite the availability of clinical guidelines, antibiotics continue to be prescribed in situations where they offer little or no therapeutic benefit. This is particularly evident in primary care, but it is not limited to that setting.

Self-medication represents another significant concern. In environments where antibiotics can be obtained without a prescription, individuals may use them without proper medical guidance, often selecting inappropriate drugs or dosages. This not only reduces treatment effectiveness but also contributes to the development of resistance.

A further challenge lies in the limited adherence to clinical guidelines (6). Even when such guidelines are well established, their implementation is not always consistent. Factors such as lack of training, insufficient institutional support, and variations in clinical practice can all contribute to this gap between recommendation and practice.

Weak surveillance and monitoring systems also hinder effective management of antibiotic use. Without reliable data on prescribing patterns and resistance trends, it becomes difficult to design targeted interventions or evaluate their impact (7). This issue is particularly pronounced in low- and middle-income countries, where resources for data collection and analysis may be limited (1). Overall, these challenges highlight the need for a more coordinated and systematic approach to antibiotic use, one that addresses both individual behavior and structural constraints.

3.4. Policy Responses and Interventions

In response to these challenges, a range of policy measures and interventions have been developed and implemented in different contexts. The literature provides valuable insights into their effectiveness and limitations.

Antimicrobial stewardship programs are among the most widely discussed strategies. These programs aim to optimize antibiotic use within healthcare settings by promoting appropriate prescribing, monitoring usage, and providing feedback to clinicians (6). Evidence suggests that when properly implemented, stewardship programs can significantly reduce unnecessary antibiotic use and improve patient outcomes (8).

Educational initiatives also play a crucial role. Efforts to raise awareness among healthcare professionals focus on improving prescribing practices and reinforcing adherence to guidelines. At the same time, public awareness campaigns seek to inform patients about the risks associated with antibiotic misuse and the importance of following medical advice. While education alone is not sufficient, it is an essential component of broader intervention strategies.

Strengthening surveillance systems is another key priority (7). Reliable data on antibiotic use and resistance patterns are essential for informed decision-making. Improvements in data collection, reporting, and analysis can enhance the ability of health systems to respond effectively to emerging trends.

Regulatory measures, including stricter control over the sale and distribution of antibiotics, are also highlighted in the literature. However, the effectiveness of such measures depends largely on their enforcement. In contexts where regulatory capacity is limited, additional support mechanisms may be required to ensure compliance.

Importantly, the evidence suggests that no single intervention can address the problem in isolation. The most successful approaches are those that combine multiple strategies, integrating regulatory, educational, and institutional components within a coherent policy framework. This integrated perspective reflects the complex nature of antimicrobial resistance and the need for coordinated action across different levels of the health system.

4. Discussion

The findings of this review reinforce the understanding that antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is not a purely clinical or microbiological issue, but rather a complex and evolving challenge shaped by the interaction of multiple factors operating at different levels. The misuse and overuse of antibiotics emerge consistently as central drivers of resistance; however, these practices cannot be fully explained without considering the broader social, behavioral, and institutional context in which antibiotic use takes place. In this sense, AMR should be approached as a systemic problem, requiring responses that go beyond individual clinical decisions.

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19880159

One of the key insights highlighted by the literature is the role of healthcare governance in shaping antibiotic use. Effective regulatory frameworks, clear clinical guidelines, and mechanisms for monitoring and accountability are essential for ensuring that antibiotics are prescribed and used appropriately. However, the existence of regulations alone is not sufficient. Their impact depends largely on the capacity of health systems to enforce them, as well as on the level of trust and compliance among healthcare professionals. In settings where oversight is weak or fragmented, inappropriate prescribing practices are more likely to persist, undermining efforts to control antimicrobial resistance.

At the same time, the behavior of both healthcare providers and patients plays a critical role. Prescribing decisions are often influenced not only by clinical evidence but also by external pressures, including time constraints, diagnostic uncertainty, and perceived patient expectations. Similarly, patients' attitudes toward antibiotics - shaped by cultural norms, past experiences, and levels of health literacy - can contribute to demand for unnecessary treatments. These dynamics highlight the importance of education and awareness as key components of any effective response to AMR. Informing both professionals and the general public about the risks associated with antibiotic misuse can support more responsible behaviors, although such efforts must be sustained and adapted to specific contexts in order to be effective.

The differences observed across countries and healthcare systems further underline the need for context-sensitive approaches. In high-income settings, challenges may be related to overprescription within well-established healthcare structures, while in low - and middle-income countries, issues such as limited access to diagnostics, informal drug markets, and weak regulatory enforcement may play a more prominent role. As a result, policies and interventions that are successful in one context cannot simply be transferred to another without careful adaptation. This calls for a more nuanced understanding of local conditions, as well as for the involvement of multiple stakeholders in the design and implementation of strategies.

Moreover, the global nature of antimicrobial resistance adds an additional layer of complexity. Resistant microorganisms do not respect national borders, and patterns of antibiotic use in one region can have consequences far beyond it. This interconnectedness makes international cooperation not only desirable but necessary. Coordinated efforts in surveillance, data sharing, and policy development can enhance the collective capacity to respond to AMR. International organizations, national governments, healthcare institutions, and the scientific community all have a role to play in this process.

Finally, the literature suggests that the most effective responses to antimicrobial resistance are those that adopt an integrated approach. Combining regulatory measures, educational initiatives, and institutional support mechanisms—such as antimicrobial stewardship programs—appears to yield more sustainable results than isolated interventions. Such an approach acknowledges the complexity of the issue and addresses its multiple dimensions simultaneously.

In conclusion, tackling antimicrobial resistance requires a shift from a narrow, treatment-focused perspective to a broader, system-oriented approach. Only by addressing the underlying social, behavioral, and institutional drivers of antibiotic use can meaningful and lasting progress be achieved.

5. Conclusion

This paper has presented a comprehensive review of the scientific literature addressing antibiotic use and its relationship with antimicrobial resistance (AMR). By bringing together findings from a wide range of studies, reports, and policy documents, the analysis highlights the scale and complexity of AMR as one of the most pressing challenges facing contemporary healthcare systems. The evidence consistently shows that antimicrobial resistance is not driven by a single factor, but rather emerges from the interaction of clinical practices, behavioral patterns, institutional structures, and regulatory environments.

A central conclusion of this review is that inappropriate antibiotic use remains the most significant contributor to the development and spread of resistance. Practices such as overprescription, self-medication, and the use of antibiotics without proper clinical indication continue to be observed across different healthcare settings and geographical contexts. These patterns are further reinforced by factors such as limited public awareness, variability in prescribing behaviors, and weaknesses in regulatory enforcement. As a result, addressing AMR requires a shift from isolated interventions toward more comprehensive and coordinated strategies.

The findings underscore the importance of strong regulatory and governance frameworks in ensuring the rational use of antibiotics. Effective policies must not only establish clear rules for prescription and distribution but also provide mechanisms for monitoring, evaluation, and accountability. At the same time, regulation alone cannot achieve lasting change without the support of well-informed healthcare professionals and an aware public. Continuous education and awareness initiatives are therefore essential to promote responsible antibiotic use and to reduce misconceptions surrounding their effectiveness.

Institutional support, particularly through the implementation of antimicrobial stewardship programs, represents another critical component of an effective response. These programs contribute to optimizing prescribing practices, improving patient outcomes, and reducing unnecessary antibiotic exposure. When combined with robust surveillance systems and data-driven decision-making, they provide a solid foundation for managing antimicrobial resistance within healthcare systems.

Ultimately, the review makes clear that antimicrobial resistance is a shared responsibility that extends beyond the healthcare sector. Policymakers, healthcare professionals, researchers, and the general public all play a role in shaping how antibiotics are used and managed. Sustained commitment and collaboration across these groups are essential for achieving meaningful progress.

In conclusion, mitigating the global impact of antimicrobial resistance requires coordinated, multi-level approaches that integrate regulatory, educational, and institutional measures. Only through such comprehensive efforts can the effectiveness of antibiotics be preserved and the long-term sustainability of healthcare systems be ensured.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019). *Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19880159

2. Davies, J., & Davies, D. (2010). Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, *74*(3), 417–433. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00016-10
3. Hernando-Amado, S., Coque, T. M., Baquero, F., & Martínez, J. L. (2019). Defining and combating antibiotic resistance from One Health and global health perspectives. *Nature Microbiology*, *4*, 1432–1442. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0503-9
4. Laxminarayan, R., Duse, A., Wattal, C., Zaidi, A. K. M., Wertheim, H. F. L., Sumpradit, N., ... & Cars, O. (2013). Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *13*(12), 1057–1098. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70318-9
5. O'Neill, J. (2016). *Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: Final report and recommendations*. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. https://amr-review.org
6. Prestinaci, F., Pezzotti, P., & Pantosti, A. (2015). Antimicrobial resistance: A global multifaceted phenomenon. *Pathogens and Global Health*, *109*(7), 309–318. https://doi.org/10.1179/2047773215Y.0000000030
7. Sanchez, G. V., Fleming-Dutra, K. E., Roberts, R. M., & Hicks, L. A. (2016). Core elements of outpatient antibiotic stewardship. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, *65*(6), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.rr6506a1
8. World Health Organization. (2020). *Antimicrobial resistance*. https://www.who.int/health-topics/antimicrobial-resistance
9. Ventola, C. L. (2015). The antibiotic resistance crisis: Part 1: Causes and threats. *Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, *40*(4), 277–283.
10. Marston, H. D., Dixon, D. M., Knisely, J. M., Palmore, T. N., & Fauci, A. S. (2016). Antimicrobial resistance. *JAMA*, *316*(11), 1193–1204. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.11764
11. Tacconelli, E., et al. (2018). Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *18*(1), 34–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30724-7
12. Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology. *Journal of Business Research*, *104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19880159