



## Genocide of law - The neo-liberal neo-fascist dictatorship of the mafia in the court and prosecutor's office in Bulgaria - how since 2005, by order of the mafia, the judges of the SRS M.Georgieva, SGS Valkov, Boycheva, Yordanova and the judges of the Supreme Court of Justice and the SGS legalize the theft of private inherited properties from Capital municipality with mayors Sofianski, Borisov and Fandakova in the center of Sofia for 55 million euros, supported by the prosecutor's office, ministers of justice of the European Union and commission, France, Germany, and the European Court of Human Rights!

Prince Lord Prof. Momtchil Dobrev-Halachev<sup>1\*</sup>, Princess Lady Prof. Mariola Garibova-Dobrev<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Scientific Research Institute Dobrev&Halachev.JSC.,Sofia.Bulgaria

| Received: 04.09.2023 | Accepted: 06.09.2023 | Published: 09.09.2023

\*Corresponding author: Prince Lord Prof. Momtchil Dobrev-Halachev

Scientific Research Institute Dobrev&Halachev.JSC.,Sofia.Bulgaria

### Abstract

Lord prof PhD PhD Momtchil Dobrev-Halachev and Prof. Mariola Garibova-DObreva developed 2006 "Theory of degree of democracy" and "Theory of degree of justice/injustice/" based on their practice in court, prosecutor's office, state. Since 2003, Prof. Momchil Dobrev has been creating the Theory of Corruption, "Theory of the Mafia", "Theory of Mafiaism", "Financial Banking Resource Technological Mafiosoted Materialism" and based on their practice they prove that in Bulgaria there is rule of law and that the Mafia rules as the court, the prosecutor's office, the state in Bulgaria. Prince Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev in relation to his fight with this mafia even after 9 attempts to kill him and his family since 2011, he will continue to fight this mafia

**Keywords:** genocide, law, mafia, corruption, theory, finance.

### 1. Introduction

Lord prof PhD PhD Momtchil Dobrev-Halachev and Prof. Mariola Garibova-DObreva developed 2006 "Theory of degree of democracy" and "Theory of degree of justice/injustice/" based on their practice in court, prosecutor's office, state and especially the practice of Prof. Mariola Garibova-Dobrev as a judge for dozens of years experience as such as a civil and criminal judge. Prof. Momchil Dobrev created 2001 Theory of Corruption and Theory of the Mafia and Theory and Practice of Mafiaism, which contribute to clarifying the Theory of the Degree of Democracy.

In the year 2001 Lord Prof. Momtchil DObrev developed the Theory of the mafia and Theory of corruption. All the two theories have been developed by analyzing the mafia and the corruption all over the wrld. In Bulgaria, Germany, European Union, and other

countries. In the year 2010 Lord Prof. Momtchil Dobrev developed the "Theory of Mafiotismus" as a new type of government oriented only and only in the private interests of private individuals and private institutions.

The fight against the mafia and corruption in Bulgaria and in the European Commission and the European Union does not give results because the mafia is at the highest state and European level and does what it wants. This mafia holds the courts, the prosecutor's office and all kinds of government institutions and the latter carry out its orders. Even after the 9 attempts to kill Prince Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev after 2011 for his fight against this mafia, the fight will not stop, as PROFESSOR ZIVKO STALEV says - "A STATE WITHOUT THE RULE OF LAW IS A FORM OF ORGANIZED CRIME!! "-

### 1.1. Introduce the Problem

The problem with the mafia and corruption in the judicial system - court and prosecutor's office in Bulgaria and in the European Union and the European Commission is enormous, as well as the support of this mafia and from the European Court for the Protection of Human Rights in Strasbourg. We have repeatedly attached evidence of the scale of this mafia. On the basis of this mafia and corruption in Bulgaria and the European Union and the European Commission Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev created in 2001. "Mafia Theory" and "Corruption Theory" in all its manifestations. Based on these theories, Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev also defined a mafia formula, a corruption formula. Based on these processes, Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev created Theory and Practice of Mafiaism, defining the formula of Mafiaism, how it works, how it is organized, in whose interests it works.

Corruption and the mafia in a country, a union like the European Union, a supranational institution like the European Commission and a supranational court, the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, destroy democracy, freedoms, human rights, the rule of law. As a result Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev and Lady Prof. Marialo Garibova-Dobrev created "Theory of the Degree of Democracy" and "Theory of the Degree of Justice/Injustice" as well as "Theory of Socio-Humanism" - a society that excludes the disadvantages of neoliberalism, globalism, the wild market economy, and creates the foundations of a NEW HUMAN SOCIETY based on completely different principles, both economic and social, managerial and others.

Mobification in the court and the fulfillment of orders by the judges, the fact that the judges in Bulgaria write decisions as they want, and not according to and according to the law, in violation of private interests and with the aim of stealing private properties, factories, companies.

As a result of Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev's fight against corruption and the mafia in Bulgaria and the European Union and the European Commission since 2011. Lord Prof. Momchil Dobrev experienced 9 / nine / attempts to kill him and his relatives, and the last order and attempt for this on 19.02.2020 was ordered by the boss of the mafia, with a statesman-criminal, prosecutors and participating judges.

## 2. Research methods

Research methods of analysis, verification, control of all factors in corruption and the mafia in the judicial system and more specifically among judges, the prosecutor's office, private judicial enforcement, which influence a society on its viability, on the degree of democracy in this society, on laws, their implementation by judges, prosecutors, statesmen, ministers, prime ministers, state and municipal officials, private bailiffs and others.

- Analysis of the laws of Bulgaria and the European Commission and the European Union
- Analysis of all authorities in a country - judicial, legislative, executive and of the European Union and the European Commission
- Analysis of the implementation of the laws of a country and the European Commission
- Analysis of the existence of corruption and mafia in the judicial system, in the state system and in the European Union.

- Analysis of the judicial system - laws, judges, election of judges, development of judges, violations of judges, disciplinary and other responsibility of judges, prosecutors, investigators, guarantors of democratization in a society
- Analysis of specific cases - court cases in which the mafia is proven in court, and prosecutor's office

## 3. THE LAW OF RESTITUTION OF INHERITANCE PROPERTIES

LAW ON THE RESTORATION OF OWNERSHIP OF SEIZED REAL PROPERTIES promulgated and entered into force in the State Gazette No. 15 OF 21.02.1992. with the corresponding last amendment published in the State Gazette number 53 FROM JUNE 30, 2006. THE OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTIES GIVEN AND TRANSFERRED TO THE State Insurance Institute - a state company during socialism from 1947 to 1989 - in the Republic of Bulgaria are being restored.

According to Art. 1 /1/. Restitution of ownership of immovable property expropriated under the Law on the Expropriation of Large Urban Covered Immovable Property / promulgated State Gazette p. 87 of 1948. amendment no. 91 of 1948/ which are owned by the state, municipalities, public organizations or their companies or sole proprietorships under Art. 61 of the Commercial Code and exist in reality to the extent in which they are alienated.

Al. 2 The transactions carried out in violation of the decision of the Great National Assembly on the partial cancellation of the ban on the disposal of state and municipal property from December 6, 1990 are null and void and the ownership of the properties subject to the transfer is restored.

Al. 2 paragraph 1 Supplemented State Gazette no. 107 of 1997 declared unconstitutional in part as to the words "under the Speculative and Illegally Acquired Property Confiscation Act Restoring property expropriated under the State Tobacco Monopoly Act, the State Petroleum Monopoly Act, the Mopnopoly Act of spirits and sweetened spirits and trade in fruit brandies and wine, the Law on the Nationalization of Private Industrial and Mining Enterprises, the Law on Cinematography, the Law on Printing, the Decree on Expropriation of Food Storage Warehouses, the Law on the State Insurance Institute, , the Law on purchase of large agricultural equipment for labor cooperative farms and machine-tractor stations, the Act on the purchase of large agricultural machinery, the Order on the purchase of commercial inventory, the Law on the nationalization of cargo vessels, the Decree on mines and underground resources, as well as the confiscated according to the Ordinance, the law for the trial by the People's Court of those guilty of involving Bulgaria in the veto war against the Union nations and for the crimes related to it, and according to the Law for the confiscation of property through speculation and in an illegal way, according to Art. 56 of the Ordinance - law on swabbing and prices, under Art. 17 of the law on the collection of taxes and other state receivables, and under the Ordinance on the collection of taxes and fees. Any person whose property has been confiscated under the Law on the Confiscation of Speculatively and Illegally Acquired Property, as well as his successors in title, have the right to make a motion for review of the judgment by which their property was confiscated, in accordance with Chapter Eighteen of the Criminal Code. the procedural code within the period under Art. 6 paragraph 1

accordance with the established legal order by the state, municipalities and people's councils in the period from September 9, 1944 to 1989 shall be restored.

Al. 3 The ownership of the properties under para. 1 shall be recovered if, upon the entry into force of this law, they are owned by the state, municipalities, public organizations or their companies or sole proprietorships under Art. 61 of the Commercial Law and if they actually exist to the extent in which they are alienated.

According to Art. 2 para. 3 of the same law - the property ownership under para. 1 shall be recovered if, upon the entry into force of this law, they are owned by the state, municipalities, public organizations or not their companies or sole proprietorships under Art. 61 of the Gargovski Law and if they actually exist to the extent in which they are alienated.

Pursuant to Art. 3 paragraph 1 of the same law ;iterate= The ownership of the properties under Art. 1 eichl. 2 shall be restored to the persons from whom they were taken away or to their heirs by law or other legal successors, the right of the persons to receive restoration of ownership is established by the fact that it was taken away from them or by their grantors.

**The State Insurance Institute Act 1946 STATE JOURNAL 143 OF 1946 Pursuant to Article 6 of this law, all insurance companies ceased to exist and their assets were transferred entirely to the State Insurance Institute. With the adoption and entry into force of the Law on the State Insurance Institute / SG No. 143/1946/, insurance and reinsurance becomes the exclusive right of the State and is assigned to the State Insurance Institute, which is an autonomous enterprise and a separate legal entity. Pursuant to Art. 6 of this law, the assets of joint-stock insurance companies, mutual insurance associations, small insurance associations, of the state and state-autonomous insurance services and funds and of the insurance, pension and posthumous funds and funds existing by virtue of special laws at individual departments and professional associations pass entirely and by right to the State Insurance Institute. At the same moment, these companies, associations, funds and funds cease to exist independently. With the adoption and entry into force of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bulgaria from 1947. And in particular, Article 6 means of production in the People's Republic of Bulgaria are declared the property of the state / public property<sup>8</sup>, cooperatives or private individuals or legal entities. The state can manage itself or temporarily cede the management of the means of production in its hands - art. 8 a.2 of the Constitution. The State Insurance Institute was not a private legal entity, as it carried out state activity. Therefore, it should be assumed that the items used and managed by DZI are the property of the state. In the spirit of these provisions and in accordance with PMS No. 2187/27.07.1950. part of the real estate managed by DZI was granted to other state institutions and enterprises. Deeds for state property were drawn up in 1950 from which it is evident that the process properties, the list of which is attached below as state property, were taken by the State Insurance Institute and provided for the use and management of various public organizations and companies, municipalities.**

We are talking about properties worth over 600 million euros as of 2005. On May 29, 1950 by Resolution No. 2187 of the

**MINISTERIAL COUNCIL of the People's Republic of Bulgaria of July 27, 1050. by report No. 1634 of May 29, 1950. To the Minister of Finance Entry No. 80133, the Council of Ministers issued a decree on the transfer of real estate from the state insurance institute to state institutions and enterprises for a fee.**

**The MINISTERIAL COUNCIL has decreed:**

**88 immovable properties, inventory items, furniture and specific installations belonging to the DZI, specified in the clause constituting an integral part of the settlement, are transferred to State institutions and enterprises, to people's councils and housing funds to them against payment, as follows<sup>7</sup>**

**Immovable properties are paid for at their balance sheet value and impaired reserves of BGN 778,279,635 over twenty-one years, through annual installments of BGN 57,267-178, starting from 1950, which amount is annually provided for in the budget of the Ministry of Finance,**

**The actual value of the inventory items, furniture and special installations in the transferred properties, totaling BGN 108,867,658, shall be paid by state institutions and enterprises immediately to which they are transferred as indicated in the list. State institutions and enterprises that accept inventory items, furniture and special installations to request that the corresponding paragraphs on their budget for 1950 be strengthened so that they can be paid to the State Insurance Institute.**

**The immovable properties, furniture, inventory items and special installations are sold for the management of the relevant state institutions and enterprises, starting from September 1, 1950. The immovable properties should be valued by the state enterprises to which they are transferred, from September 1, 1950. The actual handover of the properties, inventory items, furniture and special installations should be done against a detailed inventory and assessment in accordance with the procedure provided for in the law on state properties and in the regulations for the application of this law with a deadline of August 31, 1950. The State Insurance Institute remains to use its own 14 buildings and three summer homes / villa 4Trapezitsa" in Stalin, a villa in Kostenets - Vilit, and a summer home in Vrshtets - formerly the property of the Minvnichesko Cooperative Mutual Insurance Company<sup>8</sup>.**

**Chairman of the Council of Ministers:**

**General Secretary of the Council of Ministers:**

**- List of properties and their inventory, which are offered to the state by the State Insurance Institute for a fee**

**Location Book value including location**

- 1. 1 Positano Street, building 149587**
- 2. 17 Tetevenska Street, building,**
- 3. 35 Labinska Street, building**
- 4. 5 Moskovska St., building**
- 5. 6 Lege St., building**
- 6. 21 Dondukov St., building**
- 7. Georgi Dimitrov St. 43 building,**
- 8. 1 A. Stamboliyski Blvd., building,**
- 9. 5 Slavyanska St., apt. And mag.**
- 10. 41 Moskovska St., building**
- 11. Slaveykov Square 11,**

12. 64 Neofit Rilaski St., building
13. 21 Tsar Kaloyan St., building
14. Tsar Osvoboditel Blvd. 4, / hotel and restaurant "Bulgaria"/
15. Georgi Dimitrov Blvd. 75, building
16. 101 Naicho Tsanov St., building
17. 39 Bregalnitsa Street, building
18. 111 Rakovska St., building
19. 160 Ovcho Pole Street, building
20. St. Sveta Sofia 10, building
21. 26 Gurko St., building
22. 106 Opalchenska St., building
23. 14 Aksakov St., building
24. 33 Alabin St., building - 2 rooms
25. Serdika St. 12, apartment destroyed
26. 34/36 Dondukov Blvd., building
27. Village of Land, legal place
28. 107 Strandzda Street, plot.

A LIST of some of the DAMAGED AND ROBBED INSURANCE COMPANIES - SOME OF THEM, "ZEMEDELETS Mutual Insurance Cooperative Society"

Teachers' Mutual Insurance and Auxiliary Fund - Sofia.

National Insurance Company BALKAN – LIFE "La Nacional" - an anonymous insurance company. Mutual fund "Uteha" of the employees of the Bulgarian National Bank. Official Cooperative Savings Insurance Company in Sofia.

#### 4. HOW the agent from the State Security, the oligarch supported by the prosecutor's office, the court, the state, Emil Külev, in 2003 and 2004 stole inherited properties worth 600 million euros for zero cents, real property of heirs of insurance companies before 1947 for zero cents!!!

The restoration of the right of ownership of properties expropriated under the Law on the State Insurance Institute of 1946 took place by virtue of paragraph 1 item 1 letters A and 3R of the Law on compensation to owners of expropriated properties 8 Dv no. 107 of 1997 known as Luchnikov's law. It supplemented the provision of Art. 2 paragraph 1 of ZVSONI - i.e. more than seven years after the passage of one of the major restitution laws. One of the prerequisites for the subsequent serious difficulties in restoring the ownership of the former insurance companies before 1947, respectively to the heirs of the partners and the shareholders in them, it was the delay of the legislator, which allowed the commission of numerous frauds with these properties included in privatization transactions, in the capital of municipal companies, incorrectly registered as municipal ones, although the law restores ex lege ownership, for which it does not it was also necessary to order an administrative act of a competent authority, but in practice and in the specific case, without an order of the Regional Governor, the right holders had no way to exercise their rights.

A corresponding application should have been submitted to the Regional Governor for the deactivation of the property as state-

owned and the persons should have been introduced with a special administrative act.

It was also paradoxical that these acts of the regional governor, according to the legislator and the established permanent practice of the court, were not subject to administrative control and cancellation, and the lawless heirs had only one opportunity to prove their rights and ownership in a court of law by filing property cases under Art. 108 of the Law on Property and under Art. 97 paragraph 1 of the Civil Code, which doomed them to a long-term battle in the judicial system, while the properties changed owners through various transactions. It also created a risk of losing the blades, as there was never any certainty in a lawsuit.

Another inconvenience was that for the conduct of a judicial process, considerable funds are needed to engage lawyers and to pay a state fee, which in most cases is prohibitive, since these properties are at a very high tax rate.

The legislator had provided a guarantee of protection with the provision of Art. 5 para. 2 of ZVSONI, according to which the statute of limitations was not respected for the period until the amendment of Art. 2 of the same law 20.11.1997

In the specific case, the authors use the term fraud, although there is no crime formulated in this way under the Criminal Code in force in the Republic of Bulgaria, and the establishment of a civil fraud is something extremely difficult.

In order to emphasize this abuse of the properties of many persons, we find that it is most appropriate to use the concept of fraud in the broad sense of interpretation, only in this way the reader could feel what legal tricks Emil Külev and the relevant officials used from the Sofia City District Administration, whose names will not be mentioned at this stage.

And so briefly in the month of January 2003. after numerous meetings with the lawyers of the Regional Administration, copying of documents and others as heirs of the property on Positano Street... MG meets, albeit unofficially, with an authoritative and leading person from the Regional Administration itself, who promises after she gives herself the documents establishing the rights y, to assist in the faster recovery of the property. Without hesitation, MG presents all the documents that were missing from the file up to that point and which proved the rights under Art. 2 for the deactivation of the property. In addition, it quite naively provides a list of the properties of all former insurance companies, which

After the documents of the heirs for the restoration of the properties according to the law are received in the regional administration, MG, as a former judge, lawyer, immediately after the Law on Restitution began to collect the initial documentation to establish the legitimacy of the relevant insurance companies, and especially of the company in which her relative was a founder, partner, shareholder later, sole executive director all the time of the existence of the company and an unchanged member of the management board, many problems were encountered. These problems concerned missing documents, torn pages from company files, missing documents from archives.

Immediately after submitting the documents to the District Administration, mediators appear who offer their services in exchange for money to settle the law and enforce the law. Among these mediators there are people of Emil Külev.

Suddenly, it turns out that the documents in the Regional Administration submitted in the relevant terms are sitting on someone's desk and are not being moved at all. People from the regional administration offer their services.

Suddenly, after providing the materials from the MG to the Regional Administration, an offer came through an intermediary from Emil Külev to buy the property owned by the former insurance company for a pittance.

Pressure followed.

At that time, after acquiring in his hands this list of properties that must be restored to the former insurance companies, Emil Külev took the step of issuing and receiving notarial acts of ascertainment in the name of DZI"-AD without having any legal basis, without the company being the legal successor and successor of the former insurance companies..

As a result

Notary RUMEN DIMITROV, now deceased, prepared notarial deeds for the ownership of EMIL KYULEV's company documents - DZI-AD, privatized by him, with which private inherited properties were stolen - as the heir of the former insurance companies before 1944. "Z.....", "B.....", "B....." and others in the center of Sofia, str Positano No...., Sveta Sofia St. No...., Tsar Osvoboditel Blvd. No. 6 - building with RPZ over 11,500 sq.m., Maria Luiza Blvd. No. ...., Bregalnitsa .... and dozens of other properties.

Some of the properties have been stolen from the CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY since 1997. like the mayors since then, Stefan Sofiaski, then Boyko Borisov - became Prime Minister from 2009 to 2020. of the Republic of Bulgaria, the mayor of Sofia, Yordanka Fandakova, illegally and illegally issued deeds for municipal property and sold private inherited properties to third parties close to the mafia.

There is also a court decision of the Supreme Administrative Court which orders these properties to be returned to the heirs of the former owners of these insurance companies. But even despite this decision of the Supreme Administrative Court, judge Mariyana Georgieva from Sofia District, judges Vladimir Valkov, Boycheva and Desislava Yordanova from Sofia City Court, judge Koyuva from Sofia District Court reject the claims for buildings in the center of the city of Sofia - 50 meters away from Vitosha Boulevard.

Despite the Supreme Administrative Court's decision canceling the Act on Municipal Property drawn up at the time of Stefan Sofianski, the Municipality of Sofia with Mayor Boyko Borisov and then with Mayor Fandakova prepare acts for municipal ownership of these properties, register them in the Agency for the entries in the real estate register to the ACG, which are not actually their property, and they are beginning to dispose of them.

Pursuant to Art. 6 under the Law on the State Insurance Institute - REPEALED the ownership of the two properties passed into the ownership of DZI as the companies - INSURANCE COOPERATIVES AND AND AND INSURANCE COMPANIES - joint stock companies were deleted and liquidated.

Pursuant to the Law on Restitution of Ownership of Expropriated Real Estate, Article 2, ownership of expropriated

real estate is restored in accordance with the Law on Real Property / State Gazette no. 143 of 1946/ as the property is restored by right to the persons from whom it was taken or to their heirs by law according to Art. 3 of the Law.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL NOTARY DEEDS ISSUED FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE STATE INSURANCE INSTITUTE, OWNED BY STATE SECURITY POLICEMAN EMIL KULEV, BY THE DIFFERENT NOTARIES ARE ILLEGAL AND A CRIME IS REALLY COMMITTED BECAUSE HE IS NOT AN ASSIGNEE OF THESE INSURANCE COMPANIES NOR THERE IS AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SUCCESSORS OF THESE COMPANIES AND AGREEMENT OF PURCHASE AND SALE.

Since the DZI is not the legal successor of the insurance company BALKAN-LIFE, there is no legal basis for the acquisition of the properties by the DZI, which are legitimized by confirmatory notarial acts:

DZI, in the person of EMIL KYULEV, obtained a notarial deed of ascertainment No. ... volume Ia, reg. No. ...., case No. 1120/2004. of notary Rumen Dimitrov as with notarial deed No. ... Volume XIV Reg. No. .... Case No. 2373 of 2007 the defendant DZI sold to the defendant the company "PALENO" - EOOD the trial property on Bregalnitsa Street No .....

In some of the notarial acts, notary Rumen Dimitrov has explicitly entered the notarial acts of the former insurance companies as documents establishing the right of ownership.

THIS IS A FRAUD.

Neither DZI nor Emil Külev concluded any such contracts with the heirs of the former insurers for the trial properties.

This is THEFT, assisted by a notary, assisted by the prosecutor's office and the respective chief prosecutors Filchev, Assoc. Boris Velchev, Sotir Tsatsarov, Ivan Geshev.

The notary did not check and, moreover, no contracts for the purchase and sale of these properties from DZI and EMIL KÜLEV were presented in his office. This proves a DOCUMENTARY CRIME, but the prosecution refuses to initiate pre-trial proceedings.

The former DZI, established by the Law on DZI in 1946. never acquired the right of ownership over the properties of the former insurance companies before 1944, but only the right of operational management and not of all the properties, some of which were granted to the municipalities and other socialist organizations at the time,

The then existing constitution of 1947 and that of 1971 did not allow state-owned enterprises, organizations and municipalities to own property rights.

In reality, the notarial deeds prepared by notary Rumen Dimitrov are crimes committed by the notary.

## 5. EXAMPLES OF FAKE DEEDS ISSUED THROUGH FRAUD AND CRIMES in favor of the company of EMIL KULEV NOTARIAL DEEDS for some of the buildings in the

**center of Sofia - the capital of Bulgaria, which are not investigated by any of the prosecutors of the chief prosecutors Filchev, Assoc. BORIS VELCHEV, SOTIR TSATSAROV , and Ivan GESHEV**

Notary RUMEN DIMITROV, now deceased, prepared notarial deeds for ownership according to documents of EMIL KYULEV's company - the DZI-AD privatized by him, with which our own properties were stolen - as the heirs of the former insurance companies before 1944. "Z.....", "B.....", "B....." and others in the center of Sofia, str Positano No...., Sveta Sofia St. No...., Tsar Osvoboditel Blvd. No. 6 - building with RPZ over 11,500 sq.m. , Maria Luiza Blvd. No. ...., Bregalnitsa .... and dozens of other properties.

**Building St. Sofia - 10 - Four-storey building**

On 23.11.2004, notary Rumen Dimitrov prepared a notarial deed for ownership of immovable property No. 173 volume 1a, reg. No. 22873 case 1117/2004 pursuant to which DZI AD has drawn up a notarial deed and is declared the owner of the following immovable property , acquired by sale and purchase and art. 6 of the Law on the State Insurance Institute, Decree on the relief and insurance funds and funds, published in the State Gazette no. 197 of 21.08.1950 namely 7 REGULATED LAND PROPERTY located in the city of Sofia St. Sofia St. No. 10/ ten/ with an area of 236.09 sq.m. constituting the UPI - X-3 in quarter 252 of the "Center" locality according to the non-regulatory plan of the city of Sofia, at the borders, and together with the built-in THREE-STOREY MASSIVE RESIDENTIAL BUILDING with a built-up area of 230 sq.m.

**Building on Karnigradska St. - five-story building**

On 29.07.2003, notary Rumen Dimitrov prepared a notarial deed for the ownership of immovable property No. 60, Volume 1a, Reg. sale and Art. 6 of the Zarzhavinia Insurance Institute and Art. From the decree on the mutual aid and insurance funds and funds published in the State vesting bl. 197 of 21.08.1950 namely: REGULATED LAND PROPERTY with an area of 365 sq.m. under the State Property Act, according to a sketch of 373 sq.m. located in the city of Sofia, Karnigradska St. No. 19, Triaditsa district, TOGETHER WITH A FLOOR BUILDING located at the above address with a built-up area of 240 sq.m. together with the basement consisting of a covered area of 240 sq.m.

**Building Tsar Osvoboditel Blvd. 11620 Built-up area sq.m. – SEVEN STORY BUILDING**

On 10.11.2003, notary TEODORA VUTSOVA prepared a notarial deed of ownership of immovable property No. 10 volume 2, reg. No. 4573 file 187/2003, by virtue of which DZI DA is recognized as the owner of the following immovable property, namely:

ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING located in the city of Sofia SO - Sredets district, Tsar Liberator Blvd. No. 6, with a total area of 10,620 sq.m., representing a ground floor, a gallery, and five floors - the upper part and the lower part - a gallery and two floors, consisting of: basement - storage rooms,

archives, subscriber station, vault, corridors and stairs, two sanitary rooms, GROUND FLOOR - cash room, ten rooms, two storage rooms, three sanitary rooms, terrace, corridors and stairs, FIRST FLOOR / MEZZANINE/, - office, meeting room, seventeen work rooms, gallery, three sanitary rooms, corridors and stairs, , , SECOND FLOOR - office of the Chief Executive Officer and secretarial office to him, two offices, meeting room, reception , seventeen work rooms, a terrace - presenting a roof over a money hall, with a built-in skylight, one service room, two sanitary rooms, corridors and stairs, THIRD FLOOR - horse conference room, office, eighteen work rooms, one service room, two number of sanitary rooms, five number of attic rooms, located in the lower part of the building, corridors and stairs, FOURTH FLOOR - office, twenty-three work rooms, two sanitary rooms, two rooms for archives, corridors and stairs, FIFTH FLOOR - twenty-three working rooms, four storage rooms, and three sanitary rooms, corridors and staircases, SIXTH FLOOR - twenty-four working rooms, two archive rooms, two sanitary rooms, corridors and stairwells, SEVENTH FLOOR - / COVERS/ club. Restaurant, :/ WITHOUT FIVE working rooms, with an area of 593 sq.m. located on the basement, ground floor and mezzanine of the building from the ground floor. Tsar osovbdtel, owners of ZPAD "ULSTRAD" TOGETHER WITH SETTLES THE LAND PROPERTY on which the building is located, the whole with an area of 1448.35 sq.m., according to proof of ownership documents and according to sketch 1366, 17 sq.m. which property is subject to the regulatory plan in force, approved by Order No. RD-09-50-03 of 05.01.1999. of the Stolichna Municipality, represents plot 4 of district 482 according to the plan of the city of Sofia, the "Center + Prigranitsi" UPI 34, "Tsar Osvoboditel" Blvd., "Georgi Benkovski" St.

**Building Budapest Street - FOUR STORY BUILDING**

On 23.11.2004, notary Rumen Dimitrov prepared a notarial deed of ownership of immovable property No. 175, Volume 1a, Reg. sale and Art. 6 from Zarzhavinia Insurance Institute, namely: REGULATED LAND PROPERTY, located in the city of Sofia, Budapest St. No. 19, with an area of 642.94 sq.m. together with aging in it MASSIVE RESIDENTIAL BUILDING with built-up area of 37 sq.m., and FOUR-STOREY MASSIVE RESIDENTIAL BUILDING with built-up area of 219 sq.m.

**Vasil Levski Street building - PETETAJNA GRADA**

On 24.10.2003, notary Rumen Dimitrov prepared a notarial deed for ownership of immovable property No. 106, Volume 1a, Reg. sale and Art. 6 from Zarzhavinia Insurance Institute, namely: SINGLE-STOREY BUILDING WITH A BASEMENT, located in the city of Sofia, Sredets district, Vasil Levski street No. 1, in the developed built-up area of 1512 sq.m., consisting of: FIRST FLOOR with a covered attic of 394 , consisting of fourteen rooms and a BASEMENT with a built-up area of 1118 sq.m., together with 2224.70/4919 ideal shares of REGULATED LAND PROPERTY 3, from sq. 482 in the city of Sofia, the Center area, with an area of 4919 sq.m. .m. at neighbors UPI 1, UPI 2, yUPI 4, Tsar Liberator Blvd., AKSakov St., Vasil Levski St.,

**Building on Aksakov St. and G. Benkovski St. - SIX STORY BUILDING**

On 28.07.2004, notary Rumen Dimitrov prepared a notarial deed for ownership of immovable property No. 47, Volume 1a, Reg. sale and Art. 6 from Zarzhavinya Insurance Institute, namely: REGULATED LAND PROPERTY, located in the city of Sofia, Sredets district, at the corner of Aksakov Street and G. Benkovski Street, with an area of 315 sq.m. in square 466 according to the regularization plan of the city of Sofia, and a six-story residential building UPI and a five-story administrative office - Ministry of Finance.

MARIA LOUISA – five-storey building  
BREGANLITSA - two houses on two floors.

## 6. THE SPECIFIC CASE STUDY for the property - the building - the three-storey house in the ideal center of the capital Sofia, Bulgaria, at 1 Positano Street.

The case was filed in 2005. for the property on Positano Street in the ideal center of Sofia by the plaintiffs MG and AG, who are heirs of Iliya Ivanov Petkov, former resident of Sofia who died on 25.11.1957 - one of the founders and owners - member of the management board and chief director of " Agricultural Cooperative Mutual Insurance Company "ZEMEDELETS", which fact is evident from protocol No. 157 OF May 2, 1946. This protocol is the last drawn up before the liquidation of the company and reflects the composition of the management team before the dissolution of the company by court order dated 12.11.1946. number 3188, by which the company was terminated based on Art. 6 OF THE LAW ON THE STATE INSURANCE INSTITUTE /Repealed/

With notarial deed No. 95 Item 3, Reg. 523, Case No. 373 of 1938, the company, through its authorized representative, purchased the following immovable property, A THREE-STOREY MASSIVE BUILDING, located in the city of Sofia, Positano St. No. 1, together with the built-up area of 191.60 square meters, floor No. X-7 and a yard of 394 square meters. Positano".

The company was formed on the basis of the Ordinance-Law on Mutual Insurance Associations of 1936, which was in force at the time, according to which the insurance company was a cooperative. According to the company's articles of incorporation-corporate file No. 144/25, the members of the management board were also the owners of the assets of the company, i.e. including the trial property.

By Decree of the Council of Ministers No. 2187 of 27.07.1950. after the change of power in 1944 and the formation of the People's Republic of Bulgaria as a socialist state, after the end of the Second World War and the redistribution of the countries of Eastern Europe, the ownership of the property passed to the state and the State Property Act No. 226/07.08.1950 was drawn up.

The trial property was restored to the heirs of the former members of the Management Board pursuant to Art. 2 para. 1 of the Law on Restitution of Ownership of Expropriated Real Estate / ZVSONI /, and no special act is required for this. Currently, the property has been deactivated with order of the Regional Governor of Sofia city as a state - Order RD 57-

071/23.04.2003 Ownership arises for the claimants as heirs of Iliya Ivanov Petkov by virtue of the law - art. 2 of the Law on Restitution of Ownership of Expropriated Real Estate - State Gazette No. 107 of 1997.

State Insurance Institute AD, which was established in 1947. after the Second World War and the formation of the People's Republic of Bulgaria as state institutions and the state insurance institute is not the legal successor of the insurance company that was formed before the September revolution of 1944. , which is why the ownership cannot pass to the company "Paleno" EOOD, owned by the wife of the oligarch EMIL KYULEV. It cannot arise for the defendant Stolichna Municipality, and hence also for the defendants Neli Koleva and Stanislav Tsolov.

There is also the other prerequisite of the restitution law for the restoration of ownership in favor of MG and AG, namely, when the restitution law enters into force, the property was owned by the state, the municipalities and the others mentioned.

In 1950 the property can be seen from the attached act of state ownership, the property is updated as state property.

Unknown for what reasons and how in 1997 the property is updated as private municipal property of the Municipality of Stolichna with Mayor Stefan Sofianski under number 36 of the current public register. This act of municipal property was canceled by a decision of the Supreme Administrative Court, and despite this, the mayors Stefan Sofianski, Boyko Borisov, Yordanka Fandakova did not cancel it and did not delete it from the municipal registers of the Sofia Metropolitan Municipality and even tried to sell the property to relatives of party GERB companies.

There is also the last prerequisite for the restoration of the ownership of M and AG and the real existence of the property to the extent to which it was expropriated - / ART. 1 PARAGRAPH 1 AND PARAGRAPH 2 OF , ART. 2 PARAGRAPH 3 OF THE LAW - INVESTIGATIONS/.

6.1. It can be seen from the attached notarial deed, volume 3, number 95, reg. 123, file 373/1938. It is that the trial property was purchased by the insurance company ZEMEDELETS, which company in 1939. It merged into the company ZEMYA, to which the joint-stock company MUSALA merged, and the AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY was founded, with headquarters in Sofia.

The latter is established by appended and officially certified copy register volume 71/1939. And from the attached extracts from the State Archives to the company file, from which it is established that our common legatee is among the founders of the company.

According to the current general laws and the special one of 1939 Ordinance - law on mutual insurance associations, in particular art. 13 is that the founders of the association are the persons who signed the memorandum of association, adopted the statute and elected a management board, among the members of which is our testator.

I.e. the legatee of the plaintiffs MG and AG is also a founder and a member of the board of directors, and according to the aforementioned regulation, the founders are jointly and severally liable for all transactions concluded by the association - 13, 12. of the Ordinance, which considerations, along with the ones presented, JUSTIFY OUR ACTIVE LEGITIMATION OF RIGHTS HOLDERS according to Art. 3 para. 2 of ZVSVONI.

Since according to Art. 5 of the restitution law until the entry into force of art. 2 State Gazette 105 of 1997 The acquisitive statute of limitations does not run, after which it IS INTERRUPTED with the property law of 2006. And the subsequent amendments do not take place both at the moment and until 2011.

ON THEIR PROPERTY BACK IN 1998. IN SOFIA CITY DISTRICT GOVERNMENT and the refusal of the regional governor does not give rise to ownership, which refers to the order for deactivation in favor of DZI.

Since the updating and deactivation of state properties is an internal - official activity of reporting and registering them, therefore their updating and deactivation is not subject to judicial review.

In this sense, the permanent practice - Resolution 1633 of 24.02.2004. Adm. Case 9590/2003 Of the Supreme Court - 5th department, Decision 1816 of 27.02.2004. - administrative case 9368/2003 On the Supreme Administrative Court - 5th division, as well as Property law, the cited - sheet 199.

The deactivation of the property is not a reason to assume that the ownership has been restored, because in the event of a dispute, the presence of prerequisites for the occurrence of the restitution effect is established, which occurred in favor of the claimants AND NOT IN BENEFIT OF the State Insurance Institute / DZI/ for which it is assisted the regional governor by deactivating the property for the benefit of DZI, owned by the oligarch - an employee of the State Security - Emil Külev.

In this sense are also the Decision on the attached to this city case of SRS between Sofia Municipality and DZI AD.

State Insurance Institute, owned by the oligarch EMIL KYULEV - killed in 2003. , is not the legal successor of the Agricultural Cooperative, which is why the defendant "Paleno" EOOD /plaintiff in the case/ cannot acquire the property on a suitable legal basis./.

N... K.... acquired the property in 2003. from the non-owner - the company "BKS - Sredets" JSC, who did not have the legal identification to be the owner, which is why she too cannot acquire the property on a valid legal basis.

Already in 1998 with the claims of MG and AG as heirs of the former owners of the property at Positano Street No. 1 in connection with the restitution claim.

According to the law, the legal entity does not exist and in reality the ownership is restored in favor of the persons who had the status of partners or members not at the time of nationalization, but at the time of termination of the legal entity - respectively in favor of their legal heirs.

It can be seen from the attached officially certified copies of the commercial company register and a copy of the certified

register of the state archive that at the time of termination of the agricultural cooperative mutual insurance company, which ceased to exist in 1946. by court order, it is clear that at that moment the legatee of MG and AG Iliya Ivanov Petkov was a member of the management board and was elected at the last meeting as the executive director of the partnership, and he was also one of the members and founder of the company 1939 evident from the commercial company register.

The second prerequisite is also present - proof of personnel composition at the time of liquidation of the company, in which the common legatee of MG and AG is also a personnel composition.

A third prerequisite for winning and maintaining the case is that the plaintiffs as heirs have not been compensated in any other way.

Another prerequisite according to the law that is present is that at the time of restoration of ownership upon entry into force of the Restitution Law 20.11.1997. the property was owned by the state as evidenced by the act of state ownership.

Another prerequisite for the restoration of the property is that the property exists within real limits at the time of expropriation, the law and the practice of the court is to restore the ownership of the confiscated property as long as it exists as an object of ownership. In this sense, interpretive decision 1 of 17.05.1995. city case 3/94G. of OSGK and page 192 and others. 198 of the book - Property law - Mlakhov and Bobatinov.

It is indisputable that in the years since the expropriation, the property has changed its functional meaning, as two shops on the first level have been identified within its actual boundaries, which existed as shops before, but this is not an obstacle to restoration, since there is no change of the building. It exists in the form in which it was issued.

In this sense, point 1 of interpretive decision No. 1 of 17.05.1995. in city case 3/94 of the Civil Court.

The internal reconstructions, whatever they are in terms of volume, do not lead to the creation of a new object of ownership, which is also to the same interpretive decision according to grde 3/94 of the Civil Code.

Attached as evidence are:

1. Copy of notary act No. 95T.3 REG. No. 523 CASE 373/1938
2. Copy of company file 144/25, PMS No. 2187/50, with an attached list.
3. Decision No. 178/13.01.2004 of the Supreme Administrative Court under Administrative Order No. 5159/2003.
4. Protocol number 157 as of May 2, 1946. IT IS OBVIOUS THAT OUR HEIR, ILIA IVANOV WAS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND WAS ELECTED AS CHIEF DIRECTOR. IS A MEMBER - OF THE MANAGEMENT BOARD - OF ILIA IVANOV - ME AND MY SON, ALEXANDER GARIBOVA, HAVE SHARES OF 1/2 OF 1/15 SHARES - I.E. 1/30 FOR EACH OF US.
5. Certificate for heirs of Iliya Ivanov Petkov- 621/20.06.2002 Municipality of Sredets and of our direct legatee ASG 4/03.01.2005 Municipality of "Sredets".



## 6. State Property Act No. 226/07.08.1950

THE SHARE OWNERSHIP OF THE HEIR OF MG and AG in the company Musala - Zemya transformed into the latter company, as can be seen from the Minutes of the extraordinary meeting of April 7, 1939. attached to the present case FROM WHERE IT IS SEEN THAT OUR HEIR HAS SHARES of 1464 shares / one thousand four hundred sixty four8/ of a total of 5646 / five thousand six hundred forty six/ shares or that makes 25.929 PERCENT / twenty five percent and 929 thousandths of the percent / SHARES OF THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL.

The case was brought by the heirs of the owners against METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY Sofia, Moskovska St. "No. 33, PALENO" EOOD EIK 175263475, DZI general insurance - AD, N... K..... K.... and S.... D ..... C....

With Decision No. 178 of 13.01.2004. of the Supreme Administrative Court under adm. Case 5159/2003, entered into force, by which the Supreme Court expressly ruled that the STOLICH MUNICIPALITY is not the OWNER of the entire property at Positano St. No. 1, Sofia - three-story building, shops and land. Explicitly in the reasons of the Supreme Administrative Court is the fact that the property belongs to the heirs of the owners of the insurance company, owner of the property before 1944.

However, a decision entered into force on 19.02.2004. Judges VLADIMIR VALKOV, DESISLAVA YORDANOVA and MARIA BOYCHEVA from the Sofia City Court of the second instance under vgrd 10481/2009 with their decision ruled that the PROPERTY IS THE PROPERTY OF THE CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY, which is actually legalization of theft of PRIVATE PROPERTY owned by MG and AG, since already with the first-instance decision, it was established and the decision contained the fact that MG and AG are the heirs of the owners of the property at Positano Street No. 1

## 7. HOW IT IS LEGALIZED THROUGH A COURT and a court decision by the JUDGES of Sofia City Court VLADIMIR VALKOV, MARIA BOYCHEVA and DESISLAVA YORDANOVA and their decision of 02.09.2019. THEFT OF PRIVATE INHERITABLE PROPERTY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY of the second instance under vgrd 10481/2009.

IS THE ORDER FULFILLED AGAINST THE ACTUAL OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY!!

Judge Vladimir Valkov himself, sitting in court in another case, states: "Mr. D... BULGARIAN JUDGES ARE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACTS THEY PUT!!!" - said in a court session.

CONCLUSION: JUDGES CAN WRITE ANY DECISIONS THEY WANT

THERE IS NO LAW TO FORCE THEM TO OBEY THE LAWS OF THIS COUNTRY.

Professor Jivko Stalev states: WHEN THERE IS NO RULE OF LAW THERE IS ORGANIZED CRIME!!.

7.1 Judges VLADIMIR VALKOV, Desislava Yordanova Yordanova and Judge Maria Boycheva of the SOFIA CITY COURT on V.Gr. case 10481/2009 panel in their decision dated 02.09.2019. DO NOT QUOTE OR MENTION the following laws, evidence, knowingly change

7.1.1 Decision No. 178 of 13.01.2004 of the Supreme Administrative Court under adm. Case 5159/2003, entered into force, by which the Supreme Court expressly ruled that the STOLICH MUNICIPALITY is not the OWNER of the entire property at Positano St. No. 1, Sofia - three-story building, shops and land. Explicitly in the reasons of the Supreme Administrative Court is the fact that the property belongs to the heirs of the owners of the insurance company, owner of the property before 1944.

However, a decision entered into force on 19.02.2004. judges VLADIMIR VALKOV, DESISLAVA YORDANOVA and MARIA BOYCHEVA with their decision ruled that the PROPERTY IS THE PROPERTY OF THE CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY, which is actually legalization of theft of PRIVATE PROPERTY.

Judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA, BOYCHEVA DISREGARDED THE DECISION WHICH CAME INTO FORCE, DETERMINING THAT THE MUNICIPALITY OF STOLICH IS NOT THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY and does not have the right to issue its own deed of municipal ownership.

7.2 PROOF that the property on Positano St. No. ... building, with land - a three-story building was STATE PROPERTY until 04/23/2003. when only then with Order No. RD-57-071/23.04.2003. it was ordered to write off the property from the deed books FOR STATE PROPERTY:

After UNTIL 23.04.2003 THERE IS AN ACT FOR STATE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AT 1 POSITANO STREET IS STATE PROPERTY judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA, BOYCHEVA respect and recognize:

7.2.1 THE ILLEGAL COMPOSITION by the Metropolitan Municipality on 04.06.1997. of the PRIVATE MUNICIPAL PROPERTY ACT No. 36. from the Municipality of Stolichna with Mayor Stefan Sofianski.

7.2.2 How is this property included in the capital of BKS - Sredets, provided it is STATE PROPERTY -

7.2.3 Decision No. 46 under Decree No. 56 of March 29, 1999. the same property is written off from the BALANCE SHEET of BKS-Sredets" AS BEFORE THAT IT WAS ONLY GIVEN EVEN FOR OWNERSHIP AND WAS NOT TRANSFERRED to the capital of BKS SREDETS - EOOD

7.2.4 How is it that based on the illegal actions, shop No. 1 No. 63 Item 1 Reg. No. 1042 Case No. 66 of 2003 was sold with a notarial deed. of Neli Nikolova Koleva.

**HOW SO ON THE BASIS OF COMPLETELY ILLEGAL CRIMINAL ACTIONS** even though the property is up to 23.04.2003. STATE PROPERTY, the same as a result of an **ILLEGALLY ISSUED ACT FOR PRIVATE MUNICIPAL PROPERTY** from the CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY is transferred not to BCS Sredets and then sold to a third party?!

**HOW SO**, with a proven fact, until 23.04.2003. THE PROPERTY IS STATE PROPERTY judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA, BOYCHEVA RESPECT THE ILLEGAL ACTIONS OF THE CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY and YOU REALLY LEGALIZE THE THEFT OF PRIVATE PROPERTY?!

Judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA DO NOT RESPECT the indisputable fact that until 23.04.2003 THE PROPERTY IS STATE PROPERTY and YOU RESPECT AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE ILLEGAL CRIMINAL ACTIONS for the illegal issuance of a deed for private municipal property and the subsequent actions for the sale of the private hereditary property, EVEN MORE THAT according to Decision No. 178 of 13.01.2004. to YOU under adm. Case 5159/2003 THE PROPERTY HAS BEEN PROVEN NOT TO BE THE PROPERTY OF THE CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY?!?!

Judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA confuse the Provisions of the Commercial Law of 1897. to form a joint-stock company, how come judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA confuse the LAW ON COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATIONS OF FEBRUARY 17, 1907. AS THEY DON'T RESPECT THAT SUCH ASSOCIATIONS ARE FORMED WITH CAPITAL AND SHARES??

Judges VALKOV, YORDANOV and BOYCHEVA mixed the current Law on cooperatives where there are no shares with the LAW ON COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATIONS of February 17, 1907. ?!?!

Judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA mix SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS - RIGHTS OF OWNERS WITH SHARES according to the Commercial Law of 1897. and the CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES ACT of 1907. with the Ordinance on the Law on Mutual Insurance Associations of 1936. where INSURANCE ACTIVITY is SPECIFIED, not OWNERSHIP of companies and joint stock companies. ?!?!

The trial company was first a joint-stock company, and then companies were formed under the Law on Cooperative Associations with a capital of BGN 130,300 / one hundred and three hundred thousand and three hundred / BGN.

Judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA DO NOT RESPECT THE LAWS OF THE REPUBLIC OF BULGARIA and MIX laws and do not respect the laws and KNOWINGLY MISTREAT THE LAWS?!?!

DESPITE THE CLEAR PROVISIONS of the Commercial Law of 1897. and COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES LAW of 1907. and the ATTACHED WRITTEN EVIDENCE FOR THE SHARES OF THE PARTNERS and SHAREHOLDERS in the

companies MUSALA", renamed to "LAND", renamed to "people's mutual insurance company", which was terminated under Art. 6 of the Social Security Act – i.e. the last company / cancelled, into which Zemedelec with the property of Positano No. 1 joined, judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA deliberately misinterpreted and incorrectly applied the cited laws that were in force at that time, and mixed THESE BASIC LAWS with the Ordinance on Insurance Activity ., which ordinance concerns only insurance activity, NOT OWNERSHIP, as judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA accept as MEMBERS the persons with concluded insurances, mixing them and treating them EQUALLY WITH PARTNERS OF THE COMPANY, who are the REAL OWNERS OF THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL, AT WHICH Judges VALKOV, YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA ANNOUNCE OUR HEIR as the OWNER of over 25 percent of the OWNERSHIP by declaring him an insured member-cooperator.

As the company is an ASSOCIATION and not a cooperative according to the current legislation.

There is a clear and proven Art. 282 of the Penal Code by judges VALKOV, BOYCHEVA and YORDANOV.

Judges VALKOV YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA CONSCIOUSLY confuse AND CONSCIOUSLY DISRESPECT THE SHARE OWNERSHIP OF THE HEIR OF MG and AT in the company Musala - Zemya transformed into the latter company, as can be seen from the Minutes of the extraordinary meeting of April 7, 1939. attached to the present case FROM WHERE IT IS SEEN THAT OUR HEIR HAS SHARES of 1464 shares / one thousand four hundred sixty four<sup>8</sup>/ of a total of 5646 / five thousand six hundred forty six/ shares or that makes 25.929 PERCENT / twenty five percent and 929 thousandths of the percent / SHARES OF THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL ?!?!

Judges VALKOV YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA do not even mention this indisputable PROVEN FACT..

Judges VALKOV YORDANOVA and BOYCHEVA DO NOT RESPECT THE SHARES OF THE HEIR OF MG and AG, resting on the LAWS OF THE REPUBLIC OF BULGARIA and MIXING laws and do not respect the laws and KNOWINGLY WRONGLY TREAT THE LAWS?!?!

Judges VALKOV, BOYCHEVA and YORDANOVA are confusing AND CONSCIOUSLY DISRESPECTING THE PARTICULAR OWNERSHIP OF THE HEIR OF MG and AG in the company Musala - Zemya, . transformed into the last company, evident from the Minutes of the extraordinary meeting of April 7, 1939. attached to the present case FROM WHERE IT IS SEEN that the HEIR of Mg and AG HAS SHARES of 1464 shares / one thousand four hundred sixty four<sup>8</sup>/ of a total of 5646 / five thousand six hundred forty six/ shares or that makes 25.929 PERCENT / twenty five percent and 929 thousandths of the percent/ SHARES OF THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL.

Judges VALKOV, BOYCHEVA and YORDANOVA confuse the provisions of the Commercial Law of 1897. for the formation of a joint-stock company, how come you rush the LAW ON COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATIONS OF FEBRUARY 17, 1907. AS YOU DON'T CONSIDER THAT

**SUCH ASSOCIATIONS ARE FORMED WITH CAPITAL AND SHARES??**

Judges VALKOV BOYCHEVA and YORDANOVA mix the current Law on cooperatives where there are no shares with the LAW ON COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATIONS of February 17, 1907.

Judges mix SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS - RIGHTS OF OWNERS WITH SHARES according to the Commercial Code of 1897. and the CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES ACT of 1907. with the Ordinance on the Law on Mutual Insurance Associations of 1936. where INSURANCE ACTIVITY is SPECIFIED and not OWNERSHIP of companies and joint stock companies.

The process companies are first a joint-stock company, and then they are formed Companies under the Law on Cooperative Associated Companies with a capital of BGN 130,300 / one hundred and three thousand three hundred / BGN.

HOW SO ON THE BASIS OF COMPLETELY ILLEGAL CRIMINAL ACTIONS, even though the property was until 23.04.2003. IS STATE PROPERTY, the same as a result of an ILLEGALLY ISSUED ACT FOR PRIVATE MUNICIPAL OWNERSHIP by the CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY is transferred to BCS Sredets and then sold to a third party.

Judges VALKOV, BOYCHEVA and YORDANOVA, upon the proven fact that by 23.04.2003 THE PROPERTY IS STATE PROPERTY, THEY RESPECT THE ILLEGAL ACTIONS OF A METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY AND REALLY LEGALIZE THE THEFT OF PRIVATE HERITAGE PROPERTY.

Decision No. 178 of 13.01.2004 of the Supreme Administrative Court under adm. Case 5159/2003 expressly states that the STGOLICHNA MUNICIPALITY is not the OWNER of the entire property at Positano Street No. 1, Sofia - three-story building, shops and land. Explicitly in the reasons is the fact that the property belongs to the heirs of the owners of the insurance company, owner of the property before 1944.

FOR THE CLEAR AND PROVED ORDER, the plaintiffs were informed at the beginning of the case that the judges who will consider the case WILL LEGALIZE THE THEFT OF THE CHATNY PROPERTY by the CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY.

The plaintiffs are notified of the mafia's order to lose cases, which order will be made as follows:

1. The judges who will execute the order will be precisely determined judges, and not randomly and electronically, as is the law.
2. The judges who will execute the order, despite the fact that they have filed dozens - not to mention hundreds of cases, the plaintiffs in the SGS, will knowingly not file a SELF-REFALSE in the ORDER CASE.
3. The judges who will carry out the order will issue DECISIONS WITH WHICH THE PLAINTIFFS WILL LOSE THEIR CASES.
4. The judges who will carry out the order WILL TERMINATE CASES AGAINST INSTITUTIONS THAT ARE SUPPORTED BY THE MAFIA - Banks,

Bulgarian National Bank, cases against Unicredit Bulbank, cases against insurers, - example DZI, cases against MAFIA companies, cases against state companies - Bulgarian Post Office for billions, cases against ministries - the Ministry of the Interior, the Council of Ministers, the Ministry of Economy and Energy, the Ministry against Energy, cases against the NRA - performing orders, CASES AGAINST THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE after acquittals have entered into force, cases AGAINST THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE FOR ILLEGAL DETENTION,

5. The judges who will carry out these orders of the mafia - WILL MAKE DECISIONS LEGALIZING CRIMES of the Council of Ministers, of the Ministries, of the Ministry of the Interior, of the NA, of the Prosecutor's Office

**THE FACTS AND EVIDENCE CONCERNING JUDGE VLADIMIR VALKOV:**

In the case of the private property on Positano street, a REQUEST has been repeatedly submitted to judge VLADIMIR VALKOV to recuse himself in the present case due to the indisputable fact that judge VLADIMIR VALKOV HAS REJECTED IN OTHER CASES to the plaintiff MG namely: city case 14407/2016 against CBANK for the theft of hundreds of thousands of euros from a special account, civil case 14404/2016 against the Bulgarian National Bank for failure to act against CBANK for the theft of hundreds of thousands of euros and the seizure of 50 million euros from the bank of the close friend of the Prime Minister Tsvetelina Borislavova without court and court decision, city case `14401/2016 against SiBANK AD

Judge VLADIMIR VALKOV proves that he is biased towards the plaintiffs, ESPECIALLY MORE AS IT IS A QUESTION OF THE PLAINTIFFS' INHERITED PROPERTY IN THE CENTER OF SOFIA, WHICH THEY CANNOT ENTER FOR MORE THAN TEN YEARS, ROBBED BY EMIL KYULEV and with which the Sofia Municipality despite the entry into force reunie THAT THE CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY IS NOT THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY, then the Capital Municipality disposed of it - WHICH IS REALLY A CRIME.

1. According to the practice of the European Court of Human Rights, CRITERIA ARE IMPOSED THAT THE BIAS OF A JUDGE OR JUDICIAL MEMBER CAN BE JUDGED BOTH BY HIS BEHAVIOR DURING THE PROCESS AND BY THE CONTENT OF HIS ACTS.

THE COURT SHOULD INSPIRE CONFIDENCE IN A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY AND EVERY DOUBT IS THREATENING THIS CONFIDENCE.

Judge VLADIMIR VALKOV HAS PROVED MANY TIMES OVER THE YEARS THAT HE CANNOT BE TRUSTED AT ALL.

THE PRACTICE OF THE EUROPEAN COURT IS UNDISPUTED AND IMPECCABLE - THIS IS CONFIRMED BY A DECISION DATED OCTOBER 1, 1998. - THE PIERSC CASE, DECISION FROM 10.26.1984. CASE OF DE CUBE,

DECISION OF JUNE 25, 1992. THE CASE OF THORGE THORGERSON.

## 8. Decision of the SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION in case 1205/2020 of 01.12.2021. by judges Margarita Sokolova, Svetlana Kalinova and Galabina Gencheva

After appealing the decision of the judges Vladimir Valkov, Maria Boycheva and Desislava Yordanova from the Sofia City Court through a cassation appeal, Greek case 1205/2020 was initiated on the list of the Supreme Court of Cassation.

After one year, on 01.12.2021, judges Mrgarita Sokolova, Svetlana Kalinova and Galabina Gencheva issued Decision No. 60156 dated 01.12.2021, with which they AVOID the appellate decision No. p 6335 of 02.09.2019 under vgrd 10481/2009 on the inventory of the Sofia City Court and return the case of the Sofia City Court with instructions.

Although everything is specified, shares, shareholding, percentage share in the shares of the claimant's testator, and everything is precisely and clearly specified, instead of deciding the dispute in essence, the judges of the Supreme Court deliberately return the case to the second instance of the Sofia City Court, where the delay begins again of the case.

It is not by chance that judges Margarita Sokolova, Svetlana Kalinova and Galabina Genchev, who with their own definition LEGALIZE 2019 THE THEFT OF A SEVEN-STOREY BUILDING OF THE SAME PLAINTIFFS in the CENTER OF SOFIA with a built-up area of 11690 sq.m. by the agent of the State Security oligarch EMIL KULEV, whose heiress - his wife HAS ALREADY SOLD THIS BUILDING TO A THIRD LIE COMPANY TO ANOTHER OLIGARCH.

The LOSS from this ruling of these same judges of the Supreme Court of Cassation is 130 million euros, lost benefits and profits of 250 million euros.

Returning the case to the Sofia City Court, delaying the case begins again, aiming for the plaintiffs to die or die in order to lose everything.

## 9. COMPLAINT FOR DELAY in connection with the delay of the case in the Sofia City Court after it was returned by the Supreme Court of Cassation.

On 04.01.2022, the plaintiffs, together with the investor company Goldman Management LLC, filed a REQUEST - COMPLAINT FOR DELAY based on Art. 255 paragraph 1 of the Civil Procedure Code to the SOFIA COURT OF APPEALS with President DANIELA DONCHEVA through SOFIA CITY COURT Case 14767/2021 - with the following text: " I ASK you, based on Art. 251 para. 1 of the Civil Procedure Code, once you have familiarized yourself with the case, to determine an appropriate time frame for the following procedural actions: Scheduling a court session, appointing an expert, which, according to the available documents from 100-70 years ago, gave a conclusion about the company share of our

testator Iliya Ivanov Petkov in the insurance company, which acquired the trial property, for which we also bring the claims under Art. 108 of the Civil Code and Art. .d.1205/20

The Supreme Court decided the case within six months of its return from the SRC, where 29 panels detained him for months without performing any actions. The previous appellate civil case No. 10481/2009 stayed for eleven years in the Sofia City Court / SGS / until it was considered on the merits and an illegal act was decreed, which the Supreme Court of Appeal annulled.

After the annulment of the appellate decision, the case returned to the SGS on 03.12.2021 and for a month now there have been no procedural actions such as scheduling and collection of judgments through expertise, in accordance with the mandatory judgments in the Supreme Court decision.

The claim was submitted to the SRC in 2005 and the case was initiated in 2006. For almost seventeen years we have not had a solution and we cannot use our property, which we have by inheritance and restitution. The ECHR is categorical that a judicial process, in order to be within a reasonable time, should not exceed more than eight years in three court instances and the contrary is a violation of Article 6 of the ECHR.2005, whose decision was canceled by the Supreme Court

From the website of the SGS, the claimants learn that every month one of the judges from the Sofia City Court, presided over by Judge Aleksey Trifonov, born in Russia during the Soviet Union to one parent, a Russian citizen, that there are three appeals filed after the case was returned on 03.12.2021 Mr.

Eleven years of appeals and now they say that the judges of the SGS either do not want to consider the dispute or they are being pressured by some of the parties, as well as they are afraid of such pressures, since the defendants have a similar attitude, which was my personal statement.

In view of the above, please oblige the SGS to schedule a court hearing as soon as possible and finally decide the dispute as an appellate instance within three to four months at the most with a court decision. "

And until now, for more than a year and eight months, there has been no reaction or action from the head president of the Sofia Appellate Court, DANIELA DONCHEVA, and from the president of the Supreme Court, judge ALEXEY TRIFONOV.

## 10.A REQUEST to the President of the Sofia City Court, Judge ALEXEY TRIFONOV, to comply with the law in connection with the deliberate delay of the case in the Sofia City Court, where one judge recuses himself every week, although none of the plaintiffs know these judges, have never had any connections , contacts with these judges.

On 12.06.2023, the plaintiffs in the case submitted a request to the chairman of the Sofia City Court, Judge Aleksey Trifonov, born in the Soviet Union, in connection with an appeal civil case, reg. no. 14767/21, with the following text and request:

"Dear Mr. President, An appellate civil case was initiated on 03.12.2021 after the Supreme Court annulled the appellate decision of the SGS under vgr.d. No. 10481/09. Since then, no court hearing has been scheduled for more than a year and a half. Some kind of accusations are being made by masters who we neither know nor know, nor have we had or have any relationships, either from official ones from me or from others. The appellate decision was filed under Civil Registry No. 10481809. op which only in 2020 was a court hearing scheduled after a series of appeals. Neither I nor the other appellant AG, who was a minor in 2009, and who turned thirty years old this year, have asked for the dismissal of anyone from the judges of the Sofia City Court.

We were informed that there is a procedure for recusals of the judges in the SGS with your letter, which procedure is not familiar to me, a lawyer with forty years of experience, eighteen of which as a judge and lawyer.

At the moment there have been 48 self-recusals, since none of us has recused any of the judges in the SGS, and for 2023 there are only five, that is, less than one per month.

Our right to a fair trial under European law has long been violated, but so has our right to property. I don't know what reasonable and logical explanation I am giving to the other appellant, who is my son and who was twelve years old when the trial began in 2005. There isn't one. There is none for me as the heir of a former owner, who lived, worked and built not for the defendants, who unlawfully seized our property and who, thanks to the inaction of the judicial system, are benefiting at our expense, as our lives pass by, and we are deprived of the moral and material right to any life realization.

Pursuant to ORDER No. RD-01-137 Sofia, 16.01.2017 On the basis of Art. 86, para. 1, in conjunction with Art. 88 of the Law on the Judiciary, of the Chairman of the SGS and the attached RULES FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF CASES IN THE SOFIA CITY COURT FOR MASS APPEALS, the following is established: In the sense of the present rules, a "mass appeal" in a case initiated in the Sofia City Court is available upon removal of 30% of the judges in the relevant department in which the case was originally initiated and distributed through the Centralized Case Distribution System (CSD), according to the matter. 2. In the event of a "mass dismissal" of the case, its redistribution through the CSRD shall be suspended, and the same shall be successively reported to the other judges of the relevant department in which the case was initially initiated. 3. The judge to whom the case was reported in accordance with item 2 shall, within a three-day period, consider whether there are grounds for recusal and, within the same period, return the case to the registry office. In the event that such a reason is present, the judge issues an act for removal from consideration of the case. In the absence of grounds for recusal, the judge indicates this circumstance in the list of judges attached to the specific case.

Please take the necessary measures to implement the rules of this order. Magistrates who have recused themselves for a long time exceed 30% of the entire composition of the court, and the composition you lead should be disciplined by you, if there are such self-recusals that they do not comply with the three-day

deadline, and not as I have quoted from the court's website for 2023 so far.

Definition 21.12.2022

44 Appeal civil case 14767 / 2021 A. A. G., M. A. G. STOLICHNA MUNICIPALITY, N. N. K., BKS SREDETS AD, DZI - Life Insurance EAD Gulsever Sally Decision 01.27.2023

45 Appeal civil case 14767 / 2021 A. A. G., M. A. G. STOLICHNA MUNICIPALITY, N. N. K., BKS SREDETS AD, DZI - Life Insurance EAD Kalina Vencislavova Stancheva Decision 02.16.2023

46 Appeal civil case 14767 / 2021 A. A. G., M. A. G. STOLICHNA MUNICIPALITY, N. N. K., BKS SREDETS AD, DZI - Life Insurance EAD Maria Stoykova Decision 03.27.2023

47 Appeal civil case 14767 / 2021 A. A. G., M. A. G. STOLICHNA MUNICIPALITY, N. N. K., BKS SREDETS AD, DZI - Life Insurance EAD Gulsever Sally Order 05.16.2023

48 Appeal civil case 14767 / 2021 A. A. G., M. A. G. STOLICHNA MUNICIPALITY, N. N. K., BKS SREDETS AD, DZI - Life Insurance EAD Gulsever Sally Order 09.06.2023

It is not admissible for persons who represent one of the three powers and have taken an oath according to the Constitution to limit our right of recourse to a court, to violate our parvo of a fair trial by an impartial court and our right to property.

The presiding judge, as the administrative head, cannot influence the magistrates on the way cases are conducted and their inner conviction, but this is not a trial, as no court hearing has been scheduled. The administrative head should organize the work of the court headed by him in such a way as not to allow violation of the basic rights of citizens.

I expect a timely response to my request, otherwise I will be forced to seek my rights before the International Courts."

And so far, there has been no reaction from the chairman of the Supreme Court, Judge Alexey Trifonov.

## **11. REQUEST for an inspection to the President of the Supreme Court of Cassation, Judge GALINA ZAKHAROVA, in connection with this deliberate delay of the case for over 18 years**

On 04.08.2022, one of the defense attorneys made a request to the President of the SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION, Judge GALINA ZAKHAROVA, to check and take appropriate administrative measures in connection with the unusually long delay of the case with the following text: .

"I request, on the basis of Art. 114 para. 1 item 9 of the Civil Code, to IMMEDIATELY carry out personally or entrust a judge from the Supreme Court of Cassation with an inspection of the organization of the activities of the judge - president of the Sofia Court of Appeal, judge DANIELA DONCHEVA, regarding her inaction at the request of date 20.07.2022 entry No. 838 to check the judges of the SOFIA CITY COURT regarding their actions under vgrd 14767/2021

An inspection has been requested by the Chairman of the SAC, Judge Doncheva, based on Art. 106 para. 1 item 7 of the Civil Code, to carry out an inspection of the organization of the activities of the judges of the Sofia City Court, personally or by assigning a

judge from the Court of Appeal, regarding their actions under self-recusals, of those made according to construction no. 14767/21 and non-administration and failure to send an application and complaint for slowness to the SAC.

Today, 04.08.2022, we received a written response from Judge Doncheva that in connection with application entry no. 838/20.07.2022, which was submitted only by the MG under entry no. 10481/09, according to the inventory of Sofiyski city court judges recused themselves from considering the case, which was not subject to instance control.

Vgr.d.No. 10481/09, on which for eleven years there were appeals by magistrates with whom we have nothing to do, especially the second one of us, who was a minor at the time and neither knows them, nor knows them, nor has he heard of them, nor did he have and has any contacts and relations, ended with a decision in 2020, which was appealed before the Supreme Court and was formed in the city of G.d. No. 1205/20, on which a cassational appeal was allowed and the case was resolved in essence, as the decision of the SGS was canceled by a decision of 01.12.21 and the case was returned to another panel of the SGS with mandatory instructions.

After the case was returned to the SGSna on 03.12.2021, an investigation was initiated. No. 14767/21 for which no court session has been scheduled yet. In its annulment decision under c.d. No. 1205/20, instructions were given to carry out an expertise to assess our share of the ownership of a property on "Positano" Street, owned by an insurance company, terminated on the basis of Article 6 of the ZDZI/repealed/.

Without having made requests for the removal of any magistrate, a series of self-removals followed, which continues to the present moment, by judges that we neither know nor know, nor have we seen in our lives, nor have we had any personal or official relationships, the majority of which even I, as a professional, have not even heard their names.

According to criminal case No. 10481/09, SELF-REMOVALS CONTINUED FROM THE MOMENT OF FORMATION OF THE CASE in 2009. UNTIL THE SUMMER OF 2020, WHEN THE FIRST ACTUAL COURT SESSION WAS SCHEDULED AND A DECISION WAS MADE, WHICH WAS REVERSED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION.

I AM NOT AWARE OF THE MOTIVES FOR THE SELF-REFERENCES, BUT NEITHER I NOR THE OTHER PLAINTIFF HAS ALLOWED OURSELVES PROCEDURE CONDUCT WHICH WOULD GIVE RISE TO SUCH ACTIONS, NOR ARE WE IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH ANY OF THE SGS JUDGES.. There is none of the prerequisites of Art. 22 para. 1 item 1-4 of the Civil Procedure Code for self-recusal, nor are there any other circumstances that give grounds for the application of Art. 22 para. the judge.

We sent a written question to the Chairman of the SGS Alexey Trifonov, twice by me and once by the AG, who answered us in writing that an appeals procedure is underway. Such a procedure is missing in the Civil Procedure Code and until now the judicial panels of the SGS since 2009. so far they have caused us a lot of damage and lost profits with this unreasonable delay. Separately, the principle of a fair trial within a reasonable time under Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights is also clearly violated.

**Both AG and MG have had impeccable procedural behavior, I have never once allowed myself to be abusive towards any**

**magistrate, especially since I myself was a judge of the SRS and SOS for ten years.**

**In connection with numerous appeals and self-appeals, the composition of the Supreme Court in its decision of 26.07.17. by city No. 327/14 has pronounced in the following sense-**

**In practice, courts recusing themselves or respecting the party's groundless objections, make the process more expensive, significantly delay the resolution of the dispute, essentially limit access to court and possibly financially burden the opposite party. The court is obliged to take measures to adequately organize the timely consideration of the case, incl. not respecting the unfounded requests of the parties, to assess whether their procedural actions are in accordance with Art. 3 CPC – exercised in good faith and in accordance with good morals, disciplines them with the means of CPC, ceases actions constituting abuse of right (cf. Chiricosta and V. v. Italy, No. 19753/92, 4 December 1995, Series A no. 337-A, Resolution No. 222 of 21.03.2014 of the Supreme Court of Appeals pursuant to Order No. 1076/2014, III year o., Civil Code; Order No. 409/27.06.2013 pursuant to Order No. Decree No. 1799/2013 of the IV year, decision No. 163/05.04.2016 according to the Civil Code No. 847/2016 of the IV year).**

**Despite the fact that we appealed the delay in scheduling the case, as well as the unjustified dismissals with a complaint for slowness under Article 255 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the Sofia City Court with chairman Alexey Trifonov did not send the case to the Sofia Appellate Court / SAC / for ruling, as we requested from SAS to claim the deed that was not committed.**

**The proceedings in the case began in 2005 before the Sofia District Court. Undoubtedly, our rights under Article 6 of the ECHR for a fair trial within a reasonable period of time have been violated, since more than seventeen years is a period beyond the permissible period for pronouncing and deciding a single civil case, and the trial has not yet ended. Abstaining from court for more than twelve years is a denial of justice guaranteed by the Constitution of the Republic of Bulgaria, as well as a deprivation of our right to property. We, the heirs of a former owner with shares for 30% of the property of the association, are forced to endure inaction, which is obviously in favor of the persons who possess our property without legal basis. Please, on the basis of the above, immediately carry out an investigation into the actions of the magistrates from the SAC, which in practice violate our basic rights, guaranteed by the basic law - the Constitution, namely our right of access to court - art. 117, 118, 121 and 122 and in practice this is a denial of justice, as well as their official duties, which is grounds for a request to initiate disciplinary proceedings against them by the High Judicial Council". And until now, for more than a year, there has been no reaction from the president of the Supreme Court of Cassation, judge Galina Zakharova, a criminal judge.**

#### **1. SUBMISSION OF PAYMENT INVITATION FOR PAYMENT to Sofia City Court and Sofia Court of Appeal**

**On 31.07.2023, the plaintiffs claimed the damages caused to them - losses, lost benefits and profits and caused non-pecuniary damages because of this lawlessness which is committed in the court and more specifically in the Sofia City Court chaired by Aleksey Trifonov, the Sofia Court of Appeal chaired by Daniela Doncheva and the presentation was made**

to the Sofia City Court, the president of the SGS, judge ALEKSEY TRIFONOV, the Sofia Court of Appeal, the president of the SAS, judge DANIELA DONCHEVA, address 1000 Sofia, Vitosha Blvd. No. 2, with the following text:

"The Magistrates of the Sofia City Court, led by you as its administrative head Judge Aleksei Trifonov, for fourteen years allow themselves to violate our basic rights, the right of access to court, the right to a fair trial by an impartial court, the right to property, which rights are guaranteed to us by the Constitution of Bulgaria and by numerous ratified international acts.

Administrative Law No. 14767/21, formed on 03.12.2021, following a decision of the Supreme Court of Cassation under Administrative Law No. 2005/20, which annulled an appeal decision of the Sofia City Court under Administrative Law No. 10481/09, with which decision mandatory instructions were given for the conduct of court proceedings, according to which a judicial examination would be carried out regarding the share of our testator from the trial property, located in the city of Sofia, "Positano" street No. 1 at the available documentation and archive and in case of impossibility due to the elapsed time period of about 70 years to accept equal shares of the persons entitled to inheritance and restitution.

As of December 2021, not a single court hearing has been scheduled. Our complaints about slowness are not sent to the higher instance of the Sofia Appellate Court. Self-recusals are being made by judges whom we neither know nor know by name, nor have we had any personal or official relationships, and on the occasion of my numerous inquiries to Mr. Trifonov, I was told that the removal of judges from the Supreme Court is in progress. Firstly, there is no such concept in the Civil Procedure Code, secondly, we have never asked anyone to withdraw, thirdly, even if there are mass withdrawals, it is not normal to have only five of them in half a year. Our loss is huge, as we are deprived of the free use of inviolable private property, of realizing profits from its use, and non-material damages have been caused to us, expressed in a complete loss of confidence in the judiciary, negative emotions related to the impossibility to implement plans that can make our lives not only better, but also at a significantly higher level of development. Last but not least, as the heirs of Iliya Ivanov Petkov, he gave his life for the development of the insurance industry in Bulgaria after the Liberation and the complete defamation of his memory by "seizing" with legal concepts of his work and life and realization of this robbery through the judicial system through its inaction. For more than eighteen years, we have not had access to our property, suffering huge non-property and property damages, during which time third parties, with the help of the magistrates of the Sofia City Court, have benefited from the use of our property.

And since this is an unprecedented violation of our basic human rights, we present you with a claim expressing the pecuniary and non-pecuniary damages caused to us so far as a result of our proven denial of justice by the working magistrates in the Sofia City Court, justice beyond any would have been reasonable terms, damages that cannot even be valued, since human life has no price, and this deliberate delay leads to the loss of our lives as well. When the case was filed in 2005, the great-grandson of the founder of the insurance company, whose property was seized pursuant to Article 6 of

the ZDZI/repealed, was twelve years old, and now he has passed his thirtieth anniversary, lost time, and hopes and conversions that have no cost.

And in connection with the above, WE AWARD YOU the sum of 15 million euros in damages caused, 17 million euros in lost benefits and profits, 9 million euros in unrealized investments, 1.5 million euros in non-property damages within seven days of receipt of this and in default of payment I will transfer 45 % our claim on the 15th day to the company "Dynasty D&H LLC" and we will seek our rights in court and in an international court.

Because of this lawlessness, even though the European Commission and the largest countries in the European Union, Germany and France, have been informed of this lawlessness and mafia in the judicial system, a complaint has been made to the European Commission and to Germany and France and to the Ministry of Justice and The National Assembly of Bulgaria due to the inaction of ministers and the entire administration and specifically the ministers of justice to fulfill their obligations under Art. 312 of the Law on the Judiciary and request from the Supreme Judicial Council the immediate disciplinary dismissal of judges Raina Martinova, Vladimir Valkov, Boycheva and all judges who are deliberately delaying 14767/2021 filed in 2005 - in the Sofia District Court for the corresponding amounts as follows: from 15 one million euros in damages, 17 million euros in lost benefits and profits, 9 million euros in unrealized investments, 1.5 million euros in non-property damages within seven days of receipt of this and in default of payment I will transfer my claim on the 15th to Dynasty D&H LLC and sought my rights in court

2. NINE ATTEMPTS to kill the plaintiffs' family members from 2011 to the present -2023.
3. Normes of the Neoliberal Neo -fascist mafia of lawlessness and genocide of law among judges serving the mafia in Bulgaria and the inaction and support of this mafia from the US and the European Union and the European Commission with chairmen Barroso, Jean Claude Juncker and Ursula von der Lyen and former chancellor ANGELA MERKEL of Germany

THE NORM IS THE INCOMPETENCE OF THE JUDGES - JUDGES ALBENA BOTEVA, LIUBKA GOLAKOVA, VLADIMIR VALKOV, RAYNA MARTINOVA, CHEKHLAROV,

THE SUPREME JUDICIAL COUNCIL and the Inspectorate To the Supreme Judicial Council is the PROTECTION and SUPPORT and GUARANTEE of this mafia in court and prosecutor's office. THE VERY SYSTEM OF THE SUPREME JUDICIAL COUNCIL, in which judges and prosecutors are elected by the MAFIA, THUS CONCRETES THE CRIMES OF THEIR COLLEAGUES.

The inspectorate at the Supreme Judicial Council has been ILLEGIT for more than two years now, because the mandate of all inspectors ended more than TWO YEARS ago - they. ALL THE ACTS OF THESE INSPECTORS - former judges and prosecutors ARE ILLEGAL and REALLY CRIMES. DISRESPECT AND NON-ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS BY JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS IS THE NORM. IT IS THE NORM FOR A JUDGE TO DETERMINE HIMSELF UNDER WHICH LAW THE CASE SHOULD BE

**FILED, AND NOT ACCORDING TO THE INTEREST OF THE PLAINTIFF - CITIZENS OR COMPANY, SO HE CAN THEN DISMISS THE CASE - i.e. there is a CHANGE OF THE RIGHT, CHANGE OF THE LAW, .**

**THE JUDGE DOES WHAT HE WANT WITH THE CASE - IF THERE IS AN ORDER TO STOP IT, IT STOPS IT BY LEGALIZING CRIMES COMMITTED BY JUDGES, BY MAFIA PEOPLE AND MAFIA COMPANIES.**

**CORRUPTION OF JUDGES IS THE NORM. IT IS THE NORM FOR JUDGES TO OWN PROPERTIES WORTH MILLIONS WITHOUT BEING ABLE TO PROVE THAT THEY PURCHASED THEM WITH THEIR SALARY - SOLELY AND ONLY WITH THE SALARY - AS JUDGE RUSSI ALEKSIEV acquired properties worth millions in Sofia, Greece - a house, and having a claim for 295,000 euros - interesting from what receivables. The NORM is that the ministers of justice do not actually fulfill their duties in the presence of evidence of lawlessness of judges and prosecutors under Art. 312 of the Law on the Judiciary and to request their dismissal from the Supreme Judicial Council - these are ministers Hristo Ivanov, Pavlova, Tsetska Tsacheva, Ekaterina Zaharieva, Danail Kirilov, Ahladova, Prof. Yanaki Stoilov, Nadezhda Yordanova, Krum Zarkov.**

**The NORM is that court presidents protect their judges and do not FULFILL art. 312 of the Law on the Judiciary and nobody wants their disciplinary punishment, let alone dismissal from the Supreme Judicial Council - such are the presidents METODI LALOV, ALEXEI TRIFONOV - Sofia City Court, SVELIN MIHAILOV and GEORGI KOLEV - SGS, DANIELA DONCHEVA - Sofia Court of Appeal, LAUSANNE PANOV - SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION, Prof. LAZAR GRUEV - Supreme Court of Cassation, ALEXANDER ANGELOV - President of the Sofia District Court, Judge LOZAN PANOV - President of the Supreme Court of Cassation, President PLAMEN PETKOV - President of the Sofia District Court, ALL PRESIDENTS OF THE COURTS.**

**THE NORM IS THE ABUSE OF JUDICIAL POWER by mafia judges.**

**VIOLATION OF CITIZENS' FREEDOMS AND RIGHTS IS THE NORM.**

**THESE ARE THE NORMS OF NEO-LIBERAL NEO-FASCISM in the judicial system in Bulgaria.**

**And as a COVER - THIS ENTIRE MAFIA IN THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM is maximally supported by the EUROPEAN COMMISSION AND THE EUROPEAN UNION with presidents BAROSO, JUNKER, URSULA VON DER LEYEN and by the USA with presidents OBAMA, TRUMP and JOE BIDEN..**

**THE MAFIA HAS A COUNTRY. THE MAFIA in the EUROPEAN COMMISSION AND THE EUROPEAN UNION HAS COPIES in Bulgaria who carry out everything they order. THERE IS NO SYSTEM OF SANCTIONS against guilty judges.**

**A NORM OF BIAS AND PREJUDICE AND FOLLOWING THE ORDER OF THE MAFIA is when a judge, who has recused himself in dozens of cases, in a case in which the plaintiff must lose, refuses to recuse himself in the case and issues a decision by which he loses the case. In the specific case, ALMOST ALL JUDGES of the Sofia Appellate Court from the**

**civil and commercial collegium have given each other rebuttals in cases when they were in the Sofia City Court. And they have done this dozens, if not more - hundreds of times.**

**Such are the judges - Albena Alexandrova, Sonya Naydenova, Stella Katsarova, Juliana Petkova, Denitsa Tsvetkova, Rozinela Yancheva, Ralitsa D., Elena Mavrova, Dragomir Dragnev - already in the Supreme Court - and he follows the scheme of the MAFIA, Reni Kodjabasheva, Hrispime Magardichyan, ERIC VASILEV - already in the Supreme Court and he follows the scheme of the MAFIA, because of him the plaintiff loses - due to the termination of a case for 250,000 euros of stolen money, Maria Yanachkova, Zornitsa Ezekieva, HAIIDUKOVA, Tsvetomira Kordolovska, Lyubomir Vasilev, Krasimir Mashev, Olga Kadankova, Milen Vasilev ,Anelia Markova, Elizabeth Petrovaa, NIKOLAI DIMOV, Zlatka Choleva, Velina Psychinova, Pepa Toneva, Elena Andreeva, Tanya Oresharova, Galina Tasheva, Nina Stoycheva, DANIELA DONCHEVA, Krasimir Mazgalov, ASEN VODENICHAROV - who terminated without a court hearing a case for 112 million leva, Miroslava Katsarska, Petar Teodosiev, Jacqueline Komitova, Nikola CHOMPALOV and dozens of others.**

**If we were in socialism, THESE JUDGES WOULD BE not only FIRED IMMEDIATELY. The bad thing is that the PROSECUTORS of SOTIR TSATSAROV AND GESHEV HAS PUSHED AN UMBRELLA over the judges of the MAFIA, they are not taking any measures and legal actions. IF THIS IS NOT ORDER FULFILLMENT, IT CANNOT BE ANYTHING ELSE!!**

**The Sofia Court of Appeal headed by judge DANIELA DONCHEVA and the SUPREME COURT of Cassation headed by its president JUDGE LOZAN PANOV ARE THE LEGISLATORS OF THE MAFIA. Any lawlessness in the SGS by the great and brilliant judges ALBENA BOTEVA, VLADIMIR VALKOV, ELITSA YORDANOVA, RAYNA MARTINOVA, LIUBKA GOLAKOVA, is LEGALIZED - confirmed. THEFTS OF COMPANIES, OF EQUIPMENT WORTH MILLIONS ARE LEGALIZED, THEFTS OF PROPERTY ARE LEGALIZED.**

**The scheme applied by the Sofia Appellate Court headed by judge DANIELA DONCHEVA - SAS sends by jurisdiction with defendants whose addresses are in the CITY OF SOFIA, i.e. the defendants lived in Sofia, i.e. the cases are under the jurisdiction of the Sofia District Court and the Sofia City Court - the Kyustendil District Court, or the Kyustendil District Court and THE LAST - ALTHOUGH ALL THE JUDGES FROM THE Kyustendil District Court HAD DECIDED ON THE CASES - DISMISSED THE CASES. CASES FOR THE THEFT OF GAMING MACHINES AND BINGO EQUIPMENT WORTH TEN MILLIONS BY THE JUDGE OF SGS MOLLOVA AND HRISTO MOLLOV, HRISTO MOLLOV, AND HRISTO MOLLOV, HAVE BEEN DISMISSED IN THE Kyustendil District Court. CASES FOR PROPERTY WORTH HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF EUROS, stolen by EMIL KYULEV from the heirs of the owners of the insurance companies, have been TERMINATED in Kyustendil, and this is a case by judge JONEVA with paid state fees for the property at Tsar Osvoboditel No. 6 Blvd. - the former building of the DZI - PROPERTY OF THE HEIRS OF**



an insurance company illegally stolen by EMIL KYULEV and company with a 11,690 sq.m. built-up area. In the Kyustendil District Court, the CASE has been DISMISSED for the building in the center of Sofia on Sveta Sofia Street, owned by the heirs of an insurance company, ROBBED BY DONEV'S COMPANY - a four-story building with the right to build a 9-FLOOR property for 15 million euros.

In the Kyustendil District Court, cases against the Ministry of the Interior, the National Revenue Agency, and OTHER INSTITUTIONS have been closed. In general, it is an INTERESTING FACT THAT all the judges from the Kyustendil District Court are multi-property owners and all of them even have 2-3 properties in the city of Sofia - MAYBE to fulfill the order of the mafia against the plaintiffs, it is guaranteed that they will be brought up in the SAS, in the Supreme Court. OIT IS THE NORM FOR THE MINISTER President BOYKO BORISOV to appoint his own people judges as PRESIDENTS such as the criminal judge GEORGI KOLEV BEING APPOINTED PRESIDENT OF THE SUPREME ADMINISTRATIVE COURT, which legitimized the crimes and injustice of the executive power, of the Ministries, Prime Ministers and other members of the executive power, state companies. It is NORMAL for the MINISTER president BOYKO BORISOV to appoint his own person as the chief prosecutor, who will not investigate crimes in state power. It is NORMAL for the PRESIDENT MINISTER to appoint his own PRESIDENT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION and as the chairman of the SUPREME ADMINISTRATIVE COURT. The Supreme Administrative Court legalizes crimes and iniquities committed in the executive power, in ministries, in state agencies, in municipalities. The NORM is the execution of orders by judges - non-fulfillment of laws, violation of laws, disregard of laws, non-application of laws, deliberate mistreatment of laws, rights, freedoms, evidence

IT IS THE NORM to legalize the theft of private property for the benefit of the Metropolitan Municipality in gross violation of the laws of this country with the ultimate goal of the loss of a private person of his hereditary property in the center of Sofia - the value of which is over 1.5 million euros due to his investment value.

IT IS NORMAL for the courts to legalize the theft of equipment, machinery and equipment worth millions of euros.

It is NORMAL for judges to legalize the theft of an entire factory and cause loss to the owner with a share - shares of over 41%.

It is the NORM for judges to legalize the theft of property through judges for zero cents and this property is "donated to a person by a group and the mafia, for zero cents and at the same time the prosecutors of the chief prosecutors Filchev, Assoc. Boris Velchev, Sotir Tsatsarov, Ivan Geshev do not investigate iniquities are the judges.

It is NORMAL for judges to legitimize violation of the law by the Decision of the Council of Ministers to grant a concession on private land for 35 years with the ultimate goal of stealing this land - an appetizing piece around Sofia of over 4100 decares. OF IVAN GESHEV

IT IS THE NORM for judges to legalize the theft of land mass of over 2.9 million cubic meters of land mass from private property for the construction of the "Northern Tangent of

Sofia" - theft worth more than 130,000,000 euros. TO the Chief Prosecutor IVAN GESHEV. Despite requests to declare bankruptcy of the companies Global West, through whose property the theft of the land mass was carried out, and the excavators and trucks of the companies PIMK, PIMK HOLDING and PIMK INVEST, with which the theft was carried out, in the case of missing documents in the cases in Sofia City Court AGAIN, judge VLADIMIR VALKOV terminated commercial case 1988/2022, this is confirmed by the Sofia Appellate Court under case number 38/2023, judges HRISTO LAZAROV, ZHANADIMITROVA and VEBILCHAK BORILOVA. The same is the case with the petitions for declaring bankruptcy of the companies PIMK PIMK INVSET, PIMK HOLDING GROUP, through whose excavators and trucks the private land mass was stolen in the cases 611 617 616/2022 according to the inventory of the Plovdiv District Court judge Tsvetelina Georgieva, and it is confirmed by the judges in Appellate Court Plovdiv under vtd 76:2023 Nadezhda Jelyazkova Kalichkova, Slaveyka Kostadinova, and Krasimira Vancheva, under vgd 75/2023 - judges Georgi Chambov, EMil Mitev and Antonia Rogleva, under vgrd. 260/2023 - judges Vera Ivanova, Katya Pencheva and Todor Hadjiev, and although all panels and judges of the Plovdiv Appellate Court have argued with this same plaintiff since 2013 - i.e. THERE IS A PROVEN VIOLATION OF EUROPEAN LAW, BIAS, USE OF AN OFFICIAL POSITION WITH THE PURPOSE OF CAUSING LOSSES IN A PARTICULAR LARGE AMOUNT. And all this was naturally confirmed by the JUDGES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION in case 704/2023, judge Kostadinka Nedkova, Nikolay Markov, Galina Ivanova in case 815/2023 - judges Eleonora Chanacheva, Vasil Hristakiev and Elena ARnauchkova again in violation of the EUROPEAN UNION LAW, RESPONDENT and PARTIAL COURT FOR STOLEN AND MISSING DOCUMENTS IN THE CASES - INVOICES for 130 million euros.

It is the NORM for JUDGES to legitimize the theft of an entire company with a debt to an American firm for over 150 billion BGN according to accounting expertise - INACTION BY MINISTER BOYKO BORISOV, MINISTERS, PROSECUTORS OF TSATSAROV AND IVAN GESHEV

IT IS THE NORM FOR JUDGES to legalize the theft of slot machines by SGS judge YORDANKA BORISOVA MOLLOVA and her brother - a lawyer from the PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE and the prosecutors of FILCHEV, DOCTOR VELCHEV, TSATSAROV AND GESHEV lost over 29 million euros. It is the NORM for JUDGES to issue acts for a partial claim of 2,500 BGN, provided that the claim for 11,862, so that the Decision cannot be appealed to the Supreme Court of Cassation.

NORMA - How provided that there is a directive that the monopoly for postal services fell in 2010. as a state monopoly, on the basis of a directive of the European Commission, dozens of judges terminate the bankruptcy cases of Bulgarian Post for liabilities of billions of BGN, because it is supposedly a monopolist! Losses for an AMERICAN COMPANY are over 750 BILLION US DOLLARS.

NORM E - How, on the condition that there is evidence of property ownership with equity participation, a valid court

decision, actual proven ownership, the judges LEGALIZE THEFT OF PROPERTY in favor of the Metropolitan Municipality - THE INACTION OF THE PROSECUTORS NVA FILCHEV, OF ASSOCIATE. B. VELCHEV, OF TSATSAROV AND OF IVAN GESHEV NORM E - How does it legalize the theft of property from the CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY through a Judge's Decision on property that must be returned to the heirs by restitution - losses for millions. - INACTION OF THE PROSECUTORS OF TSATSAROV AND GESHEV FROM THE SPECIALIZED PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE.

NORM E - How does it legalize the theft of property from the CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY through a Judge's Decision on property that must be returned to the heirs by restitution - losses for millions. - INACTION OF THE PROSECUTORS OF TSATSAROV AND GESHEV FROM THE SPECIALIZED PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE. NORM E When the judge does not respect the law, knowingly changes the content of the law, knowingly wrongly implements the law, knowingly does not quote the law, knowingly wrongly treats the law, knowingly wrongly mixes laws, knowingly wrongly replaces laws, knowingly renders a decision without reasons, knowingly wrongly treats indisputable evidence , NORM When the judge deliberately does not even comment on indisputable written evidence RULE When the judge knowingly does not execute an effective court decision THE NORM IS When the judge knowingly issues a decision that is retroactive to an already entered court decision with the same subject matter, for example - judges Lyubka Golakova, Aleksandar Angelov and Svetlana Atanasova in the case of 7033/2012 dated 12.11.2018 - contrary to the court decision entered into force in city case 53552/2016 dated 11/16/2016. of Judge Daniela Stoeva, Judge Milena Kamenova in city case 69421/2018 and SRS dated 12.01.2020. even though they have been notified of the entry into force of a court decision. LOSSES of US\$ 11 million. NORM When the judge deliberately does not apply the law because the defendant is his fellow judge - judge Vladimir Valkov. THE NORM IS When the judge deliberately does not respect the rulings of other institutions, the NRA, the prosecutor's office for proven facts and circumstances that have entered into force. NORM E When the judge supports and assists the defendant by helping him with what actions to perform, what to object to, what to appeal and dispute, THE NORM IS When the judge supports and assists the defendant by giving him rights that are excluded from the law - judge Vladimir Valkov in a case against 10868/2010 against the Insurer advises the defendant to repudiate and challenge documents, judges Tahchieva. NORMAL is When the judge deliberately does not allow you evidence that concerns the subject of the case

NORM When the judge knowingly denies rights to a party as required by law

THE NORM IS When the judge deliberately changes the legal basis - judges ALBENA BOTEVA, LIUBKA GOLAKOVA, IVAYLO DIMITROV, VILEN STANCHEV, VLADIMIR VALKOV, RAYNA MARTINOVA from the Sofia City Court.

NORM is When the judge knowingly exempts a party from paying a state fee when the party belongs to the mafia - in this case the Kremikovtsi case in a case in which a state fee of over

1.3 million BGN is owed - judge Evgeni Georgiev exempts and judge ALBENA BOTEVA confirms these actions – in a civil case

THE NORM IS When a judge knowingly REPLACES SECURITY - SEIZURE OF THE DEFENDANT'S PROPERTY on a claim for 350,000 BGN against a security deposit by the defendant for the amount of BGN 5,000, as the managers of this company repeatedly state that they pay judges to lose themselves cases against them - case - Ruling on 23.03.2018 in city case 10535/2017 SGS 1 - 18 panel of Judge Raina, by which the same is ZEMINAL THE ALLOWED SECURITY - SEIZURE OF PROPERTY OF THE DEFENDANT - CONSTRUCTION COMPANY with a ruling of 04.03.2013. in city case 60534/21012 of the SRS with a PLEDGE on the amount of 5000 / five thousand/ BGN and canceled the foreclosure on the real estate owned by the defendant and this determination confirmed by the SAC under chgrd. 2960/2018 of 23.07.2018 Judges Neli Kutzkova, Diana Koledzhikova and Dimitar Mirchev, as well as Neli Kutzkova and Dimitar Mirchev, have challenged each other in the case of Momchil Dobrev - GUARANTEED LOSS of OVER BGN 350,000 / three hundred and fifty thousand / BGN without interest.

NORM IS When a request under Art. 410 of the Civil Procedure Code for the issuance of a writ of execution against the company KREMIKOVTSI for the sums of 34,615 euros and the sums of 2,367,542 euros heard by judge Svetlin Velkov Mihailov - then chairman of the SGS, the cases disappear and the corresponding writs of execution are not issued for the respective amounts - DISAPPEARING CASES. NORM E When objections to unaccepted receivables in case 95/2007 of the SGS disappear - fees for millions of BGN and an obligation in the amount of 4,200,000 euros to a company from the debtor "Kremikovtsi" - losses of over 14 million euros without interest.

THE NORM IS When judges from the Supreme Administrative Court legitimize a crime to the Council of Ministers and the Ministry of Economy and Energy, April 2010 PRIVATE PROPERTY OF A CONCESSION for 35 years to a company WITH THE OBVIOUS PURPOSE OF BEING ROBBERY OF THIS PROPERTY, for which the property of the capital of Boshtina Boshtina Bojbo Kobirov stated in 2008. that they are his lands and he will build a neighborhood for the richest. According to administrative case 4696/2014, department 4 of the Supreme Administrative Court, judges Galina Matejska, judge Todor Petrov and judge Svetoslav Slavov show a bias towards the Council of Ministers and the Ministry of Energy, by LEGALIZING THE ILLEGALITY OF THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS WHICH GAVE IN 2010 LAND - PRIVATE OWNERSHIP OF A PRIVATE COMPANY CONCESSION WITH THE CLEAR AND UNDISPUTED PURPOSE TO BE STOLEN OF PRIVATE LANDS and instead of all the indisputable evidence in the case, YOUR JUDGES WROTE THAT IT WAS NOT ABOUT PRIVATE LANDS AND THEY WROTE THAT IT WAS ABOUT MUNICIPAL LANDS , WHICH DOES NOT CORRESPOND TO THE TRUTH AND REAL FRAUD.- also confirmed by the judges of YOU under adm. Case 7677/2014- Judge Yordan Konstantinov, Judge Fani Naydenova, Judge

Marusya Dimitrova, Judge Blagovesta Lipcheva and Judge Stefka Kemalova confirm with ruling No. 8212 of 18.06.2018. according to the inventory of the Supreme Administrative Court, decision No. 4120 of 29.03.2019. in administrative case 4696/2018 of the Supreme Court.

**THE NORM OF BIAS AND BIAS AND DOING THE ORDER OF THE MAFIA IS WHEN JUDGES RULE THE CASES OF AN INDIVIDUAL AND THE COMPANIES REPRESENTED BY THEM AND WHEN THEY CONSCIOUSLY DO NOT RULE CASES THAT THE INDIVIDUAL SHOULD LOSE AT THE ORDER OF THE MAFIA.** The judge knowingly, instead of giving an appeal, REFUSES TO GIVE AN APPEAL and GIVES A DECISION dismissing the claims and CAUSING LOSSES EXAMPLE for JUDGE VLADIMIR VALKOV JUDGE VLADIMIR GRIGOROV VALKOV, ALTHOUGH THE SAME JUDGE HAS HAD HIS OWN REJECTION IN THE FOLLOWING CASES OF THE MD - example: GR. CASES IN SGS GR. CASE 648/2011, GR.D. 528/2011, GR.D. 517/2011, GR.D. 3477/2011, GR.D. 3476/2011, GR.D. 3231/2011, GR.D. 5352/2011, GR.D. 13869/2010, GR.D. 14843/2010, GR.D. 2218/2011, GR.D. 2219/2011, GR. CASE 2217/2011, GR.D. 925/2011, GR.D. 926/2011., and dozens of other cases LITIGATED BY MOMCHIL DOBREV DOBREV and companies represented by him, THE SAME USING HIS OFFICIAL POSITION, REFUSES TO REPRESENT THE CASES WHICH MD and the companies represented by him SHOULD LOSE IN FOLLOWING THE ORDER OF THE MAFIA FOR WHICH WE WERE WARNED.,THE SAME one using his office

-And terminates a bankruptcy case for losses caused for 460 million euros of a company close to the mafia and EVEN FINES M.D. without a court hearing twice for 300 BGN, FOR NOTHING

- Terminates a bankruptcy case against debtors of M.D. who owes him 900,000 euros without interest, despite all fees being paid, and fines M.D. with a fine of BGN 300 without a single court hearing-

-Decides on a case for "stolen equipment" proven by the prosecutor and the NRA and confirmed by the defendant that she took this equipment and even it is still there and has been used by her since 1996. in her service station – losses of over 1.4 million BGN

-Issuing a decision in a case in which a company that is not the owner of two presses for the production of roof tiles worth over 4 million German marks bet it against money in the DSK Bank for millions of BGN, causing losses of millions of BGN

-Decides on real "stolen and unpaid" of M.D.'s company. his company equipment for two restaurants for hundreds of thousands of BGN

-Issued dozens of rulings confirming terminated cases in the SRS of M.D. and the companies represented by him.

**THE NORM OF PASSION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ORDER OF THE MAFIA IS WHEN JUDGES FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION BREAK THE LAW TO CAUSE LOSSES OF HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS OF EUROS FOR THEY CARRY OUT THE ORDER OF THE EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY, OF MINISTERS, OF MINISTERS - PRESIDENT**

We submitted to the Supreme Court of Justice, Ministers of Justice, Boyko Borisov, Ministers Moskovski, Tsvetkov and others indisputable evidence that judges from the Supreme Court of Cassation - Tanya Raikovska, Daria Prodanova, Totka Kalcheva, Nikola Hitrov, Eleonora Chanacheva, Emil Markov from the Supreme Court - the judges from Glozhenska, Bozhikov, Spasov from the Plovdiv Court of Appeal grossly violate Bulgarian and European laws, knowingly violating the mandatory directive 2008/6/EU of the European Union and the European Commission from 01.01.2010. according to which from 01.01.2011 the state company "Bulgarian Post" - EAD does not have a monopoly on its activities, and the same monopoly was canceled on January 1, 2011. and actually caused losses of a particularly large amount, fulfilling an order of the executive power.

The same judges allowed themselves in case 519/2012 of the Supreme Court, in case 798/2011, case 689/2011 of the Appellate Court of Plovdiv, in case 3765/2013 to confirm the termination of the bankruptcy case of the company "Bulgarian Post"-EAD for its liability in the amount of more than 50,000,000,000 / fifty billion/ euros, and in fact, apart from violating the laws, they caused the above huge loss PERFORMED SOLELY AND ONLY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE STATE MAFIA, FOR THE BENEFIT OF CRIMINAL ACTIONS OF MINISTERS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE STATE COMPANY "BULGARIAN POSTS"-EAD.

MOREOVER, THAT THE JUDGES OF THE Supreme Court HAVE PASSED A DECISION THAT PROVES A VIOLATION OF THE LAW, BECAUSE AS OF 01.01.2011. "BULGARIAN POSTS"-EAD DOES NOT HAVE A MONOPOLY OVER ITS ACTIVITIES, AND THE SAME MONOPOLY HAS BEEN ABOLISHED AS OF JANUARY 1, 2011. SEPARATELY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE EUROPEAN UNION DIRECTIVE, WHICH IS MANDATORY FROM 01.01.2010. THE MONOPOLY OF THE "BULGARIAN POSTS" COMPANY HAS BEEN ABOVE. THIS DIRECTIVE IS MANDATORY FOR ALL BULGARIAN JUDGES. THE NORM IS The judges of the Supreme Administrative Court and the Sofia City Administrative Court support the mafia of the Capital Municipality and the municipalities of the Capital Municipality in determining - Arbitrarily - NOT LAWFULLY the tax assessments of properties. It lost more than 120 million euros.

NORMA IS THE MAFIA IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION and its management and managers such as deputy. The chairman KRASIMIR VLAHOV, elected in 2012 by the Supreme Judicial Council on the proposal of the chairman of the SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION Prof. LAZAR GRUEV, as deputy chairman of the Supreme Court of Cassation, did not participate in a competition for promotion to the Supreme Court of Cassation. He was appointed by the Decision of the Supreme Court of Appeal under Protocol No. 14/05.04.2012. for "deputy of the administrative head - deputy - chairman" of the Supreme Court of Cassation on the proposal of the chairman of the court.

Judge KRASIMIR VLAHOV, as Deputy President of the Supreme Court of Cassation, APPOINTED JUDGES FOR VARIOUS CASES WITH HIS ORDERS, not using the

**MANDATORY SYSTEM for RANDOM SELECTION OF JUDGES for cases in the Supreme Court of Cassation.**

**THIS IS MAFIA EVIDENCE AND ORDER CARRYING - PRECISELY DEFINED CASES TO BE TRIED BY PRECISELY DETERMINED JUDGES BY THE MAFIA.** Since 2012, the judge KRASIMIR VLAHOV has issued DECISIONS / determinations, which is in violation. I.e. the above proves that ALL JUDICIAL ACTS SIGNED by judge Krasimir Vlahov are null and void - i.e. from 2012, as he has never in any way participated in a competition for a judge in the Supreme Court and was not selected in such a competition for a judge by the Supreme Court. In reality, the theft of property, of MAFIA companies, the theft of property for the benefit of third parties is legalized in the Supreme Court, the theft of property carried out by judges from the SAS for the benefit of third parties is confirmed, REPRESSION is legalized - the REPRESSION of Rayna Martinova confirmed by the judges of the Supreme Court, cited above. In reality, the Supreme Court legalizes the TERMINATION of ALL CASES for the THEFTS of AN EMIL KYULEV - apparently in compliance with the orders of the mafia. Realon, in the Supreme Court, all terminations of cases ordered by the MAFIA and terminated at the first instance in SRS, SGS, KOS, VOS, MES, Vidin District Court and other courts are legalized. NORM as a fulfillment of the MAFIA'S ORDER IS THE DISAPPEARANCE OF CASES against UNICREDIT BULBANK for the disappearance of 15 million USD in one bank account. THE NORM as a fulfillment of the MAFIA'S ORDER IS THE DISAPPEARANCE OF DLA against BANKS - UBB for millions of euros.

THE NORM as a fulfillment of the MAF ORDER is the DISAPPEARANCE AND TERMINATION OF LIENS against insurance companies, real property of WESTERN COMPANIES. MAFIA RULE IN COURT AND DISMISSAL SCHEMES, EVIDENCE ORDERS ENFORCED AND ENFORCED BY JUDGES in favor of party to state, third parties and others. CRIMINAL LAWLESSNESS, ORDERS, REPRESSION, RACKET, COERCION?!?!? THE NORM IS THE MAFIOTIZATION OF THE COURT FOR THE BENEFIT OF JUDGES, SCHEMES and EVIDENCE FOR TERMINATION OF LAWSUITS IN SRS on claims with which judges attributed crimes, accused M.D. of a committed crime, which he did not commit THE CONCLUSION - THE BULGARIAN JUDGES CAN WRITE ALL THINGS TO THEMSELVES, - to accuse you, to slander you, to ASCRIBE you with CRIMES, which you did not commit, to slander you - THERE IS NO JUSTICE - THERE IS NO JUSTICE - THERE IS NO LAW FOR THEM. THE NORM IS FOR JUDGES TO LEGALIZE THEFT OF HERITAGE PROPERTIES and their legalization in favor of the CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY - DECISION of judges Milen Vassilev, Alexander E. Angelov Genika A. Mihailova from 2011 which legalizes the theft of inherited property from - land by the heirs for the BENEFIT OF CAPITAL MUNICIPALITY Decision of 07.02.2013. confirmed by the judges Zhanin Sidareva, Margarita Sokolova and Galabina Gencheva of the Supreme Court of Justice from 22.10.2013.

THE NORM IS THE SUPPORT OF MAFIOTISM by the CHAIRMEN of the SGS - ALEKSEY TRIFONOV, the former

chairman of the SGS KALOYAN TOPALOV, the chairman of the SAS - judge DANIELA DONCHEVA, the chairman of the Supreme Court - judge LOZAN PANOV, the chairman of the Supreme Court - judge

CHOLAKOV - THEIR INACTION regarding their obligations under Art. 312 of the Civil Code to demand disciplinary punishment and dismissal of guilty judges from their courts

NORM is LEGALIZATION of the THEFTS of the oligarch EMIL KYULEV, DONEV and other oligarchs from the State Security and PROPERTIES FOR HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF EUROS FOR ZERO CENTS in the center of Sofia real property of the heirs of the former insurance companies before 1947?!?!.

The inaction of the prosecutors of the chief prosecutors Associate Professor Filchev, Associate Professor B. VECHLEV, Chief Prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV and Chief Prosecutor IVAN GESHEV, such as prosecutors BOYAN BALEV, Mariyana Tankova, Popkolev, Nina Yaneva and dozens of other prosecutors - OPENAT CHADAR, DVOEN AND TRIPLE STANDARD IN PROSECUTING PROVEN CRIMES OF PEOPLE FROM THE MAFIA,

The inaction of the prosecutors and the chief prosecutor DOC FILCHEV of the CHIEF PROSECUTOR SOTIR TSATSAROVA of the chief prosecutor IVAN GESHEV and their prosecutors Boyana Balev, Mariyana Stankova and dozens of others from the Sofia City Prosecutor's Office to prove thefts for zero cents of properties worth over 500 million euros based on issued for zero pennies a note. Acts of Emil Külev from notaries R. Dimitrov and co., and stolen heirs of insurance companies - stolen property in the center of Sofia for 500 million euros. Despite dozens of reports about the illegal actions of the mayor of SOFIA 2007 and the inaction of mayor FANDAKOVA, who does not want and does not want to ENFORCE THE COURT DECISION of the Supreme ADMINISTRATIVE COURT, according to which the properties cited below are owned by the heirs of the former insurance companies before 1944. "Farmer, "Balkan", "Balkan-life" and others in the center of Sofia, Positano St. No. 1 THREE FLOOR HOUSE WITH SHOPS, Sveta Sofia St. No. 10 - THREE FLOOR HOUSE WITH SHOPS, Tsar Osvoboditel Blvd. 6 - a building with the Expanded Built-up PAYMENT over 11,500 sq.m. , Maria Luiza Blvd. No. 75 – 77-five-storey BUILDING, Bergalnitza 39 TWO HOUSES and dozens of other properties., and ACTUALLY MAYOR FANDAKOVA REFUSES TO TAKE POSSESSION OF THESE OUR LEGALLY OWNED PROPERTIES, AND INSTEAD DOES RENOVATIONS AND ANNOUNCES A PUBLIC SALE OF THESE PROPERTIES AND WANTS TO SELL THEM TO THIRD PARTIES - DESPITE THE DECISION OF THE SUPREME ADMINISTRATIVE COURT, EVEN THE MAYOR FANDAKOVA AND RIDED A MUNICIPAL NOTARY ACT OF PROPERTIES THAT ARE NOT THE PROPERTY OF THE STOCKHOLM MUNICIPALITY BUT OF THE HEIRS OF THE OWNERS OF THE FORMER PRIOR TO 1945. insurance companies. WITH THE OBJECTIVE TO BE ROBBED THESE PROPERTIES FROM PERSONS AND COMPANIES CLOSE TO GERB. Losses of more than 500,000,000 euros in value of our properties, 70,000,000 euros - caused lost benefits and

profits, 5 million BGN caused non-property damages - ruined health.

**THE NORM IS THE LEGALIZATION OF THEFT OF INHERITED PROPERTIES THROUGH DECISIONS OF JUDGES** from Sofia District Court and Sofia City Court - judges Vladimir Valkov, Maria Boycheva, Desislava Yordanova, judge Mariyana Georgieva, judge Alexander Emilov Angelov, **SERVING THE MAFIA - WITH DECISION** dated 02.09.2019. of judges Vladimir Valkov, Maria Boycheva and Jr. Judge Desislava Yordanova of the Sofia City Court under vgrd 10481/2009 **REALLY LEGALIZES THE "THEFT" OF PROPERTY** in favor of the Municipality of Stolichna - privately owned property.

**NORMA IS TERMINATION OF CASES** on the 500 million euro estates **IN SUPPORT OF THE MAFIA** - missing cases since 2009. , **ROBBED BY Oligarch EMIL KYULEV** for zero cents - a building with 11,690 sq.m. large built-up area, 5-storey building, 3-storey building, 4-storey building in the ideal center of Sofia.

4. Referral of the Committee on Legal Affairs to the National Assembly for amendments to the judicial laws in connection with this mobilization both in the court and in the prosecutor's office

A COMMISSION on legal issues was referred to the National Assembly in August 2023 with the following members - Chairman **STOYU TEODOROV STOEV**, Deputy Chairman **ANNA Vasileva Alexandrova**, Deputy chairman **ERTEN Belginova Anisova**, **Boyko Iliev Rashkov** - member, **Branimir Nikolaev Balachev**, **Grozdan Spasov Karadjov**, **Delyan Slavchev Peevski**, **Desislava Valcheva Atanasova**, **Zlatan Stoyanov Zlatanov**, **Lyudmila Nikolaeva Ilieva**, **Margarita Ivanova Mahaeva**, **Marin Vassilev Marinov**, **Ivanov Maya Yordanova Dimitrova**, **Miroslav Nikolaev** , **Nadezhda Georgieva Yordanova** , **Petar Vassilev Kosev** , **Petar Nikolaev Petrov** , **Radomir Petrov Cholakov** , **Ralitsa Todorova Angelova** , **Raya Nazar Nazaryan** , **Smilyana Nikolova Nitova-Krasteva** , **Hamid Bari Hamid** , **Tsveta Valentinova Rangelova** . These members are from all political parties – GERB, PP-DB, DPS, BSP, Vazrazhdane. But the hopes of changing the laws are minimal, since it is well known that precisely determined by these members are the main leaders of the mafia in this judicial system, which has been operating for more than 30/thirty/ years in Bulgaria.

5. The inaction and support of the European Commission and the European Parliament of the mafia in Bulgaria.

Many times since 2007. the co-chairs of the European Commission, **BAROZO**, **JEAN CLAUDE JUNKER**, **von der LEYEN**, were informed about the mafia in the judicial system - court and prosecutor's office and the mafia in the Republic of Bulgaria with tens of thousands of pages of evidence. **MONITORING** was requested by the European Commission on Bulgaria in the judicial system, **BLOCKING** of the **MONEY WHICH** the European Commission must pay in connection with programs to the Republic of Bulgaria was requested. Because, despite these and other evidences, there is inaction and full support of the **EUROPEAN COMMISSION OVER THIS MAFIA** in **BULGARIA** due to inaction and after and

Despite the signals to the European Commission and so far there has been no reaction and case

To the European Commission and the Federal Republic of Germany and France, **TO THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION** President Ursula von der Layen, Rue dela Loi 200 / Wetstraat 200 1040 BRUXELLES / BRUSSEL, Belgique, **TO the Bundeskanzler der Bundesrepublik Deutschland - Olaf Scholz Bundesregierung, Willy – Brandt- Strasse 1 10557 – Berlin, DEUTSCHLAND** To the President of France Mr. Emmanuel Macron, Palais de l'Elysee, 55 rue du Faubourg- Saint-Honore 7500 8 Paris, France, as the main countries in the European Commission, the sums of 1,350 billion EURO LOSSES, **LOST BENEFITS AND PROFITS, UNREALIZED INVESTMENT LOANS** have been claimed. Because the **EUROPEAN COMMISSION** has not taken **ANY MEASURES AGAINST LAWLESSNESS** and the mafia. in the Judicial system in Bulgaria, the **LACK OF RULE OF LAW** in **BULGARIA**, **THEY HAVE NOT CARRIED OUT AND INVOLVED MONITORING AND BLOCKING OF MONEY FROM THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION TO BULGARIA**, and more specifically in relation to the lawlessness and lawlessness of judges and prosecutors and the mafia at the state level - executive vldast, the relevant invoices have been presented and prepared to the **EUROPEAN COMMISSION**.

6. The inaction of the Commission for the Prevention of Corruption and the confiscation of property in connection with the proven wrongdoing of judges, prosecutors, for crimes.

The Commission for Combating Corruption and the Confiscation of Illegally Acquired Property / **KPCONPI** has been notified of the mafia in the court and prosecutor's office and the state with over 78 reports, both its predecessor **PLAMEN GEORGIEV** chairman until 31.07.2019 / **ALREADY CONSUL** in **SPAIN** - for good work/ and his successor to the former chief prosecutor **SOTIR TSATSAROV** appointed as chief prosecutor by the Prime Minister **BOYKO BORISOV** from 20.12.2019 to 01.03.2022 and the current chairman **ANTON TOMOV SLAVCHEV**, and members **Antoaneta Georgieva-Tsonkova**, **Plamen Yotsov** and **Silvia Kudreva**. Despite all the attached evidence, there is **COMPLETE INACTION** and a **TIGHT UMBRELLA** over the mafia in the court and prosecutor's office and the state on the part of this institution. Despite hundreds of attached proofs of **INCOME** and **PROPERTIES** worth millions of judges, and prosecutors even abroad in other countries, there is an **UMBRELLA** over the **MAFIA** from this institution.

7. The inaction after the referral to the **SUPREME JUDICIAL COUNCIL** and the Inspectorate of the Supreme Judicial Council for violations and lawlessness, corruption and the mafia in the court and prosecutor's office

The members of the High Judicial Council are elected by the prosecutor's office and by the court and by parliamentary groups and have quotas accordingly. The members of the Supreme Judicial Council elected by the court and by the prosecutor's office are judges and prosecutors, respectively. This also applies to the election of the inspector to the inspectorate of the Supreme Judicial Council - acting judges and prosecutors, respectively. That is why it is difficult and

even impossible for a judge and a prosecutor to be disciplinary dismissed in the event of a proven lawlessness, a deliberate violation of the law. A park-like institutional TSADAR has been placed over the iniquities of all judges and prosecutors. Because hundreds of reports with evidence and requests for disciplinary action have been dismissed, judges and prosecutors have exceeded their powers and committed crimes, there is inaction and an UMBRELLA has been stretched over the judges and prosecutors from the Supreme Judicial Council / SJC / and the inspectorate of the SJC. The composition of the SJC is as follows: ACTING SJC CURRENTLY as of August 2023 CHAIRMAN of the SJC - Associate Professor Dr. Atanas Slavov - Minister of Justice

BY LAW members of the SJC, the presidents of the Supreme Court and Supreme Court

Galina Zakharova - Chairman of the Supreme Court from February 11, 2022 until August 2023

Lozan Panov - Chairman of the Supreme Court until February 10, 2022

Georgi Cholakov - Chairman of the Supreme Court from November 22, 2017 until August 2023

Georgi Kolev - Chairman of the SAC until November 22, 2017

Ivan Geshev - Chief Prosecutor from December 18, 2019 to June 15, 2023.

Sotir Tsatsarov - Chief Prosecutor until December 17, 2019.

PANEL OF JUDGES:

Galina Zakharova - President of the Supreme Court of Cassation.

Georgi Cholakov - Chairman of the Supreme Administrative Court

Quota of judges - Atanaska Disheva, Boryana Dimitrova until July 1, 2022, Krasimir Shekerjiev until July 1, 2022, Olga Kerelska, Sevdalin Mavrov, Tsvetinka Pashkunova,

Quota of the National Assembly - Boyan Magdalinchev - representing the Supreme Court, Boyan Novanski

Veronika Imova, Daniela Marcheva, Dragomir Koyadzhikov, Stefan Grozdev

PROSECUTION COLLEGE:

Ivan Geshev - Chief Prosecutor until June 15, 2023.

Quota of prosecutors - Georgi Kuzmanov, Daniela Masheva until 25.06.2020, Ognyan Damyanov

Plamen Naydenov, Evgeni Ivanov from 12.10.2020, Quota of investigators, Evgeni Dikov until November 2020, Stefan Petrov from 12.04.2021,

Quota of the National Assembly, Gergana Mutafova, Yordan Stoev, Kalina Chapkanova, Plamena Tsvetanova until 23.01.2020, Svetlana Boshnakova

Accordingly, the composition of the Inspectorate at the Supreme Judicial Council - Teodora Angelova Tochkova - chief inspector, members Alexander Bozhidarov Mumdzhiiev, Ignat Ivanov Georgiev, Lidiya Hristova Stoyanova, Lyubka Dimitrova Kabaimalska, Lyubomir Vasilev Krumov, Maria Gospodinova Neikova, Stefka Staneva Mulyashka, Yuri Dimitrov Krastev. The duties of the inspectors to the Inspectorate of the SJC are, in case of violations found during the implementation of the activities under items 1-3, to signal the administrative head of the relevant body of the judicial power and the relevant collegium of the SJC, to make proposals for the imposition of disciplinary punishments on

judges, prosecutors, investigators and administrative heads of judicial authorities.

Despite the submission of over 275 reports with evidence, the inspectors did not find any violations of European law, of lawlessness, of bias, of prejudice of a dependent court, of a biased court.

8. SUBMISSION OF JUDICIARY MINISTERS to change the laws on the judicial system in relation to the proven mafia in court, prosecutor's office, state Since 2003, all ministers of justice have been referred, starting with ANTON STANKOV - Freemason, Prof. GEORGI PETKANOV, Miglena TACHEVA, Diana Kovacheva, Dragomir Yordanov, Zinaida Zlatanova, Hristo IVANOV, Ekaterani ZAHARIEVA, Maria PAVLOVA, Tsetska TSACHEVA - COAT OF ARMS, DANAIL KIRILOV - COAT OF ARMS Desislava Akhladova - , Prof. Yanaki Stoilov - official, Ivan Demerdzhiev - official, Nadezhda Yordanova - official, Krum ZARKOV - official minister, Dr. Assoc. ATANAS SLAVOV with thousands of pages of evidence about the mafia in the judicial system, but there is complete inaction and lawlessness and a TIGHT UMBRELLA over the mafia in the judicial system - court and police department.

9. Referral of the parliamentary groups in the National Assembly about the mafia in the court, prosecutor's office. since 2001.

SINCE 2001 until August 2023, all parliamentary groups in the National Assembly of the Republic of Bulgaria have been referred - the Bulgarian Socialist Party, the GERB headed for many years by the Prime Minister BOYKO BORISOV from 2009 to 2023 until now, the party Continuing the change headed by KIRIL PETKOV and ASEN VASILEV - co-chairmen of the Democratic Bulgaria Party with co-chairmen HRISTO IVANOV and ATANAS ATANASOV, of the DPS party with president KARADAYI for the mafia in the judicial system and proposals have been made to change the judicial system. Complete inaction and lawlessness.

10. The double standard of the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg and the support of this mafia in Bulgaria and the mafia in the government of Prime Minister Boyko Borisov from the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg???

The refusal to consider the complaints of the plaintiffs in the above-mentioned case MG and AG, as well as of all the plaintiffs, regarding the theft of factories, equipment for tens of millions, the theft of money from bank accounts, the theft of private hereditary lands, the attempt to steal the private land given to concession after Decisions of the Ministerial Council of Prime Ministers Sergey Stanishev and Prime Minister BOYKO BORISOV to a third company proves this, proves that the EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS serves this mafia in BULGARIA!!!

Moreover, the European Court of Human Rights warns as early as 2022 and 2023 that if there is another COMPLAINT by this creditor, by these individuals, companies against such debtors, against the STATE REPUBLIC OF BULGARIA, the appeals will not be considered at all. I.e. THEY WILL BE

**THROWN IN THE BASKET, and there is indisputable evidence of VIOLATED EUROPEAN LAW, violations of DECISIONS of the EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS itself, THIS PROVES THE MAFIOTIZATION AND THE SUPPORT OF THE MAFIA in the judicial system in Bulgaria by the European Court for the Protection of Human Rights. The double standards of the EUROPEAN COURT of HUMAN RIGHTS in Strasbourg**

**In the very decision of this COURT and the REFUSAL to FORM CASES ON COMPLAINTS prove the DOUBLE STANDARDS imposed by the EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS in favor of precisely defined institutions in the state REPUBLIC OF BULGARIA.**

- Bias of the judges of the European Court of Human Rights
- Bias and partiality by the judges of the European Court of Human Rights
- THE LACK OF AN IMPARTIAL COURT
- THE LACK OF A FAIR COURT
- THE LACK OF LEGALITY AND RULE OF LAW in the European Court of Human Rights.
- Deliberate deliberate act, clear use of official position of judges in the European Court of Human Rights

**22. Sums submitted also to the EUROPEAN COMMISSION, to the SGS, SRS, VKS, Ministry of Justice, the European Commission, Germany and France, TO THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS, TO THE SUPREME JUDICIAL COUNCIL, to the Ministry of Justice, to the KPCONPI, the inspectorate to the SCC**

**Presentation of payment call for payment to the Sofia City Court and the Sofia Court of Appeal in connection with the above case - subject of the current article**

**On 31.07.2023, the plaintiffs claimed the damages caused to them - losses, lost benefits and profits and caused non-pecuniary damages because of this lawlessness which is committed in the court and more specifically in the Sofia City Court chaired by Aleksey Trifonov, the Sofia Court of Appeal chaired by Daniela Doncheva and the presentation was made to the Sofia City Court, the president of the SGS, judge ALEKSEY TRIFONOV, the Sofia Court of Appeal, the president of the SAS, judge DANIELA DONCHEVA, address 1000 Sofia, Vitosha Blvd. No. 2, with the following text: "The Magistrates of the Sofia City Court, led by you as its administrative head Judge Aleksei Trifonov, for fourteen years allow themselves to violate our basic rights, the right of access to court, the right to a fair trial by an impartial court, the right to property, which rights are guaranteed to us by the Constitution of Bulgaria and by numerous ratified international acts.**

Administrative Law No. 14767/21, formed on 03.12.2021, following a decision of the Supreme Court of Cassation under Administrative Law No. 2005/20, which annulled an appeal decision of the Sofia City Court under Administrative Law No. 10481/09, with which decision mandatory instructions were given for the conduct of court proceedings, according to which a judicial examination would be carried out regarding the share of our testator from the trial property, located in the city of Sofia, "Positano" street No. 1 at the available documentation and archive and in case of impossibility due to the elapsed time period of about

70 years to accept equal shares of the persons entitled to inheritance and restitution.

As of December 2021, not a single court hearing has been scheduled. Our complaints about slowness are not sent to the higher instance of the Sofia Appellate Court. Self-recusals are being made by judges whom we neither know nor know by name, nor have we had any personal or official relationships, and on the occasion of my numerous inquiries to Mr. Trifonov, I was told that the removal of judges from the Supreme Court is in progress. Firstly, there is no such concept in the Civil Procedure Code, secondly, we have never asked anyone to withdraw, thirdly, even if there are mass withdrawals, it is not normal to have only five of them in half a year. Our loss is huge, as we are deprived of the free use of inviolable private property, of realizing profits from its use, and non-material damages have been caused to us, expressed in a complete loss of confidence in the judiciary, negative emotions related to the impossibility to implement plans that can make our lives not only better, but also at a significantly higher level of development. Last but not least, as the heirs of Iliya Ivanov Petkov, he gave his life for the development of the insurance industry in Bulgaria after the Liberation and the complete defamation of his memory by "seizing" with legal concepts of his work and life and realization of this robbery through the judicial system through its inaction. For more than eighteen years, we have not had access to our property, suffering huge non-property and property damages, during which time third parties, with the help of the magistrates of the Sofia City Court, have benefited from the use of our property.

And since this is an unprecedented violation of our basic human rights, we present you with a claim expressing the pecuniary and non-pecuniary damages caused to us so far as a result of our proven denial of justice by the working magistrates in the Sofia City Court, justice beyond any would have been reasonable terms, damages that cannot even be valued, since human life has no price, and this deliberate delay leads to the loss of our lives as well. When the case was filed in 2005, the great-grandson of the founder of the insurance company, whose property was seized pursuant to Article 6 of the ZDZI/repealed, was twelve years old, and now he has passed his thirtieth anniversary, lost time, and hopes and conversions that have no cost.

And in connection with the above, WE AWARD YOU the sum of 15 million euros in damages caused, 17 million euros in lost benefits and profits, 9 million euros in unrealized investments, 1.5 million euros in non-property damages within seven days of receipt of this and in default of payment I will transfer 45 % our claim on the 15th day to the company "Dynasty D&H LLC" and we will seek our rights in court and in an international court.

Because of this lawlessness, even though the European Commission and the largest countries in the European Union, Germany and France, have been informed of this lawlessness and mafia in the judicial system, a complaint has been made to the European Commission and to Germany and France and to the Ministry of Justice and The National Assembly of Bulgaria due to the inaction of ministers and the entire administration and specifically the ministers of justice to fulfill their obligations under Art. 312 of the Law on the Judiciary and request from the Supreme Judicial Council the immediate disciplinary dismissal of judges Raina Martinova, Vladimir Valkov, Boycheva and all judges who are deliberately delaying 14767/2021 filed in 2005 - in the Sofia District Court for the corresponding amounts as follows: from 15

one million euros in damages, 17 million euros in lost benefits and profits, 9 million euros in unrealized investments, 1.5 million euros in non-property damages within seven days of receipt of this and in default of payment I will transfer my claim on the 15th to Dynasty D&H LLC and sought my rights in court

## 23. CONCLUSION

The specific cases described prove GENOCIDE of LAW, JUSTICE, JUSTICE and ITS MAFIOTIZATION - there is no RULE OF LAW in Bulgaria. Both the EUROPEAN UNION AND THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION have been notified of this mafia, BUT PERSONALLY THE CHANCELLORS OF GERMANY MERKEL and SCHOLZ, the president of France MACRON, and the prime ministers of Great Britain, when the core was part of the European Union, AS WELL AS PRESIDENTS OBAMA, TRUMP, and JOE BIDEN OF THE USA. There was no reaction, no compliance with the LAWS of the EUROPEAN COMMUNITY treaty, which proves the support of this neoliberal neofascist deep mafia in Bulgaria from the USA and from the EUROPEAN UNION - and a commission chaired by Barroso, Jean Claude Juncker, Ursula von der Leyen. APPARENTLY THE USA AND THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION AND THE EUROPEAN UNION ARE INTERESTED IN THIS MAFIA AND THIS LAWLESSNESS in the Republic of Bulgaria. THEREFORE THERE ARE AMOUNTS SUBMITTED FOR DAMAGES CAUSED for over 250 BILLION EUROS. THE MAFIA HAS A COUNTRY – BULGARIA!!!!

## References LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Dobrev, M. (2015) Theory of generating crises, Bulukrain-MM, Sofia, BG
2. Dobrev, M. (2016). Is there a mafia in the European Commission and Union?!? 1, Bulukrain-MM Sofia.BG
3. Dobrev, M. (2014). Theory of the corruption and Theory of the mafia, Bulukrain-MM, Sofia., BG
4. Dobrev, M. (2013). Theory if degree of trust, Bulukrain-MM, Sofia, BG.
5. Dobrev, M. (2010). Theory and praxuse of the Mafiotismus. Bulukrain-MM. Sofia
6. Dobrev, M. (2019) “The mafiotism in the EU, ECommission, E Parliament!?!?! And its protection with Gemany, France, Englands - the mafia in court, prosecutors, government in Bulgaria over ten years !!! The dept of EC and EU of houndret billions USD !!!”Bulukrain-MM. Sofia
7. Dobrev. M. (2018) “Theory and practice of Mafiotismus. Finance-banking resource technological mafia-driven Materialism”- Bulukrain-MM, Sofia
8. Dobrev, M., Garibova-Dobrev, Mariola (2020) The new old world order.- Bulukrain-MM, Sofia
9. Dobrev, M, Garibova M, /2020/ The Mafia, Mafia and Financial Bank Resource Technological Mafia Materialism - THE NEW OLD WORLD ORDER Bulukrain-MM
10. Dobrev. M. Garibova-Dobrev M. /2020/RESTART OF CIVILIZATION - without mafia and financial banking resource technology in mafia Materialism - THE ONLY SOLUTION! Our suggestion. Bulukrain-MM
11. Dobrev, M. Garibova. M. /2018/ THE GENIUS OF PROF. MOMTCHIL DOBREV- HALACHEV and his theories, Theory of degree of trust, Theopry of degree of

truth, Theory of mafia, Theory of corruption., Theory of vortex fields. Theory and practice of free energy. Cosmological theory of information. Theory of information-energy-medicine, - genetics, -psychology, - psychoiatry, - archeology., Theory and practice of mafiotismus, Theory of unversum, Finance-bankinh resource technological mafia-driven Materialismus Bulukrain-MM.

12. Dobrev M., Garibova M.2009 Theory and practice of the types of sovereignty and degrees of sovereignty. Dobrev-Halachev Scientific Research Institute.
13. Dobrev M. , Garibova.M- 2009 - Theory and practice of types of stress and degrees of stress. Dobrev - Halachev Scientific Research Institute.
14. Dobrev M, Gribova. M 2009 – Theory and practice of happiness and degrees of happiness. Dobrev-Halachev Scientific Research Institute.



