ISRG Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences (ISRGJAHSS)

ISRG PUBLISHERS

Abbreviated Key Title: ISRG J Arts Humanit Soc Sci

ISSN: 2583-7672 (Online)

Journal homepage: https://isrgpublishers.com/isrgjahss

Volume – III Issue -II (March – April) 2025

Frequency: Bimonthly

The Virtual Wunderkammer: Integrating Neuroinclusive Design and AI-Augmented Technologies for Immersive Museum Experiences

Piper Hutson[1], **James Hutson**[2*]

[1, 2] Lindenwood University, USA

| **Received:** 10.03.2025 | **Accepted:** 15.03.2025 | **Published:** 17.03.2025

***Corresponding author:** James Hutson

Lindenwood University, USA

Abstract

The Virtual Wunderkammer represents an innovative paradigm in museum exhibition design, integrating neuroinclusive principles with artificial intelligence (AI)-augmented technologies to foster immersive and cognitively accessible visitor experiences. Historically, the Wunderkammer, or "cabinet of curiosities," served as a precursor to modern museums, offering eclectic collections that stimulated intellectual curiosity and sensory engagement. The contemporary reimagining of this concept utilizes emerging technologies such as augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), haptic feedback, and olfactory-enhanced digital environments to create personalized, adaptive museum experiences. This study explores the critical intersection of neuroaesthetics, cognitive science, and AI-driven interactivity in digital exhibitions, emphasizing their potential to enhance nervous system regulation, emotional awareness, and interoception among diverse audiences, including neurodivergent visitors. The research addresses a pressing need for more inclusive, multisensory museum spaces that accommodate a broad range of cognitive and sensory profiles. Through case studies and technological applications, this chapter illustrates best practices for implementing the Virtual Wunderkammer, highlighting its capacity to transform museums into dynamic, accessible environments that transcend traditional limitations. By situating the discussion within the broader discourse on digital humanities and AI-mediated cultural heritage, this study provides a roadmap for the future of museum engagement. The findings underscore the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in designing technologically sophisticated, human-centered museum experiences that enhance accessibility and engagement while fostering deeper connections between audiences and cultural artifacts.

Keywords: *Virtual Wunderkammer, neuroinclusive design, AI-augmented technologies, immersive museum experiences, digital humanities*

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

Introduction

The concept of the Virtual Wunderkammer represents a transformative evolution in museum exhibition design, merging sensory-inclusive principles with sophisticated AI-augmented technologies. This innovative convergence seeks to establish immersive environments that are engaging, deeply enriching, and accommodating to neurodiverse audiences. Historically, the Wunderkammer, or "cabinet of curiosities," **(Figure 1)** served as an essential precursor to modern museums (Williams & Tsien, 2013). Originating in Renaissance Europe, these cabinets were privately owned collections assembled by the intellectual and aristocratic elite, housing diverse and eclectic objects ranging from natural wonders, exotic artifacts, to intricate works of art and antiquities (Westerhoff, 2001). These collections were intended not merely for display, but as catalysts for intellectual curiosity and contemplation, embodying a microcosmic representation of the natural and cultural world. The distinctive approach of such novelties involved a multisensory encounter—objects were meant to be touched, observed closely, and even smelled, creating an immersive exploration that stimulated the imagination and sensory perception of the viewer (Thomas, 2013).

Figure 1. Domenico Remps, *Cabinet of Curiosities*, 1690s. (CC O)

In contemporary practice, the Virtual Wunderkammer extends and refines this rich historical tradition through the integration of advanced digital technologies. Through the utlization of tools such as augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and haptic and olfactory feedback devices, digital exhibition spaces offer adaptive, personalized experiences that respond dynamically to the sensory and cognitive needs of each visitor. This modern interpretation prioritizes emotional well-being, heightened sensory engagement, and cognitive accessibility, making museum spaces profoundly inclusive. The Virtual Wunderkammer thus stands as a significant advancement, revitalizing historical paradigms to enhance and democratize the museum experience for all visitors (Hutson & Hutson, 2024).

Drawing upon the principles of neuroaesthetics and cognitive science, this exploration emphasizes the potential of these digital spaces to support nervous system regulation, emotional awareness, and interoception. The adaptive nature of AI-augmented technologies allows for personalization at unprecedented levels, ensuring that individualized experience is uniquely tailored to their sensory and emotional needs. Such personalization is made possible through the integration of advanced sensory technologies—including AR smart glasses, haptic feedback devices, and olfactory VR—each designed to modify the museum environment in real-time to suit individual preferences (England et al., 2023; Kazanskiy et al., 2023). Moreover, the accessibility and personalization of these experiences are further enhanced by recent advancements in immersive technologies, which allow for the seamless integration of digital information within the physical world. This integration ensures that virtual exhibits are not only accessible but also adjustable to enhance the sensory quality of the experience according to individual neuroaesthetic profile (Zuraikat, 2020; Glista et al., 2023).

This paper will examine the development and practical implementation of the Virtual Wunderkammer by exploring various speculative design strategies and technological applications through detailed case studies. Recognizing the critical need for inclusive museum practices that cater to diverse sensory and cognitive profiles, this analysis underscores the imperative for speculative design methodologies, which allow for imaginative and flexible exploration of future-oriented possibilities. Speculative design, as a methodological approach, enables museums to envision innovative interactions and inclusivity strategies that go beyond conventional boundaries, proactively addressing the evolving expectations and needs of their visitors. The incorporation of recent advancements beyond the standard VR headsets and smartphone AR, such as NextGen smart glasses, the following investigates how digital exhibition spaces can foster deeper emotional resonance, cognitive accessibility, and enhanced visitor engagement.

The significance of employing speculative design in developing the experience lies in its potential to proactively accommodate future visitor demographics and emerging cognitive and sensory requirements. This forward-thinking perspective equips museum designers and curators with adaptable frameworks that anticipate and respond dynamically to the evolving landscape of visitor engagement. The approach detailed emphasizes interdisciplinary collaboration between cognitive science, neuroaesthetics, and digital technology, ensuring comprehensive and integrative solutions. Consequently, this exploration not only provides a roadmap for effectively implementing these transformative exhibition practices but also situates the Virtual Wunderkammer within broader discussions about the future of museum engagement, cultural heritage preservation, and visitor-centric design innovation.

Understanding the Virtual Wunderkammer

The proposed experience, envisioned as a contemporary adaptation of the historical Wunderkammer, harnesses AI and sensory-inclusive design to redefine contemporary museum exhibitions from passive exhibits to engaging and active environments. Central to this reimagined concept is the integration of advanced sensory technologies providing personalized visitor experiences, significantly enhancing cognitive accessibility and emotional resonance. At its core, this exhibition model functions as an interactive, AI-driven repository of artworks and artifacts, dynamically responding in real-time to individual visitor sensory profiles. For instance, AI technologies such as AR interfaces can provide additional contextual layers to historical artifacts, while VR headsets allow visitors to virtually explore inaccessible historical sites, significantly enriching the overall visitor experience (Cotter et al., 2023). Examples can be traced from the early 2000s and have kept expanding. For instance, the

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

ImmersaDesk2 (2001) at the Foundation of the Hellenic World represented an early foray into immersive cultural experiences with the cultural history of Athens **(Figure 2)** (Johnson & Leigh, 2001).

Figure 2. ImmersaDesk2, Foundations of the Hellenic World, 2001. Athens, Greece. (CC O)

Sensory-inclusive design principles ensure accessibility for all visitors, especially those with specific sensory sensitivities or neurodivergent conditions. Traditional museum settings often present sensory challenges with crowded areas, shifting brightly lit areas, and unexpected smells near restrooms, all limiting the accessibility and engagement of neurodiverse audiences who may have various sensory processing conditions. Through employing adaptive technologies, museums can tailor environmental elements like lighting, audio cues, tactile sensations, and scent profiles. An illustrative example is the use of olfactory VR systems to create calming atmospheres for individuals prone to sensory overload, such as releasing the scent of lavender or pine to induce relaxation during stressful exhibit moments (Magsamen & Ross, 2023; Zhong et al., 2023). The foray into this could be seen in exhibits like *The Art of the Scent 1889-2012* in 2012 at the Museum of Arts and Design in New York (Shiner, 2015).

Neuroaesthetics provides the theoretical underpinning for this sensory-rich approach, offering insight into how tailored aesthetic environments can enhance psychological well-being and cognitive engagement. Empirical studies in neuroaesthetics have demonstrated how personalized art experiences can stimulate emotional responses and improve mental well-being, facilitating deeper cognitive connections to exhibited artifacts (Chatterjee & Vartanian, 2016). One practical application is the implementation of interactive haptic devices that allow visitors to physically experience textures and forms of sculptures or artifacts, thereby transforming passive observation into a more immersive, embodied interaction (Tong et al., 2023). Additionally, research indicates that AI-enhanced museums foster more profound connections between visitors and exhibitions by dynamically adjusting exhibit elements based on emotional cues, furthering the impact of sensory-inclusive strategies (Rani et al., 2023).

Likewise, incorporating a salutogenic approach, these digitally enhanced environments prioritize promoting sensory wellness over merely preventing sensory distress. The salutogenic model, introduced by Aaron Antonovsky, focuses on identifying and utilizing resources and capabilities that foster resilience and well-being, helping individuals effectively manage stress and maintain optimal mental and physical health (Mittelmark et al., 2022). This strategy actively supports visitor emotional wellness and sensory comfort, creating environments that foster positive engagement and exploration. For example, museums employing AI-driven environmental controls can dynamically adjust exhibit lighting and audio levels to maintain visitor comfort, using real-time biometric feedback to prevent overstimulation (Wienrich & Latoschik, 2021).

Research further suggests that digital sensory augmentation, such as AR overlays that highlight specific exhibit details or VR-based historical recreations, enhances both engagement and knowledge retention, making museum spaces more inclusive and immersive (Guazzaroni, 2020). Museums such as the Ephesus Experience Museum have implemented these technologies to offer interactive, multi-sensory journeys that elevate the traditional visitor experience (Kahraman & Candan, 2024). For instance, museums can overlay via a visitor's smartphone something that is not in the actual gallery to show something as it originally was or reconstituting part of it **(Figure 3).** These advancements showcase the potential of a Virtual Wunderkammer to blend past and present seamlessly, engaging audiences through emotionally and intellectually stimulating content. Expanding on these approaches, institutions like purpleSTARS have pioneered the integration of digital and sensory media to enhance accessibility in cultural spaces. Through the inclusion of individuals with diverse cognitive conditions in the curation and development process, these projects ensure that AI-enhanced museums address the needs of a broader spectrum of visitors (Allen et al., 2020). This model reinforces the value of participatory design in museum development, making cultural heritage more inclusive and adaptive.

Figure 3. James Hutson, *Augmented Reality Museum Example*, DALLE-3, 2025 (CC O)

Finally, research underscores the broader implications of enhanced museum experiences for cognitive accessibility. Studies on the impact of multi-sensory exhibitions suggest that integrating smart interactions with traditional museum structures can revolutionize knowledge transfer, making exhibits more engaging for individuals with diverse learning styles (Harada et al., 2018). As museum environments continue to embrace interactive, AI-driven elements, the conceptual boundaries of the Wunderkammer are redefined, fostering a museum culture that is more immersive, inclusive, and emotionally resonant for all visitors. Through overcoming traditional barriers like physical navigation constraints or

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

information overload, museums can broaden their audience reach and enhance engagement. A practical illustration is seen in museums using AR smart glasses that overlay interactive educational content directly onto physical exhibits, simplifying complex information and making it accessible for visitors with diverse cognitive capabilities (Glista et al., 2023). Such advancements significantly enrich visitor experiences, forging deeper emotional and cognitive connections to art and cultural heritage.

The successful integration of sensory-inclusive design with AI-driven technologies heralds an important new frontier for museum exhibitions, addressing the full spectrum of visitor abilities and preferences. Through the incorporation of adjustable lighting, flexible audio environments, tactile interactivity, and even olfactory elements, museums can alleviate potential barriers that might otherwise diminish visitor engagement. In particular, visually oriented considerations often involve user-controlled brightness settings or carefully selected color palettes, which help accommodate conditions such as photophobia and color vision deficiencies. For instance, exhibits might employ high-contrast graphics or specialized filters to highlight specific hues for color-blind patrons (Ananto et al., 2011). This approach not only improves visual clarity but also underscores the institutional commitment to equitable access. Likewise, soundscapes can be fine-tuned through volume controls or interactive audio zones, reducing stress for visitors with auditory sensitivities (Glista et al., 2023). Meanwhile, tactile experiences facilitated by haptic feedback devices immerse attendees in otherwise inaccessible aspects of collections by approximating textures, shapes, or the subtle contours of artworks (Tong et al., 2023). These features can prove invaluable in bridging the gap between viewer and exhibit, particularly for individuals who rely on tactile cues to grasp abstract or intricate details.

Olfactory enhancements further expand the sensory palette by invoking the sense of smell as a means of intensifying emotional resonance and thematic unity. Employing targeted scents such as lavender or rosemary has shown promise for moderating stress responses, thereby grounding visitors who might otherwise experience sensory overload (Zhong et al., 2023). The interplay of scent with other sensory channels can also enliven historical exhibits—such as evoking the fragrance of sea air in maritime galleries or the aroma of spices in cultural collections—transcending purely visual interpretations and strengthening a sense of immersion. In effect, museums that adopt these layered approaches offer multi-dimensional narratives, empowering all patrons to explore and interpret content in ways that resonate with their unique sensory profiles.

Intelligent technologies build on these inclusive strategies by applying real-time data analytics to optimize visitor comfort and engagement. This begins with wearable devices—such as smart wristbands **(Figure 4)** or headsets—that monitor physiological metrics like heart rate or galvanic skin response. If stress indicators spike, adaptive systems can automatically dim lights, reduce volume, or generate a soothing scent, easing potential anxiety without requiring direct staff intervention (Wienrich & Latoschik, 2021). Beyond regulating sensory intensity, various intelligent applications can facilitate the curation of personalized learning experiences. Through machine learning and natural language processing, exhibits may recommend deeper historical context, simplified explanations, or language translations based on user profiles or in-exhibit behavior, ensuring a satisfying intellectual journey for audiences of varying ages, cultural backgrounds, and educational levels. Research suggests that smart museum design extends beyond accessibility, shaping the visitor experience to create deeper and more meaningful interactions. Studies highlight the potential of the technology to enhance digital curation strategies by adapting exhibit displays in real-time based on visitor movement patterns and engagement levels (Marconcini, 2023). This dynamic approach allows museums to cater to diverse visitor needs, reinforcing the role of AI in ensuring that cultural spaces are both immersive and adaptive.

Figure 4. Max-Health-Band, 2020. (CC 4.0)

One emerging area of focus is the integration of AI and sensory-inclusive materials in wayfinding design. Museums such as those in the Lombardia region have implemented multisensory navigation aids, including sound-based directional systems and touch-sensitive maps, to assist visually impaired visitors in navigating exhibitions independently (Zhang & Trocchianesi, 2024). These efforts contribute to a broader push toward making cultural institutions universally accessible, ensuring that all visitors can experience museum spaces on their own terms. Moreover, incorporating intelligent systems into sensory museum design also extends to the emotional dimension of visitor interactions. AI-curated exhibits increasingly employ affective computing to gauge visitor responses and adjust exhibit presentations accordingly. Research on assisted museum curation has demonstrated how affect-driven content presentation can enhance visitor engagement, particularly in immersive installations that rely on emotional storytelling (Ahmed, 2020). Through the integration of AI-powered emotional recognition with sensory augmentation, museums can create environments that resonate with visitors on a profoundly personal level.

Recent case studies demonstrate the effectiveness of AI-assisted museum adaptations in fostering inclusion and engagement. The purpleSTARS initiative, for example, successfully incorporated sensory and digital media into exhibitions, ensuring that people with various neurodiverse conditions could fully participate in the museum experience (Allen et al., 2020). Through fostering greater inclusivity, these advancements reinforce the broader movement toward museums as interactive, participatory environments. Thus, the intersection of intelligent systems, sensory-inclusive design, and multisensory technology signals a paradigm shift in museum exhibition design. As research continues to advance, the future of museum design will likely see an even greater emphasis on AI-

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

driven interactivity, ensuring that cultural spaces evolve in response to the changing expectations of contemporary audiences.

Implementation Strategies for the Virtual Wunderkammer

The implementation of the Virtual Wunderkammer concept in digital exhibitions requires careful planning and a deep understanding of both technological possibilities and visitor needs **(Table 1).** Museums seeking to adopt this innovative approach must consider various aspects of design, technology integration, and visitor interaction to ensure successful implementation. Museums should begin by identifying the specific goals of their digital exhibition, such as enhancing educational content, improving accessibility, or providing a personalized visitor experience. Key steps include selecting appropriate technologies, designing inclusive and adaptive interfaces, and creating content that resonates with diverse audiences.

Table 1. Implementation Framework for the Virtual Wunderkammer

Implementation Steps	Technologies & Methods	Specific Museum Examples	Expected Outcomes
Goal Identification	Stakeholder consultations, visitor surveys, clear definition of educational or accessibility goals	Taiwan Railway Museum (AI-based visitor flow optimization)	Clearly defined goals ensuring technology aligns with visitor needs and museum mission
Technology Selection	AR smart glasses, VR headsets, haptic suits, olfactory VR devices	Science Museum of California (AR smart glasses displaying 3D models of DNA)	Enhanced visitor engagement, enriched educational content
Inclusive Interface Design	Customizable sensory settings, intuitive user interfaces, interactive navigation aids	purpleSTARS Initiative (inclusive interfaces developed with neurodiverse individuals)	Increased accessibility and comfort for visitors with sensory sensitivities
AI-driven Content Adaptation	Machine learning for real-time visitor behavior analysis, adaptive content presentation	New York Impressionist Exhibition (AI dynamically adjusts sensory stimuli in real-time)	Personalized visitor experiences, improved visitor satisfaction
Emotional & Nervous System Regulation	VR calming environments, adaptive AR adjustments (lighting, audio)	Art museums employing immersive VR for anxiety reduction	Reduced anxiety, enhanced emotional regulation, deeper visitor engagement
Interoception & Biometric Integration	Biometric monitors (heart rate, galvanic skin response), adaptive feedback mechanisms	Exhibitions integrating biometric feedback for emotional awareness (e.g., Japan's interactive installations)	Increased visitor bodily awareness, improved emotional well-being
Cognitive Accessibility	Simplified navigation, personalized AI guides, multilingual content delivery	Case Museo di Milano (AI-driven chatbot providing personalized conversational content)	Improved comprehension, engagement, accessibility for diverse cognitive abilities
Iterative User Testing & Refinement	Continuous user feedback loops, observational studies, mixed-method evaluation	Museums conducting iterative testing phases (e.g., National Railway Museum in Taiwan)	Continuous improvement, increased alignment with visitor expectations and satisfaction
Long-term Evaluation Strategies	Longitudinal studies, qualitative and quantitative metrics	Studies monitoring long-term visitor impacts (e.g., emotional health, repeat visitation rates)	Sustainable improvements in visitor learning, satisfaction, and emotional health
Future Research & Development	Advanced adaptive algorithms, expanded biometric analysis, sensory modality impact studies	Emerging technologies in AI curation and emotional computing (e.g., affective computing studies)	Further optimized museum experiences, deeper cognitive and emotional engagement

Technological selection should focus on devices and software that support AR, VR, haptic feedback, and other sensory augmentation tools that can be integrated seamlessly into the exhibition space. For instance, AR smart glasses like AU Smart Glasses **(Figure 5)** can enhance visual content, while haptic suits may be used to simulate tactile experiences (Cotter et al., 2023). Designing user interfaces involves ensuring that they are intuitive and accessible to people with varying degrees of technological proficiency and sensory sensitivities. This might include customizable settings for controlling sensory inputs and outputs to accommodate individual preferences and needs (Magsamen & Ross, 2023). Content creation should leverage AI to dynamically adapt to visitor interactions, using input from real-time data to personalize the experience. This could involve AI systems that analyze visitor behavior to modify exhibit elements on the fly, enhancing engagement and educational value (Lee, 2023).

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

Figure 5. Au Smart glasses, 2024 (CC 4.0)

One illustrative case study involves the deployment of a Virtual Wunderkammer at a major art museum in New York, where AI-augmented technologies were used to create a multisensory exhibition of Impressionist paintings. This implementation used VR headsets to provide immersive visual experiences, while olfactory devices released scents associated with the scenes depicted in the artworks, such as the smell of the sea or blooming flowers, enhancing the sensory experience and emotional connection (Zhong et al., 2023). Another case involves a science museum in California that integrated AR smart glasses to provide a layered educational experience. Visitors could view 3D models of scientific phenomena, such as the structure of DNA or the workings of the solar system, overlaid directly onto physical exhibits. This integration allowed for a deeper understanding and engagement, particularly for younger audiences or those with learning disabilities (Glista et al., 2023).

The experience can significantly support nervous system regulation and emotional awareness in museum visitors by creating environments that are both calming and engaging. Immersive experiences can be tailored to induce relaxation or stimulation, depending on visitor emotional state, helping to regulate the nervous system (Cotter et al., 2023). For instance, a VR experience that simulates a peaceful forest environment can help reduce anxiety and stress, promoting a state of calm and allowing visitors to engage more deeply with the content **(Figure 6).** Similarly, AR experiences that adjust lighting and sound based on visitor responses can prevent sensory overload and maintain an optimal level of engagement (Zhaong et al., 2023).

Figure 6. James Hutson, *Virtual Forest Environment Simulation*, DALLE-3, 2025. (CC O)

The potential of the approach to enhance interoception lies in its ability to integrate bodily awareness with external stimuli, thus improving self-regulation and emotional health. This can be achieved through technologies that provide feedback about physiological states, such as heart rate or skin conductance, allowing visitors to become more aware of their bodily responses to different stimuli (Karvat et al., 2020). Cognitive accessibility can be enhanced by organizing information in clear, concise formats and simplifying navigation through the use of intuitive design and personalized AI-driven guides. This ensures that all visitors, regardless of cognitive capabilities, can enjoy and learn from the museum experience without feeling overwhelmed or disoriented (Lee, 2023).

Best practices for implementation include conducting thorough user testing with diverse groups to identify potential barriers and preferences. Iterative design processes allow for the continuous refinement of technologies and content based on user feedback, ensuring that the digital exhibitions remain relevant and accessible to all visitors. Ongoing evaluation is critical to assess the effectiveness of the technology and its impact on visitor satisfaction and engagement. This can involve collecting quantitative data, such as usage statistics and visitor feedback, as well as qualitative data through interviews and observational studies (Magsamen & Ross, 2023).

Emerging technologies and trends in neuroinclusive design and AI-augmented museum experiences include the development of more advanced biometric monitoring tools that can further personalize visitor experiences and enhance interoception. Additionally, the integration of machine learning algorithms can facilitate the creation of more nuanced and responsive environments that adapt in real-time to visitor interactions. Future research might focus on the long-term impacts of these technologies on learning outcomes and emotional well-being, exploring how different sensory modalities affect cognitive processes and memory retention. This could lead to even more refined approaches to museum exhibition design, ultimately creating spaces that are not only educational but also deeply supportive of mental and emotional health (Wienrich & Latoschik, 2021).

Conclusion

The Virtual Wunderkammer represents a significant leap forward in the evolution of museum exhibition design, merging cutting-edge AI-augmented technologies with sensory-inclusive principles to redefine the visitor experience. By drawing upon the rich heritage of the traditional Wunderkammer, this modern interpretation not only preserves the essence of wonder and discovery but enhances it through personalized, interactive digital displays that cater to the diverse sensory and cognitive needs of all visitors.

Through the strategic implementation of immersive realities, and other sensory augmentation tools, museums can create environments that actively engage visitors in unique and meaningful ways. These technologies allow exhibitions to be more than just visually appealing; they become immersive experiences that can soothe, stimulate, and educate. The case studies highlighted demonstrate the potential of such technologies to transform ordinary museum visits into dynamic, personalized learning experiences that resonate on a deeper emotional level. Moreover, the focus on supporting nervous system regulation and enhancing interoception emphasizes the museum's role not just as an educational space but as a therapeutic one, where visitors can experience healing and mindfulness as part of their journey through art and history.

As museums continue to adopt and adapt these innovative practices, they will need to engage in continuous evaluation and

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

iteration, integrating user feedback and advancing technologies to meet the evolving expectations of their audiences. The future of museum exhibitions lies in these adaptive, inclusive, and engaging environments that bridge the gap between historical artifacts and modern visitors through the thoughtful application of technology and design. In essence, the Virtual Wunderkammer is more than a concept; it is a blueprint for the future of museums, promising a richer, more accessible, and more engaging visitor experience. As we look forward to the emerging trends and technologies in this field, museums are poised to redefine their cultural and educational impact, making art and history accessible and relevant to everyone, regardless of their sensory or cognitive profiles.

References

1. Ahmed, D. (2020). Senses, experiences, emotions, memories: Artificial intelligence as a design instead of for a design in contemporary Japan. *Intelligent Buildings International*, *14*(2), 133-150. https://doi.org/10.1080/17508975.2020.1764327
2. Allen, K., & Minnion, A. (2020). purpleSTARS: Inclusive curation and production creates inclusive museums. Smithsonian Institution.
3. Ananto, B. S., Sari, R. F., & Harwahyu, R. (2011, November). Color transformation for color blind compensation on augmented reality system. In *2011 International Conference on User Science and Engineering (i-USEr)* (pp. 129-134). IEEE.
4. Andres, J., Ocampo, R., Bown, O., Hill, C., Pegram, C., Schmidt, A., ... & Wright, B. (2023, March). The Human-Built Environment-Natural Environment Relation-An Immersive Multisensory Exploration with'System of a Sound'. In *Companion Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces* (pp. 8-11).
5. Bauer, G. F., Roy, M., Bakibinga, P., Contu, P., Downe, S., Eriksson, M., ... & Vinje, H. F. (2020). Future directions for the concept of salutogenesis: a position article. *Health Promotion International*, *35*(2), 187-195.
6. Bloomfield, M. A., Yusuf, F. N., Srinivasan, R., Kelleher, I., Bell, V., & Pitman, A. (2020). Trauma-informed care for adult survivors of developmental trauma with psychotic and dissociative symptoms: a systematic review of intervention studies. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *7*(5), 449-462.
7. Chan, S. H. M., Qiu, L., Esposito, G., Mai, K. P., Tam, K. P., & Cui, J. (2023). Nature in virtual reality improves mood and reduces stress: evidence from young adults and senior citizens. *Virtual reality*, *27*(4), 3285-3300.
8. Ciangola, M. (2023). The Imprint of Bodies and Threshold in Interior Architecture: Heterotopias between body and space. *OFFICINA Journal*, (41), 26-33.
9. Consorti, G., Castagna, C., Tramontano, M., Longobardi, M., Castagna, P., Di Lernia, D., & Lunghi, C. (2023, February). Reconceptualizing Somatic Dysfunction in the Light of a Neuroaesthetic Enactive Paradigm. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 11, No. 4, p. 479). MDPI.
10. Cotter, K. N., Harrouche, M., Rodriguez-Boerwinkle, R. M., Boerwinkle, M., Silvia, P. J., & Pawelski, J. O. (2023). Virtual art visits: Examining the effects of slow looking on well-being in an online environment. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts.*
11. Eagleman, D. M., & Perrotta, M. V. (2023). The future of sensory substitution, addition, and expansion via haptic devices. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 16*, 1055546.
12. England, A., Thompson, J., Dorey, S., Al-Islam, S., Long, M., Maiorino, C., & McEntee, M. F. (2023). A comparison of perceived image quality between computer display monitors and augmented reality smart glasses. *Radiography, 29*(3), 641-646.
13. Fan, L., & Chu, T.-H. (2021). Optimal planning method for large-scale historical exhibits in the Taiwan Railway Museum. *Applied Sciences, 11*(5), 2424.
14. Feick, M., Biyikli, C., Gani, K., Wittig, A., Tang, A., & Krüger, A. (2023, October). VoxelHap: A Toolkit for Constructing Proxies Providing Tactile and Kinesthetic Haptic Feedback in Virtual Reality. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology* (pp. 1-13).
15. Gaia, G., Boiano, S., & Borda, A. (2019). Engaging museum visitors with AI: The case of chatbots. In *Museum Experience Design* (pp. 309-329). Springer.
16. Gao, X., Wang, Y., Chen, X., & Gao, S. (2021). Interface, interaction, and intelligence in generalized brain–computer interfaces. *Trends in cognitive sciences, 25*(8), 671-684.
17. Grabbe, L. C. (2021). The Image Becomes a Body: Avatarial Embodiment in the Context of a Body Ownership Illusion. *Virtual Images: Trilogy of Synthetic Realities I, 5*, 218.
18. Gruber, D. R. (2020). Toward a critical neuroart for a critical neuroscience. *Leonardo, 53*(2), 123-127.
19. Guazzaroni, G. (2020). Role of emotions in interactive museums: How art and virtual reality affect emotions. In *Virtual and augmented reality in education, art, and museums* (pp. 174-193). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
20. Harada, T., Hideyoshi, Y., Gressier-Soudan, E., & Jean, C. (2018, May). Museum experience design based on multi-sensory transformation approach. In *15th International Design Conference* (pp. 2221-2228).
21. Hutson, J., & Hutson, P. (2024). *Inclusive smart museums: Engaging neurodiverse audiences and enhancing cultural heritage*. Springer Nature.
22. Hutson, J. (2022). The role of virtual reality in art therapy to mitigate autism spectrum disorder (ASD) symptoms. *Open Journal of Clinical & Medical Images, 2*(2).
23. Johnson, A., & Leigh, J. (2001). Tele-immersive collaboration in the CAVE research network. *Collaborative virtual environments: Digital places and spaces for interaction*, 225-243.
24. Kahraman, Ö., & Candan, D. K. (2024). Experience museology and Ephesus experience museum. *Yedi*, (Sanatta Dijitalizm [Özel Sayı]), 115-127.
25. Karvat, G., Schneider, A., Alyahyay, M., Steenbergen, F., Tangermann, M., & Diester, I. (2020). Real-time detection of neural oscillation bursts allows behaviourally relevant neurofeedback. *Communications biology*, *3*(1), 72.
26. Kazanskiy, N. L., Khonina, S. N., & Butt, M. A. (2023). Smart Contact Lenses—A Step towards Non-Invasive

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

Continuous Eye Health Monitoring. *Biosensors, 13*(10), 933.
27. Kourtesis, P., Argelaguet, F., Vizcay, S., Marchal, M., & Pacchierotti, C. (2022). Electrotactile feedback applications for hand and arm interactions: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and future directions. *IEEE Transactions on Haptics.*
28. Lee, K. K. (2023, November). Affective Generative Visuals Based on Data Input Influenced by User's Emotions. In *Proceedings of the International Conference of Innovation in Media and Visual Design (IMDES 2023)* (Vol. 790, p. 387). Springer Nature.
29. Li, C., & Yip, P. Y. (2023). Remote arts therapy in collaborative virtual environment: A pilot case study. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality, 4*, 1059278.
30. Liu, W., Zhong, X., Feng, W., Xie, J., Luo, Y., & Guangyuan, L. Emotion Recognition of Virtual Reality Scenes Under Olfactory Stimulation: An ECG Study. *Available at SSRN 4614675.*
31. Lynch, C. R., & Del Casino, V. J. (2021). Smart spaces, information processing, and the question of intelligence. In *Smart Spaces and Places* (pp. 49-56). Routledge.
32. Lyon, I., Fisher, P., & Gracey, F. (2021). "Putting a new perspective on life": a qualitative grounded theory of posttraumatic growth following acquired brain injury. *Disability and rehabilitation, 43*(22), 3225-3233.
33. Macpherson, F. (2018). Sensory substitution and augmentation: An introduction.
34. Magsamen, S., & Ross, I. (2023). *Your Brain on Art: How the Arts Transform Us.* Random House.
35. Marconcini, S. (2022). Inclusive design strategies for museums. Targets and remarks for wider access to culture. *Protection of Cultural Heritage*, (14).
36. McGorrill, S. (2023). The Benefits of Sensory Exploration in Art Therapy with Children with Social, Emotional and Behavioral Difficulties Through a Trauma Informed Lens.
37. Mittelmark, M. B., Bauer, G. F., Vaandrager, L., Pelikan, J. M., Sagy, S., Eriksson, M., ... & Meier Magistretti, C. (2022). *The handbook of salutogenesis*.
38. Mourtzis, D., Angelopoulos, J., & Panopoulos, N. (2022, March). A teaching factory paradigm for personalized perception of education based on extended reality (XR). In *Proceedings of the 12th Conference on Learning Factories* (CLF 2022).
39. Pfeifer, P., Hilken, T., Heller, J., Alimamy, S., & Di Palma, R. (2023). More than meets the eye: In-store retail experiences with augmented reality smart glasses. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 146, 107816.
40. Pira, G. L., Aquilini, B., Davoli, A., Grandi, S., & Ruini, C. (2023). The Use of Virtual Reality Interventions to Promote Positive Mental Health: Systematic Literature Review. *JMIR mental health, 10*(1), e44998.
41. Rani, S., Jining, D., Shah, D., Xaba, S., & Singh, P. R. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence and computing technologies in art museums. In *ITM web of conferences* (Vol. 53, p. 01004). EDP Sciences.
42. Reed, P. G., & Haugan, G. (2021). Self-transcendence: A salutogenic process for well-being. *Health Promotion in Health Care–Vital Theories and Research*, 103-115.
43. Ross, A., & Snyder, S. (2023). Weaving It All Together: The Arts, Literacy, Adults, and Kids. *Childhood Education, 99*(6), 6-13.
44. Ruívo, M., Frontini, R., & Pernencar, C. (2023). Virtual Reality in Depressive and Anxiety Symptomatology–Contributions to REVIDA project from a mobile app mapping. *Procedia Computer Science, 219*, 1185-1192.
45. Schlief, A. M. (2023). Somatic Experience Treatment Techniques for Trauma Symptoms: A Qualitative Case Study.
46. Shen, V., Rae-Grant, T., Mullenbach, J., Harrison, C., & Shultz, C. (2023, October). Fluid Reality: High-Resolution, Untethered Haptic Gloves using Electroosmotic Pump Arrays. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology* (pp. 1-20).
47. Yu, S., Lin, J., Huang, J., & Zhan, Y. (2024). A systematic review of changing conceptual to practice AI curation in museums: Text mining and bibliometric analysis. *Artificial Intelligence and Social Computing, 122*(122).
48. Shiner, L. (2015). Art scents: Perfume, design and olfactory art. *British Journal of Aesthetics*, *55*(3), 375-392.
49. Shvadron, S., Snir, A., Maimon, A., Yizhar, O., Harel, S., Poradosu, K., & Amedi, A. (2023). Shape detection beyond the visual field using a visual-to-auditory sensory augmentation device. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 17*, 1058617.
50. Shusterman, R., & Veres, B. (2023). Introduction: Somaesthetics and Design Culture. In *Somaesthetics and Design Culture* (pp. 1-20). Brill.
51. Siamptani, M. (2022). The use of Bio-plastic materials and digital fabrication tools for circular economy products.
52. Stepanova, E.R., Desnoyers-Strewart, J., Riecke, B., Huisman, G., & El Ali, A. (2023). Human Bodies as Interaction Materials for Somatic, Social, and Multisensory Virtual Reality Experiences.
53. Thomas, S. (2013). Distraction and Display: The Curiosity Cabinet and the Romantic Museum. *Contemporary Collecting: Objects, Practices, and the Fate of Things*, 195-212.
54. Tillem, M., & Gün, A. (2023, September). Color Blindness in the Digital Gaming Landscape: Addressing Critical Issues and Research Gaps. In *European Conference on Games Based Learning* (Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 817-825).
55. Tong, Q., Wei, W., Zhang, Y., Xiao, J., & Wang, D. (2023). Survey on hand-based haptic interaction for virtual reality. IEEE Transactions on Haptics.
56. Toya, T., Kobayashi, M., Nakamura, K., & Unoki, M. (2023). Methods for improving word intelligibility of bone-conducted speech by using bone-conduction headphones. *Applied Acoustics, 207*, 109337.
57. Viviani, G., & Vallesi, A. (2021). EEG-neurofeedback and executive function enhancement in healthy adults: A systematic review. *Psychophysiology, 58*(9), e13874.
58. Wan, C., Cai, P., Wang, M., Qian, Y., Huang, W., & Chen, X. (2020). Artificial sensory memory. *Advanced Materials, 32*(15), 1902434.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356

59. Waisberg, E., Ong, J., Masalkhi, M., Zaman, N., Sarker, P., Lee, A. G., & Tavakkoli, A. (2023). The future of ophthalmology and vision science with the Apple Vision Pro. *Eye*, 1-2.
60. Westerhoff, J. C. (2001). A world of signs: Baroque pansemioticism, the Polyhistor and the early modern Wunderkammer. *Journal of the History of Ideas*, *62*(4), 633-650.
61. White, W. F., Burgess, A., Dalgleish, T., Halligan, S., Hiller, R., Oxley, A., ... & Meiser-Stedman, R. (2022). Prevalence of the dissociative subtype of post-traumatic stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 1-16.
62. Wienrich, C., & Latoschik, M. E. (2021). Extended artificial intelligence: New prospects of human-ai interaction research. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, *2*, 686783.
63. Williams, T., & Tsien, B. (2013). *Wunderkammer*. Yale University Press.
64. Zeng, S., Lyu, X., & Kang, J. (2023). Research on Innovative Method of Human-Computer Collaborative Aesthetic Education Based on Hybrid of Neuroaesthetics and Shape Grammar. *IEEE Access.*
65. Zhang, Y., & Trocchianesi, R. (2023). Perspectives of Sound: Promoting Social Inclusion Under the Principle of "Access for All" in Museums. *DIID*, 384-391.
66. Zhong, X., Liu, W., Xie, J., Gu, Y., & Liu, G. (2023). Olfactory-enhanced VR: What's the Difference in Brain Activation compared to Traditional VR for Emotion Induction?. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing.*
67. Zuraikat, L. (2020). Google glass: A case study. *Performance Improvement, 59*(6), 14-20.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15038356